Determination of a generalized inverse of a Boolean relation matrix
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A criterion for the existence of a generalized inverse of a Boolean relation matrix is derived and a way of determining the generalized inverses is given.

## 1. Introduction and basic concepts

In his papers [3] and [4] Plemmons has motivated the research for generalized inverses of a Boolean relation matrix by applications in network and switching theory [5, 6], in nonnegative generalized inverses of matrices over the reals [4], and in the general theory of graphs [5]. In this paper we shall give a criterion for the existence of a generalized inverse, analogous to that of the existence of a solution for a Boolean matrix equation $A X=B$. Further, a way of determining all the generalized inverses of a Boolean relation matrix is given. The results here are based on the ideas of the paper [2].

By a Boolean relation matrix of order $n$ is meant an $n \times n$ matrix of zeros and ones. The product, join and meet of such matrices are defined as in case of Boolean matrices of zeros and ones, see e.g. [1]. Any solution of the Boolean relation matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A X A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a generalized inverse of the given matrix $A$.
Any Boolean relation matrix $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ can be mapped onto a bipartite graph $G(B)=\left(V_{B} \cup V_{B}^{\prime}, E_{B}\right)$, where the vertices of $V_{B}$ correspond to the rows of $B$ and those of $V_{B}^{\prime}$ to the columns of $B$. An undirected edge $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$ belongs to $G(B)$ if and only if $b_{i j}=1$ in $B$, where $i$ corresponds to $x$ and $j$ to $y^{\prime}$. Conversely, any bipartite, undirected graph, for which the numbers of elements in $V_{B}$ and $V_{B}^{\prime}$ equal, i.e. $\left|V_{B}\right|=$ $=\left|V_{B}^{\prime}\right|$, can be translated into a Boolean relation matrix.

Consider the product $B_{1} B_{2}$ of two Boolean realtion matrices $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$. This produet can be mapped onto a chain of bipartite graphs $G\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $G\left(B_{2}\right)$, denoted
by $G\left(B_{1}\right) G\left(B_{2}\right)$, where the vertex sets $V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $V_{2}$ of $G\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $G\left(B_{2}\right)$, respectively, coincide. Let $B_{1} B_{2}=B_{3}=\left[b_{i j}^{3}\right]$. According to the definition of the Boolean matrix product, $b_{i j}^{3}=1$ if and only if there is a path of length two from a vertex $x \in V_{1}$ of $G\left(B_{1}\right)$ to a vertex $y^{\prime} \in V_{2}^{\prime}$ of $G\left(B_{2}\right)$, where $i$ corresponds to $x$ and $j$ to $y^{\prime}$. As an illustration, see the product $B_{1} B_{2}=B_{3}$ described in Figure 1, when

$$
B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x^{\prime} & y^{\prime} & z^{\prime} \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] x, \quad B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x^{\prime} y^{\prime} & z^{\prime} & x^{\prime} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& x \\
& y,
\end{aligned} \quad \text { and } B_{3}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] x .
$$

According to the associativity of the Boolean matrix product any product of $n$ Boolean relation matrices, where $n \geqslant 3$ and finite, can be represented as a chain of $n$ bipartite graphs.

## 2. A criterion

Let $A$ be a given Boolean relation matrix and consider the product $A X A$. For sake of clarity, we shall denote the first matrix of $A X A$ by $B_{1}$, the second by $B_{2}$, and the third by $B_{3}$. Consider the chain graph $G\left(B_{1}\right) G\left(B_{2}\right) G\left(B_{3}\right)$ obtained from
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bipartite graphs $G\left(B_{1}\right), G\left(B_{2}\right)$, and $G\left(B_{3}\right)$ by identifying the vertex sets $V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $V_{2}$, and the sets $V_{2}^{\prime}$ and $V_{3}$. The graphical description $G\left(B_{1}\right) G\left(B_{2}\right) G\left(B_{3}\right)$ of the matrix product $A X A$ implies immediately that there is a generalized inverse for $A$, i.e. there is a solution for the equation (1), if and only if
(i) for any edge $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \in E_{A}$ there is at least one path of length three from $x \in V_{1}$ of $G\left(B_{1}\right)$ to $y^{\prime} \in V_{3}^{\prime}$ of $G\left(B_{3}\right)$ in the graph $G\left(B_{1}\right) G\left(B_{2}\right) G\left(B_{3}\right)$, and
(ii) for any edge $\left(z, w^{\prime}\right) \notin E_{A}$ there is no path of length three from $z \in V_{1}$ of $G\left(B_{1}\right)$ to $w^{\prime} \in V_{3}^{\prime}$ of $G\left(B_{3}\right)$ in the graph $G\left(B_{1}\right) G\left(B_{2}\right) G\left(B_{3}\right)$.

As the Boolean matrix product is distributive with respect to the join operation on matrices, there is a solution $X_{0}$ for 1 such that $Y \leqslant X_{0}$ for each solution $Y$ for (1), if any solutions exist. Clearly $G\left(X_{0}\right)$ contains each edge not contradicting the condition (ii). In the following we shall determine a matrix $M$, or equivalently a bipartite graph $G(M)$ with $\left|V_{M}\right|=\left|V_{M}^{\prime}\right|$, containing each edge not contradicting the condition (ii). According to the maximality of $M$. A has generalized inverse if and only if of a solution for a Boolean matrix equation $A X=B$, or $X A=B$, see e.g. [1].

Let $\Gamma_{A} x$ denote the set of vertices adjacent to $x$ in the graph $G(A)$. In order that (ii) is valid, a vertex $x \in V_{2}\left(=V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ can be joined to a vertex $y^{\prime} \in V_{2}^{\prime}\left(=V_{3}\right)$ only if for any $z \in \Gamma_{B_{1}} x$ the re'ation $\Gamma_{B_{1}} z \supseteq \Gamma_{B_{3}} y^{\prime}$ holds. In other cases there would be a path of length three in $G\left(B_{1}\right) G\left(B_{2}\right) G\left(B_{3}\right)$ from a vertex $z_{1} \in V_{1}$ to a vertex $w^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{B_{1}} y^{\prime}$, while $\left(z, w^{\prime}\right) \notin E_{A}$. Consider the translation of this condition, which determines the edges of the graph $G(M)$, into a serie of suitable Boolean matrix operations.

The notation $D^{\prime \prime}$ means the Boolean complement of the Boolean relation matrix $D$ and $D^{T}$ the transpose of $D$. Let us consider the matrix product $A\left(A^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime}=C=\left[c_{i j}\right]$. In the chain graph $G(A) G\left(\left(A^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)$ the vertex sets $V_{A}^{\prime}$ of $G(A)$ and $V_{A}^{\prime}$ of $G\left(\left(A^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)$ coincide. Assume that $x$ corresponds to $i$ and $y$ to $j$. Then $c_{i j}=1$ if $\Gamma_{A} x \nsubseteq \Gamma_{A} y$, and $c_{i j}=0$, if $\Gamma_{A} x \subseteq \Gamma_{A} y$. Indeed, if $\Gamma_{A} x \subseteq \Gamma_{A} y$, then for any $z^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{A} x$ the edge $\left(z^{\prime}, y\right)$ does not belong to the graph $G\left(\left(A^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)$ according to the completmentedness, and hence there are in $G(A) G\left(\left(A^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)$ no path of length two from $x$ to $y$, which implies $e_{i j}=0$. The proof for $c_{i j}=1$ is similar. Note that $B_{1}=B_{3}=A$, and thus we have found a matrix form to the condition $\Gamma_{B_{1}} z \supseteq \Gamma v_{B_{3}} y^{\prime}$.

Consider now the matrix product $A^{T} C^{T}=A^{T}\left[A\left(A^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right]^{T}=F=\left[f_{i j}\right]$. Let $f_{i j}=0=$ $=\bigcup_{s=1}^{s=n} a_{i s}^{T} c_{s j}^{T}$. Then for any $z \in V_{A}$, if $(x, z) \in E_{A},(z, y) \notin R_{C^{T}}$, i.e. $\Gamma_{A} z \supseteq \Gamma_{A} y$, where $i$ ${ }_{s=1}$
corresponds to $x$ and $j$ to $y$. If $f_{i j}=1$, then for some $z,(x, z) \in E_{A}$, also $(z, y) \in E_{C} r$, i.e. $\Gamma_{A} z \not \equiv \Gamma_{A} y$. But then, according to the condition for the edge in $G(M)$, an edge $(x, y) \in E_{M}$ exactly then, when $f_{i j}=0$, and thus we have found the expression $\left(A^{T}\left[A\left(A^{T}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ for $M$. The criterion written formerly in terms of $M$ gives now the theorem

Theorem 1. A Boolean relation matrix $A$ has a generalized inverse if and only if $A=A\left(A^{T}\left[A\left(A^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right]^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime} A$.

## 3. A determination method

In this section we shall follow the lines of the paper [2] without trying to find solution algorithms analogues to those proposed by Rudeanu in [7] and Ledley in [1] for the Boolean matrix equations $A X=B$ and $X A=B$. The way of this paper is appropriate for moderate values of $n$.

In the following we shall construct the graph $G\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ by a graphical way; note that $B_{1}=B_{3}=A$ and $B_{2}=M^{\prime \prime}$. Consider a vertex $x \in V_{1}$. We can immediately determine the vertices in the sets $\left\{V_{1}^{\prime}-\Gamma_{B_{1}} x\right\}$ and $\left\{w \mid \Gamma_{B_{3}} w \cap\left\{V_{3}^{\prime}-\Gamma_{B_{3}} x\right\} \neq \varnothing\right\}$. Join any vertex $u \in \Gamma_{B_{1}} x$ to any vertex $w$ and repeat this process for any $x$ of $V_{1}$. The bipartite graph $G\left(B_{2}\right)$ of the chain graph $G\left(B_{1}\right) G\left(B_{2}\right) G\left(B_{3}\right)$ is $G\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$, since we have constructed all the edges contradicting the condition (ii) of the previous section and only those, as the construction immediately shows. From Theorem 1 it follows that one cannot from the graph $G\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ conclude the existence of a generalized inverse for $A$.

The existence of a generalized inverse for $A$ will be tested by verifying the validity of the condition (i) for any edge $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \in E_{A}$. This can be performed as follows: Join any vertex $u \in V_{3}\left(=V_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, for which $y^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{B_{3}} u$, to each vertex $z^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{B_{1}} x \subset$ $\subset V_{2}\left(=V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and remove all the edges contained in $G\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$. If the edge set $E_{B}$ of the graph $G\left(B_{2}\right)$ in the graph $G\left(B_{1}\right) G\left(B_{2}\right) G\left(B_{3}\right)$ constructed by this manner is non-empty for aby edge $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \in E_{A}$, (i) and (ii) are valid (cf. the removal of the edges in $G\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ), and hence a generalized inverse exists. We shall formulate the observations above in a theorem giving a criterion for the generalized inverse for $A$.

Denote by $Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$ the Boolean relation matrix of the edge $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \in E_{A}$ determined by the manner reported above.

Theorem 2. Let $A$ be a given Boolean relation matrix. A has a generalized inverse if and only if the matrix $Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$ is non-zero for any edge $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \in E_{A}$. Furthermore, if there is a generalized inverse for $A^{\prime}$, then any Boolean relation matrix $Q \leqslant \bigcup Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$, where $\left(x, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \in E_{A}$, is a generalized inverse for $A$, if $Q \cap Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$ is non-zero for any edge $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \in E_{A}$.

Proof. The validity of the first part of the theorem was shown previously. According to the construction rules of the graphs $G\left(Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right), G\left(\cup Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ does not contain edges contradicting the condition (ii). Since $Q \leqslant \bigcup_{\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)}^{\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)} Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$, $G(Q)$ has this property as well. As for any $\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \in E_{A}$ the meet $Q \stackrel{\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)}{\cap} Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$ is a non-zero matrix, $G(Q)$ is a graph for which the condition (i) hold, and hence $Q$ is a generalized inverse for $A$. This completes the proof.

Note that $\bigcup Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)=M$, since only those edges contained in $G\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ were
removed by the construction of $G\left(Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Further, if there is a generalized inverse for $A$. Theorem 2 offers a way of enumerating all the generalized inverses for $A$.
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Finally, consider an example. Let $A$ be a given Boolean relation matrix,

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x^{\prime} & y^{\prime} & z^{\prime} & v^{\prime} \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& x \\
& y \\
& z \\
& v
\end{aligned} . \text { Then } M=\left(A^{T}\left[A\left(A^{T}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{T}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x^{\prime} & y^{\prime} & z^{\prime} & v^{\prime} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& x \\
& y \\
& z \\
& v
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a generalized inverse for $A$, as one can readily verify. Figure 2 shows the construction of the graph and let us consider nearer the vertex $x$. Now $\Gamma_{B_{1}} x=$ $=\Gamma_{B_{3}} x=\left\{x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\},\left\{V_{1}^{\prime}-\Gamma_{B_{1}} x\right\}=\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}=\left\{V_{3}^{\prime}-\Gamma_{B_{3}} x\right\}$, and $\left\{w \mid \Gamma_{B_{3}} w \cap\left\{y^{\prime}\right\} \neq \emptyset\right\}=$ $=\{z\}$, which can be easily seen from the figure. According to the construction rule of $G\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(x, z^{\prime}\right),\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left(v, z^{\prime}\right)$ belong to the edge set $E_{M^{\prime \prime}}$.
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In Figure 4 all the graphs $G\left(Z\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are given, and Figure 3 shows the construction of $G\left(Z\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Now $\Gamma_{B_{1}} x=\left\{x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\}$ and for each vertex $t$ of the set $\{x, y, z, v\}, x^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{B_{3}} t$. Hence, in $G\left(B_{2}\right)$ any $t \in\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\}$ is joined by an edge o any $q \in\{x, y, v\}$. The dotted edges correspond to the edges of $G\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)$. All the generalized inverse can now be formed according to Theorem 2 .
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Wyznaczanie uogólnionej macierzy odwrotnej względen

## (boolowskiej) macierzy relacji

W pracy podano kryterium istnienia uogólnionej macierzy odwrotnej względem (boolowskiej) macierzy relacji oraz przedstawiono metodę jej wyznaczania.

## Определение обобщенной матрицы обратной по отношенню к булевой матрице соотношений

В работе дан критерий существования обобщенной матрицы обратной по отношению к булевой матрице соотношений а также представлен метод ее определения.
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