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#### Abstract

The paper presents a generalization of the Morrison's method, i.e. one-parameter shifted penalty function technique for equality constrained optimization problems, which can be applied to minimize a functional on a topological space under constraints of various types. A modification of the penalty function, which depends in this case on three parameters, has been performed and the influence of parameters $p$ and $r$ on the rate of convergence of the method has been investigated.


## 1. Introduction

The penalty function techniques is one of the most general tools for the numerical solution of constrained minimization problems. A method, which avoids most of the difficulties associated with unconstrained methods described so far, was introduced by Rockafellar in 1970 [7] under the name of augmented Lagrangean method. The original idea, presented independently by Hestenes and Powell in 1969 ([3], [6]) for equality constrained problems, leads to the development of several algorithms for inequality-constrained minimization problems, for example: the shifted penalty function - Wierzbicki in 1971 [8]. A comprehensive survey of works dealing with this problem has been given by Mangasarian [4]. He presents optimality conditions, without inequalities, in the form of $n+m$ equations, for following problem:

Problem 1.
minimize $f(x)$ subject to $g(x) \leqslant 0$
where $f: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ and $g: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}$.
Then, he offers an interpretation of the $m$-parameters augmented Lagrangean method as a means of finding a solution of the system considered.

For the equality constrained problem, Morrison gives in 1968 [5] a one-parameter exact penalty function, which is always non-negative, reaching the zero value at
the solution of the problem. This property of the function is in practice a convenient termination criterion.

It appears that the Morrison's method may be applied to Problem 1. Let

$$
Q=[x \in X: g(x) \leqslant 0] .
$$

We assume that a number $M_{0}$ is known, such that

Define

$$
f(x) \geqslant M_{0}>-\infty \text { for } x \in Q .
$$

$$
I_{k}(x)=\left(f(x)-M_{k}\right)^{2}+G(x) \text { for } x \in R^{n}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{k+1}=M_{k}+\left\{I_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}, k=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
I_{k}\left(x_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(f(x)-M_{k}\right)^{2}+G(x) \text { for } x \in R^{n} \\
G(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[g_{i}(x)_{+}\right]^{2}, g_{i}(x)_{+}=\max \left\{0, g_{i}(x)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

where

Thus, it follows that for Problem 1, particularly if $m$ is large, the Morrison's method have the advantage of being a one-parameter exact penalty method.

In the paper we will discuss a generalization of this method to the case of the minimization of a functional on a topological space under constraints of various types. Besides, we will modify the penalty function so that it depends now on three parameters, only one of them being a variable. In this case the penalty function for Problem 1 has the following form:
where

$$
I_{k}(x)=p\left(f(x)-M_{k}\right)_{+}^{r}+G(x), k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
p>0, r>0, \quad p, r \text {-fixed, } \\
G(x) \geqslant 0 \text { for } x \in R^{n}, \\
G(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x \in Q .
\end{gathered}
$$

For example

$$
G(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[g_{i}(x)_{+}\right]^{r} .
$$

Observe that $I_{k}(x)$ retains some properties of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ (convexity, lower semicontinuity), which the Morrison's penalty function lacks. In this paper we will give very general sufficient conditions for the numerical convergence of the method and explain the influence of $p$ and $r$ on the rate of convergence of the method. The material presented is based on the doctoral dissertation ([2] Pt. I), written under the supervision of Dr. Ś. Ząbek.

## 2. One-parameter shifted penalty function

Let $X$ denote a topological space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F: X \rightarrow R-\text { a real functional, } \\
& Q \subset X, Q \text { a non-empty set. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 2. Calculate $D=\inf _{x \in Q} F(x)$ assuming that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \geqslant M>-\infty, M \text { - a known number. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let there be two numbers $p>0$ and $r>0$. We take a functional $G: X \rightarrow R$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(x) \geqslant 0 \text { for } x \in X,  \tag{2}\\
G(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x \in Q . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Define a functional $I$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=p(F(x)-M)_{+}^{r}+G(x), x \in X \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
(F(x)-M)_{+}=\max \{0, F(x)-M\}
$$

(we can also take $\left.(F(x)-M)_{+}=|F(x)-M|\right)$ and establish $0<\varepsilon<1$.
Problem 3. Find $x_{0} \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{x \in X} I(x) \leqslant I\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant(1+\varepsilon) \inf _{x \in X} I\left(x_{0}\right) \text {. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the forms of functional $G$ and $r>0$ are such that we known an efficient method of solving Problem 3. From the method presented below it follows that Problem 2 is to be replaced by an equivalent sequence of problems of the Problem 3 type. The introduction of $\varepsilon$ assures the existence of $x \in X$ satisfying (5), and also assures the convergence of the method even if the minimization of $I(x)$ is not too exact. Moreover, one can apply this method to find $\bar{x} \in Q$ if it exists such that

$$
F(\bar{x})=\inf _{x \in Q} F(x)=D .
$$

This results from the following fact. If we know the number $D$ and there exists $\bar{x} \in Q$, such that $F(\bar{x})=D$, then

$$
I_{D}(\bar{x})=0 \text { and } I_{D}(x) \geqslant 0 \text { for all } x \in X,
$$

where

$$
I_{D}(x)=p(F(x)-D)_{+}^{r}+G(x), p>0, r>0,
$$

and, conversely, if there exists $\tilde{x} \in X$ such that

$$
I_{D}(\tilde{x})=0 \text { then } F(\tilde{x})=D \text { and } \tilde{x} \in Q .
$$

To solve Problem 2 we define the following iteration:
Let $M_{0}=0$. We find a sequence $x_{k} \in X, k=0,1,2, \ldots$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k} \leqslant I_{k}\left(x_{k}\right) \leqslant(1+\varepsilon) d_{k}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a sequence $M_{k}$

$$
M_{k+1}=M_{k}+\left\{(1-\varepsilon) I_{k}\left(x_{k}\right) / p\right\}^{1 / r}, k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{k}(x)=p\left(F(x)-M_{k}\right)_{+}^{r}+G(x), x \in X  \tag{8}\\
d_{k}=\inf _{x \in X} I_{k}(x) \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we shall prove the following

Theorem 1. If $M_{0} \leqslant D$, the sequence $x_{k}$ satisfies (6) and $M_{k}$ is defined by (7), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{k+1} \leqslant D, k=0,1,2, \ldots  \tag{10}\\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{k}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M_{k} \leqslant D  \tag{11}\\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} I_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{k}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
(1-\varepsilon) I_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant d_{0}=\inf _{x \in X} I_{0}(x) \leqslant \inf _{x \in Q} I_{0}(x) \leqslant p\left(D-M_{0}\right)_{+}^{r},
$$

then

$$
D \subset M_{0} \geqslant\left\{(1-\varepsilon) I_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) / p\right\}^{1 / r}
$$

and

$$
D \geqslant M_{1} .
$$

Similarly, one can prove that $M_{k} \leqslant D$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$. From this and the fact that the sequence $M_{k}$ is increasing it follows that there exists $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M_{k} \leqslant D$. Hence

$$
0=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} p\left(M_{k+1}-M_{k}\right)^{r}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(1-\varepsilon) I_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

which implies that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{k}\right)=0 \text { and } \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{k}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M_{k}
$$

The next theorem provides a condition for

Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M_{k}=D . \\
Q_{t}=[x \in X: G(x) \leqslant t], t \geqslant 0,  \tag{13}\\
h(t)=\inf _{x \in Q_{t}} F(x) . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $Q_{0}=Q$, then $h(0)=D$.
Theorem 2. If $h(t)$ is right continuous at $t=0$, then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M_{k}=D,
$$

where $M_{k}$ is defined by (7).
Proof. Let $t_{k}=G\left(x_{k}\right), k=0,1,2, \ldots$. Clearly

$$
F\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant h\left(t_{k}\right) \text { and } \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=0
$$

## Hence

$$
\underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } M_{k}=\underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } F\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } h\left(t_{k}\right)=h(0)=D \geqslant \underset{\overline{k \rightarrow \infty}}{\lim } M_{k} \text { and } \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } M_{k}=D \text {. } \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
$$

The result presented below refers to the weak convergence of sequence $x_{k}$.
Theorem 3. Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space, $F, G$ be weak lower semicontinuous on $X$ and let there exists $R>0$ and $\delta>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(F(x)-D)_{+}+G(x) \geqslant \delta \quad \text { if } \quad\|x\| \geqslant R \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { there exists } \bar{x} \in Q \text {, such that } F(\bar{x})=D=\inf _{x \in Q} F(x)  \tag{16}\\
& \qquad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M_{k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{k}\right)=D \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

the sequence $x_{k}$ has a weak cluster point $x^{*} \in X$ and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { moreover, } x^{*} \in Q, F\left(x^{*}\right)=D \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, if there exists exactly one point $\bar{x} \in Q$ such

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { that } F(\bar{x})=D \text {, then } x_{k} \rightarrow \bar{x} \text { (weakly). } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since the set $Q \cap\left[x \in X:(F(x)-D)_{+}+G(x) \leqslant \delta\right]$ is non-empty, bounded and weakly closed, then the Generalized Theorem of Weierstrass implies (16).

If $\left\|x_{k_{i}}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, then by (12) and (15) we have

$$
F\left(x_{k_{i}}\right) \geqslant D+\frac{\delta}{2} \text { for } i \geqslant n_{0} \in N
$$

From (11) it follows that the sequence $x_{k}$ is bounded and includes a subsequence convergeding weakly to $x^{*} \in x$

The assumptions of the Theorem under discussion imply that
and

$$
0=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant G\left(x^{*}\right) \geqslant 0 \rightarrow x^{*} \in Q, F\left(x^{*}\right) \geqslant D
$$

$$
D \geqslant \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant F\left(x^{*}\right) \geqslant D .
$$

Thus

$$
x^{*} \in Q, F\left(x^{*}\right)=D, \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{k}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M_{k}=D,
$$

which implies also (19).
Q.E.D.

In the space $X=R^{n}$ a weak convergence is, at the same time, a strong convergence.
Let $F: X \rightarrow R, X$ - a reflexive Banach space, $F$ - Fréchet-differentiable on $X$, and let there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)-F(y) \geqslant\left(F^{\prime}(y), x-y\right)+c\|x-y\|^{2} \text { for all } x, y \in X \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then one can verify that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{k} \rightarrow x^{*} \text { (weakly) } \\
F\left(x_{k}\right) \rightarrow F\left(x^{*}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow\left\{\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\|-\rightarrow 0\right\} .
$$

Example. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=\left[(x, u) \in H_{n}^{1}[0, T] \times L_{m}^{1}[0, T]: \dot{x}(t)=A(t) x(t)+B(t) u(t)\right. \\
\left.x(0)=x_{0} \in R^{n}, 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T<+\infty, T-\text { fixed }\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where $H_{n}^{1}[0, T]$ - a Sobolev space, and let $A[n \times n], B[n \times n]$ be continuous.
Let

$$
F(x, u)=\|x-q\|^{2}+\|u\|^{2}, q \in L_{n}^{2}[0, T], q \text { - fixed. }
$$

Problem S. Minimize $F(x, u):(x, u) \in Q$.
Here we take

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{0}=0 \\
G(x, u)=\left\|x^{\prime}-A x-B u\right\|^{2}+\left\|x(0)-x_{0}\right\|^{2} \\
I_{k}(x, u)=p\left(F(x, u)-M_{k}\right)_{+}^{r}+G(x, u), p>0, r>0,
\end{gathered}
$$

By Theorem 3 there exists $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \in Q$ such that

$$
F(\bar{x}, \bar{u})<F(x, u) \text { for all }(x, u) \in Q
$$

We assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<F\left(x_{1}, u_{1}\right) \leqslant F(x, u) \text { for }(x, u) \in Q \\
& 0<F\left(x_{2}, u_{2}\right) \leqslant F(x, u) \text { for }(x, u) \in Q
\end{aligned}
$$

then we take $\lambda \in(0,1)$, and denoting

$$
x_{\lambda}=\lambda x_{1}+(1-\lambda) x_{2}, u_{\lambda}=\lambda u_{1}+(1-\lambda) u_{2}
$$

we get $G\left(x_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right)=0$,

$$
F\left(x_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}\right) \leqslant \lambda^{2} F\left(x_{1}, u_{1}\right)+(1-\lambda)^{2} F\left(x_{1}, u_{2}\right)<F\left(x_{1}, u_{1}\right) .
$$

Consequently, $x_{1}=x_{2}=\bar{x}$ and $u_{1}=u_{2}=\bar{u}$.
Since $F(x, u)$ satisfies the condition (20) with $c=1$, then Theorem 3 implies

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\|x_{k}-\bar{x}\right\|->0 \\
\left\|u_{k}-\bar{u}\right\| \rightarrow 0
\end{array}\right\} \text { as } k->\infty
$$

where the sequence $\left(x_{k}, u_{k}\right)$ is defined as $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ in (6).

## 3. Influence of $p$ and $r$ on the rate of convergence of the Morrison's method

In this section we will consider the influence of $p$ and $r$ on the rate of convergence of the Morrison's method in the limit case $\varepsilon=0$. However, as previously, we fix $M>-\infty$ such that
where $X$ is a topological space. $\quad M \leqslant D=\inf _{x \in Q} F(x)$,

We denote

$$
\begin{gather*}
d(p, r)=\inf _{x \in X}\left\{p(F(x)-M)_{+}^{r}+G(x)\right\}, p>0, r>0,  \tag{21}\\
M(p, r)=M+\{d(p, r) / p\}^{1 / r} . \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying Theorem 1 we see that $M(p, r) \leqslant D$.
Here we are interested in choosing the values $p$ and $r$, so that $M(p, r)$ may attain the greatest possible value. First, we shall introduce some additional notations. For a fixed $p>0$ and $r>0$ we take a sequence $x_{k} \in X$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{p\left(F\left(x_{k}\right)-M\right)_{+}^{r}+G\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}=d(p, r)
$$

The sequence $F\left(x_{k}\right), G\left(x_{k}\right)$ are bounded, so that one can select a subsequence $x_{k_{i}}$ such that there exist the limits

Then we define

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{k_{i}}\right) \text { and } \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{k_{i}}\right) . \\
f(p, r)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{k_{i}}\right)  \tag{23}\\
g(p, r)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{k_{i}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(p, r)=p(f(p, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(p, r) \text { for } p>0, r>0 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can discuss the influence of $r$ on $M(p, r)$.

Theorem 4. Apart from (21)-(25), $M \leqslant D$, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r>s>0 \text { and }(f(p, s)-M)_{+}^{s} \geqslant 1 / e \tag{26a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
s>r>0 \text { and }(f(p, s)-M)_{+}^{s}+g(p, s) / p \leqslant 1 / e \tag{26b}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(p, r) \leqslant M(p, s) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
y(t)=\left(a^{t}+b\right)^{1 / t}, a>0, b>0, t>0,
$$

then

$$
y^{\prime}(t)<0 \Leftrightarrow\left(a^{t}\right)^{a^{t}}<\left(a^{t}+b\right)^{a^{t}+b} .
$$

Let $u=a^{t}$. The function $\varphi(u)=u^{u}$ is increasing, if $u \geqslant 1 / e$, and decreasing if $0<u \leqslant 1 / e$. Hence, by hypothesis (26) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(p, s)=M+\left\{(f(p, s)-M)_{+}^{s}\right. & +g(p, s) / p\}^{1 / s} \geqslant \\
& \geqslant M+\left\{(f(p, s)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(p, s) / p\right\}^{1 / r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now (21), (22) imply (26).
Q.E.D.

Thus, we see that in constructing the functional

$$
I_{k}(x)=p\left(F(x)-M_{k}\right)_{+}^{r}+G(x), x \in X
$$

we usually take small $r$ 's for small $k$ 's.

However, this situation will change in the iteration, in which

$$
(f(p, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(p, r) / p \leqslant 1 / e .
$$

In practice, we most often choose $r=1,2,3$. We are still less restricted in the selection of the value $p$, whose role will be explained by Theorems 5 and 6 .

Theorem 5. Assuming (21)-(24), let $M \leqslant D, q>p>0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(q, r) \leqslant M(p, r)  \tag{28}\\
& g(q, r) \geqslant g(p, r)  \tag{29}\\
& \lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(p, r) \leqslant D  \tag{30}\\
& \lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(p, r)=0 \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f(p, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(p, r) / p \geqslant & (f(p, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(p, r) / q \geqslant \\
& \geqslant(f(q, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(q, r) / q, \text { then }(28) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& d(p, r)=p(f(p, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(p, r) \leqslant p(f(q, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(q, r) \\
& d(q, r)=q(f(q, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(q, r) \leqslant q(f(p, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(p, r) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
(f(q, r)-M)_{+} \leqslant(f(p, r)-M)_{+}
$$

and, consequently, due to (32)

$$
d(p, r)=p(f(p, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(p, r) \leqslant p(f(p, r)-M)_{+}^{r}+g(p, r)
$$

which implies (29).
The condition (10) implies

$$
M \leqslant M(p, r) \leqslant D \text { for } p>0, r>0 .
$$

Thus, due to (28), there exists a limit

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(p, r) \leqslant D .
$$

Since (29) and $g(p, r) \geqslant 0$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(p, r) \leqslant D$, then there exist the limits

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(p, r) / p \text { and } \lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(p, r)=0 . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
$$

In Theorem 6 we give a condition for

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(p, r)=D .
$$

Theorem 6. Let $h(t)$ be defined by (14) and let $h(t)$ be right continuous at $t=0$, $M \leqslant D$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(p, r)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(p, r)=D,  \tag{33}\\
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(p, r) / p=0 . \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Since $\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(p, r)=0$, then we have
$D \geqslant \lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(p, r) \geqslant \lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}}\{M+(f(p, r)-M)\}=$

$$
=\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(p, r) \geqslant \lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} h(g(p, r))=D .
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(p, r)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(p, r)=D
$$

and also

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\{(f(p, r)-M)^{r}+g(p, r) / p\right\}^{1 / r}=D-M
$$

which implies (34).
Q.E.D.

Remarks: 1. Theorem 6 states that the sequence $M_{k}$ defined by (7) is parametrically superlinearly convergent.
2. For $0<\varepsilon<1$ one can prove that

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(p, r) \geqslant D-\left[1-(1-\varepsilon)^{1 / r}\right](D-M) .
$$

Below we present an example of the influence of parameter $p$ on the costs of the method.

Example. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(x)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}-x_{1} x_{2}-x_{2} x_{3}-x_{3} x_{4}, x \in R^{4}, \\
g_{1}(x)=x_{1}-x_{3}-x_{4}+3, \\
g_{2}(x)=x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}, \\
Q=\left[x \in R^{4}: g_{1}(x)=0, g_{2}(x)=0\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Find $\bar{x} \in Q$, such that $F(\bar{x}) \leqslant F(x)$ for $x \in Q$.
The solution of this problem is $\bar{x}=(-1.5,-0.5,0.5,-1.0)$. For this problem we apply the Generalized Morrison's Method with $r$ (er) $=2$.

Let $M_{0}=0$ (because $F(x) \geqslant 0$ for $x \in R^{4}$ ), $G(x)=g_{1}^{2}(x)+g_{2}^{2}(x), x \in R^{4}$.
We have performed the computation on a computer, using the procedure "qnmder" [1], the initial point $x_{0}=(0,0,0,0)$ and the identical termination criterion

$$
\left\|\nabla I_{k+1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\| \leqslant 10^{-8}
$$

and have obtained

| p | itr | nf |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 45 | 1088 |
| 0.1 | 14 | 344 |
| 0.01 | 6 | 142 |
| 0.001 | 4 | 81 |

where: itr - the number of iterations (the sequence $M_{k}$ ), nf - the number of computed values of $I_{k}(x)$.

Thus, we see that the insertion of parameter $p$ to the Morrison's penalty function can, in practice, radically reduce the costs of the method.
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## Uogólnienie metody Morrisona

Przedstawiono uogólnienie metody Morrisona, to jest metody jednoparametrowej przesuwanej funkcji kary dla zadań optymalizacji z ograniczeniami równościowymi, która może być stosowana do minimalizacji funkcjonału określonego na przestrzeni topologicznej przy różnego typu ograniczeniach. Przedstawiono modyfikację funkcji kary, zależnej w tym przypadku od trzech parametrów, i zbadano wplyw parametrów $p$ oraz $r$ na szybkość zbieżności metody.

## Обобщение метода Моррисона

В работе представлено обобщение метода Моррисона, т.е. метода сдвигаемой штрафной функции с одним параметром для задач оптимизации с ограничениями типа равенств, который может быть применен для минимизации функционала определенного на топологическом пространстве при разного рода ограничениях. Представлена модификация штрафной функции, которая зависит в этом случае от трех параметров и исследовано влияние параметров $p$ и $r$ на скорость сходимости метода.

