# Control and Cybernetics 

# Method of functions basis embeding in optimization problem 

by<br>STANISEAW M. GRZEGÓRSKI<br>Numerical Analysis Department<br>Institute of Mathematics<br>Marie Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin

In the paper an iterative method of minimizing a functional without constraints is presented. The method consists in replacing the problem of minimization of a functional defined on an infinitely dimensional space by an equivalent sequence of minimizations of functions defined on a $m$-dimensional space, $m$ being fixed. The convergence of the method is discussed.

## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a Banach space and let a linearly independent set of elements $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of $X$ be given, such that the linear spanned by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is dense in $X$. In addition, let an integer $m \geqslant 1$, a set $\Omega \subset R^{m}$ and a function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ be given, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i} \in \varphi(\Omega) \quad \text { for all } \quad i=1,2, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Usually $\Omega$ is either $R^{m}$ or a convex cone in $R^{m}$.
In this paper we will present a method of unconstrained minimization of a functional $f$ defined on $X$.

In Sec. 2 we will define this method iteratively

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\alpha_{i} \varphi\left(s_{i}\right), i=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in R, s_{i} \in \Omega$ are calculated by the minimization of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}(\alpha, s) \xlongequal{\text { df }} f\left(x_{i}-\alpha \varphi(s)\right), \alpha \in R, s \in \Omega . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Ritz's method one has to increase the dimension of auxiliary problem, whereas in the method presented here the minimization still proceeds on the set $R \times \Omega \subset R^{m+1}, m$ being fixed.

In Sec. 3 we will give a theorem on the weak convergence of the sequence $f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, where $f^{\prime}(x)$ denotes the Fréchet-differential at $x$. In Sec. 4 we will present
an example of sufficient conditions for the convergence of the sequence $x_{i}$. Some examples of a natural embeding of the set $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ in a finite-dimensional manifold will be given in Sec. 5. The material presented is taken from the doctoral dissertation ([1] Pt. II) written under the supervision of Dr. S. Ząbek.

## 2. Algorithm

Let $X$ be a Banach space and let a linearly independent set of elements $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of $X$ be given, such that the lineal spanned by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is dense in $X$.

Let there be given a point $x_{0} \in X$ and a functional $f: X \rightarrow R$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{x \in X} f(x)=d>-\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the following:

Problem A. Find a point $\tilde{x} \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tilde{x}) \leqslant d(1+\varepsilon) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a fixed non-negative number.
As a particularly case we may consider the problem:

$$
\text { find such } \bar{x} \in X \text { if it exists, that } f(\bar{x})=d \text {. }
$$

In addition, let there be given, an integer $m \geqslant 1$, a set $\Omega \subset R^{m}$ and a function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow X$, satisfying (1).

Now we can defined the following sets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}=\left[x \in X: f(x) \leqslant f\left(x_{0}\right)\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=[x \in X: x= \pm \varphi(s) /\|\varphi(s)\|, s \in \Omega, \varphi(s) \neq 0] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{0} \text { is bounded, }  \tag{8}\\
& \text { the functional } f \text { is Fréchet-differentiable on } X,  \tag{9}\\
& f^{\prime}(x) \text { satisfies the Lipschitz's condition on conv }\left(W_{0}\right) \text {. } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

First, for a given $f$ and $x_{0} \in X$ we formulate a method which generates a sequence $x_{k} \in X, k=1,2, \ldots$, such that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{ } 0 \text { (weakly*). }
$$

We fix $0<\varepsilon<1$.

Algorithm. For a given $x_{k}, k=0,1,2, \ldots$ we choose $y_{k} \in Q$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y_{k} \geqslant(1-\varepsilon) \sup _{y \in Q} f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define $r_{k} \in R$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k}=\min \left\{r>0: f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}-r y_{k}\right) y_{k}=0\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we select $t_{k} \in R$ and $z_{k} \in Q$ satisfying the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{k}-t_{k} z_{k}\right) \leqslant f\left(x_{k}-r_{k} y_{k}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for example, $t_{k}=r_{k}, z_{k}=y_{k}$, and we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-t_{k} z_{k} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The introduction of $\varepsilon$ into (11) assures the existence of $y_{k}$ in each iteration and also quarantees the convergence of the numerical realization of the method if $\varepsilon$ denotes a relative error generated in the calculation of $f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y_{k}$.

If $f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \neq 0$, then it follows from (8) and (10) that there exists $r_{k}$ defined by (10). On the other hand, if $f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)=0$, then the necessary condition for extremum is satisfied.

It is easy to verify that the method defined by (2), (3) is a particular case of the algorithm defined by (11)-(14).

## 3. Weak convergence of the algorithm

In this section we will give a theorem on the weak* convergence of the sequence $f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)$.

Theorem 1. Let the functional $f$ satisfy the conditions (4), (8)-(10), and the sequence $x_{k}$ be defined by (11)-(14), then

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { (weakly*) as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Proof. First we shall demonstrate that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y_{k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

The contradiction of this result will be proved here. We shall assume that there exist $\left\{k_{i}\right\}$, such that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k_{i}}\right) y_{k_{i}} \geqslant \delta>0 \quad \text { (eqs. (8), (9)). }
$$

From (8) and (10) it follows that there exists a sequence $q_{i} \in\left(0, r_{k_{i}}\right), \mathrm{i}=0,1,2, \ldots$, such that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k_{i}}-q_{i} y_{k_{i}}\right) y_{k_{l}}=\delta / 2 .
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{0}\right)-d \geqslant \sum_{i=0}^{i} {\left.\left[f x_{k_{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{k_{i}+1}\right)\right] \geqslant \sum_{i=0}^{l}\left[f\left(x_{k_{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{k_{i}}-q_{i} y_{k_{i}}\right)\right]=} \\
&=\sum_{i=0}^{i} f^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha_{i}}-\Theta_{i} q_{i} y_{k_{i}}\right) q_{i} y_{k_{i}} \geqslant \frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{i} q_{i}, \quad \Theta_{i} \in(0,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $q_{i} \rightarrow 0$ for $i \rightarrow \infty$ and also

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k_{i}}\right) y_{k_{i}}-f^{\prime}\left(x_{k_{i}}-q_{i} y_{k_{i}}\right) y_{k_{i}} \geqslant \delta-\frac{\delta}{2}=\frac{\delta}{2}>0 .
$$

By virtue of (8) we get

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k_{l}}\right) y_{k_{i}}-f^{\prime}\left(x_{k_{i}}-q_{i} y_{k_{i}}\right) y_{k_{i}} \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0 .
$$

The contradiction of this statement demonstrates that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y_{k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Due to inequality (9) we have

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) y_{k} \geqslant\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \frac{e_{j}}{\left\|e_{j}\right\|}\right|(1-\varepsilon) \quad \text { for } \quad j=1,2, \ldots, k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

which implies

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) e_{j} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { for } \quad j=1,2, \ldots .
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{k}^{j} f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) e_{j} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { for } \quad m \in N \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $b_{k}^{j,}$ are uniformly bounded.
Assumption (8) implies the boundness of $f^{\prime}(x)$ on $W_{0}$. Applying (13) we can say that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) v \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { for } \quad v \in X
$$

which means

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0(\text { weakly*) as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

## 4. Convergence of the algorithm

Now we can formulate the sufficient conditions for the strong convergence of the sequence $x_{k} \in X$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant f\left(x_{k+1}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots  \tag{14}\\
f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0(\text { weakly*) as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

to the point $\bar{x} \in X$, such that $f(\bar{x})<f(x)$ for $x \in X, x \neq \bar{x}$.
Theorem 2. Let $X$ denote a reflexive Banach space, let $f$ be continuously Fréchet--differentiable on $X$ and let there exists, a constant $c>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)-f(y) \geqslant\left(f^{\prime}(y), x-y\right)+c\|x-y\|^{2} \quad \text { for } \quad x, y \in X \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover we assume that the sequence $x_{k} \in X$ satisfies the conditions (14), (15).

Then there exists a limit

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=\bar{x} \in X
$$

and

$$
f^{\prime}(\bar{x})=0, f(\bar{x})<f(x) \quad \text { for } \quad x \in X, x \neq \bar{x}
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is weakly lower-semicontinuous on $X$ and

$$
f\left(x_{i}\right) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { if } \quad\left\|x_{i}\right\| \rightarrow \infty
$$

then due to the Generalized Theorem of Weierstrass there exists $\bar{x} \in X$ such that $f(\bar{x}) \leqslant f(x)$ for $x \in X$.

By the definition of Fréchet-differential we have $f^{\prime}(\bar{x})=0$. If $f\left(x_{1}\right) \leqslant f(x)$ for $x \in X$, and $f\left(x_{2}\right) \leqslant f(x)$ for $x \in X$, then

$$
0=f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right) \geqslant\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right), x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+c\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2} \geqslant 0 .
$$

Hence $x_{1}=x_{2}=\bar{x}$.
Let $X_{n}$ denote a linear subspace spanned by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$. By virtue of (15) we have

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right), v_{k}^{n}\right)=0, \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

if the sequences $v_{k}^{n} \in X_{n}$ are bounded.
Applying (16) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(x_{k}+v_{k}^{n}\right)-x\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) v_{k}^{n}\right)+c\left\|v_{k}^{n}\right\|^{2}, k=0,1,2, \ldots \\
n \text { - fixed. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{k}+v_{k}^{n}\right) \geqslant \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{k}\right)=f^{*} \geqslant f(\bar{x}) .
$$

We choos? sequences $\bar{v}_{k}^{n} \in X_{z}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$, such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{v}_{k}^{n}=\bar{x}-x_{k} \quad \text { for } \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

(such sequences exist because a linear subspace spanned by $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is dense in $\left.X\right)$. Then

$$
f(\bar{x}) \leqslant f^{*} \leqslant \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{v^{n} \in X_{n}} f\left(x_{k}+v^{n}\right) \leqslant \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{k}+\bar{v}_{k}^{n}\right)=f(\bar{x}),
$$

which means

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{k}\right)=f(\bar{x})
$$

Using (16) we obtain

$$
f\left(x_{k}\right)-f(\bar{x}) \geqslant\left(f^{\prime}(\bar{x}), x_{k}-\bar{x}\right)+c\left\|\bar{x}-x_{k}\right\|^{2}, k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and now it follows that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=\bar{x} \in X
$$

and $f(\bar{x})<f(x)$ for $x \in X, x \neq \bar{x}$.
Q.E.D.

Observe that the assumption (16) in Theorem 2 can also be replaced by some other conditions used by Loridan [2], [3], for example, instead of the condition (16) we may assume that $f$ is uniformly convex.

Theorem 2 can be applied to the problem:
let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be Hilbert spaces, $A \in L\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$.
Then

$$
f(x)=\left\|A x-y_{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2 and the minimization of functional $f$ yields a solution of the equation $A x=y_{0}$.

## 5. Examples

We give here some examples of sets $\Omega \subset R^{m}$ and functions $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow X$, such that $e_{i} \in \varphi(\Omega)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$.

Example 1. Let $X=L^{2}[a, b], a>0$ with $e_{i}=t^{i}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, t \in[a, b]$, then we assume

$$
m=1, \Omega=R, \varphi(s)=t^{s}, s \in \Omega, \quad t \in[a, b]
$$

and obviously

$$
\varphi(i)=e_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad i=0,1,2 \ldots .
$$

Example 2. Let $X=L^{2}[0, \pi]$ with linearly independent functions $1, \cos t, \sin t$, $\cos 2 t, \sin 2 t, \ldots$, then we assume

$$
\begin{gathered}
m=3, \Omega=R^{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(s)=\cos \left(s_{1} t\right)+s_{2} \sin \left(s_{3} t\right) \\
t \in[0, \pi], s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right), s_{i} \in R, i=1,2,3 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 3. Let $X=\left[x(t) \in H_{1}[0,1]: x(0)=0\right], e_{k}=t \exp (k t)$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, $t \in[0,1]$, where $H_{1}[0,1]$ - a Sobolev space.

Let

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(x)=\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left[x^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2}+[x(t)]^{2}+2 t^{2} x(t)\right\} d t, \\
x(0)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Problem: minimize $f(x), x \in X$.
The solution of this problem is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}(t)=(2-c) \exp t+c \exp (-t)-t^{2}-2 \\
& f(\bar{x})=-0.051100855 \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=1.11353988$... .

We take $\Omega=(-\infty, \infty)$ and $\varphi(s)=t \exp (s t)$. In this case the new method consists in the minimization of the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{k}(\alpha, s)=f\left(x_{k}(t)+\alpha t \exp (s t)\right) \\
& t \in[0,1], \quad \text { for } \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
x_{k}(t)=x_{k-1}(t)-\alpha_{k} t \exp \left(s_{k} t\right) \quad \text { for } \quad k=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{0}(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[0,1] \\
h_{k}\left(\alpha_{k}, s_{k}\right) \leqslant h_{k}(\alpha, s) \quad \text { for } \quad \alpha, s \in(-\infty, \infty) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have performed the computations and have obtained

| $i$ | $\alpha_{i}$ | $s_{i}$ | $f\left(x_{k}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.278314 | -0.42 | -0.04984 |
| 2 | $0.312676-7$ | 12.62 | -0.05046 |
| 3 | $0.809892-1$ | -4.68 | -0.05083 |
| 4 | $-0.113865-1$ | -0.30 | -0.05093 |

Similar examples can be constructed in the spaces of functions of several variables.
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## Metoda zanurzania bazy funkcyjnej w zagadnieniach optymalizacji

Przedstawiono metodę iteracyjną minimalizacji funkcjonalu bez ograniczeń. Metoda ta polega na zastapieniu zadania minimalizacji funkcjonału określonego na przestrzeni nieskończenie wielowymiarowej równoważnym ciągiem zadań minimalizacji funkcji określonych na przestrzeniach $m$-wymiarowych, przy czym $m$ jest liczbą ustaloną. Omówiono też zbieżność metody.

## Метод погружения функционального базиса в оптимизационных задачах

В работе представлен итерационный метод минимизации функционала без ограничений. Метод состоит в замене задачи минимизации функционала, определенного на бесконечном многомерном пространстве, эквивалентной последовательностью задач минимизации функций определенных на $m$-мерных пространствах, причем $m$ является определенным числом. Рассматривается сходимость метода.

