

*Dedicated to
Professor Jakub Gutenbaum
on his 70th birthday*

Control and Cybernetics

vol. **29** (2000) No. 1

On $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex and strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions

by

Stefan Rolewicz

Institute of Mathematics,
Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

Abstract: The paper introduces the notion of strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions. Relations between strong $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvexity and $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvexity are investigated.

Keywords: paraconvex functions, strongly paraconvex functions

Let $\alpha(t)$ be a nondecreasing function mapping the interval $[0, +\infty)$ into the interval $[0, +\infty]$ such that $\alpha(0) = 0$ and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t} < +\infty. \quad (1)$$

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let Ω be a convex subset of X . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a real valued function defined on Ω . We say that the function $f(\cdot)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with a constant $C > 0$ if for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + C\alpha(\|x-y\|). \quad (2)$$

We say that the function $f(\cdot)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex, if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that the function $f(\cdot)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with the constant $C > 0$. For $\alpha(t) = t^2$ this definition was introduced in Rolewicz (1979a) and the t^2 -paraconvex functions were called simply paraconvex functions. In Rolewicz (1979b) the notion was extended of the case $\alpha(t) = t^\gamma, 1 \leq \gamma$, and the t^γ -paraconvex functions were called γ -paraconvex functions.

We say that the function $f(\cdot)$ is *strongly* $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with a constant $C_1 > 0$ if for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + C_1 \min[t, (1-t)]\alpha(\|x-y\|). \quad (3)$$

If there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that the function f is strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with the constant $C_1 > 0$, we say that the function f is *strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex*.

Of course every function $f(\cdot)$ strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with a constant $C_1 > 0$ is also $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with the constant $C_1 > 0$. It was shown in Rolewicz (1979a,b) that for $\alpha(t) = t^\gamma$, $1 < \gamma \leq 2$, any $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex function is simultaneously strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex.

In this short paper properties of $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex and strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions are investigated.

Similarly as in Rolewicz (1979b) we can demonstrate

PROPOSITION 1 *Let $f(\cdot)$ be an $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex function defined on a convex set Ω of a normed space X . Suppose that for each straight line L the function $f(\cdot)$ restricted to the intersection $L \cap \Omega$, $f|_{L \cap \Omega}$ is absolutely continuous. If*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t^2} = 0, \quad (4)$$

then $f(\cdot)$ is convex.

Proof. Observe that the consideration can be restricted to the set $L \cap \Omega$, since convexity of a function on all such sets implies the convexity of the function on the whole set Ω .

Thus, without loss of generality we may assume that we consider an $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex absolutely continuous function $f(\cdot)$ defined on the interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$.

By (4) there is a sequence $\{t_n\}$ tending to 0 such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(t_n)}{t_n^2} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Since the function $f(\cdot)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $k > 0$ such that $(k+1)t_n < b-a$ we have

$$f(a+kt_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}[f(a+(k+1)t_n) + f(a+(k-1)t_n)] + C\alpha(2t_n)$$

and for every $k > 0$ such that $(k+2)t_n < b-a$ we have

$$f(a+(k+1)t_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}[f(a+(k+2)t_n) + f(a+kt_n)] + C\alpha(2t_n).$$

Adding this two inequalities and multiplying by 2 we get for every $k > 0$ such that $(k+1)t_n < b-a$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2f(a+kt_n) + 2f(a+(k+1)t_n) \\ & \leq [f(a+(k+1)t_n) + f(a+(k-1)t_n)] + \end{aligned}$$

$$[f(a + (k + 2)t_n) + f(a + kt_n)] + 2C\alpha(2t_n).$$

Hence,

$$[f(a + kt_n) - f(a + (k - 1)t_n)] - [f(a + (k + 2)t_n) - f(a + (k + 1)t_n)] \leq 2C\alpha(2t_n). \quad (6)$$

Thus, by adding inequalities $(6_k), (6_{k+1}), \dots, (6_{k+m-1})$ for m such that $(k + m + 1)t_n < b - a$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [f(a + kt_n) - f(a + (k - 1)t_n)] - [f(a + (k + m + 1)t_n) - f(a + (k + m)t_n)] \\ & \leq 2C(m - 1)\alpha(2t_n). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By our assumptions the function $f(\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous. Thus it is differentiable almost everywhere. Let τ_1 and τ_2 be two arbitrary points in which the function $f(\cdot)$ is differentiable. Let $a < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < b$. Let k_n and m_n be two sequences chosen in such a way that $k_n t_n$ tends to τ_1 and $(k_n + m_n)t_n$ tends to \hat{t} .

Dividing both sides of (7) by t_n and recalling that $m_n < \frac{(b-a)}{t_n}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f(a + kt_n) - f(a + (k - 1)t_n)}{t_n} - \frac{f(a + (k + m + 1)t_n) - f(a + (k + m)t_n)}{t_n} \\ & \leq 2C(b - a) \frac{\alpha(2t_n)}{t_n^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Taking (5) into account and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$f'(\tau_1) \leq f'(\tau_2)$$

which shows that the function $f(\cdot)$ is convex. ■

It is not clear whether the assumption that for each straight line L the function $f(\cdot)$ restricted to the intersection $L \cap \Omega$, $f|_{L \cap \Omega}$ is absolutely continuous is essential.

Proposition 1 can be reversed in the following way

PROPOSITION 2 *If*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t^2} > 0, \quad (9)$$

then there is an $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex function which is not convex.

Proof. Suppose that $X = \mathbb{R}$. By (9) there are $C, r > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha(t)}{t^2} > C$$

for $0 < t \leq r$. We put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -Cx^2 & \text{for } |x| \leq r, \\ -Cr^2 & \text{for } |x| > r. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $f(\cdot)$ is an $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex function with the constant C .

This finishes the proof for the case of $X = \mathbb{R}$. In the general case we simply extend the function $f(\cdot)$ from one-dimensional subspace on the whole space using the fact that any normed space can be decomposed into a direct sum of a one-dimensional subspace and a subspace of codimension 1. ■

The function constructed in the Proposition 2 is also strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex. Under an additional assumption we can construct a function, which is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex and which is not strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex.

PROPOSITION 3 *If (9) holds and*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t} = 0, \quad (10)$$

then there is a function $f(\cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\cdot)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex and it is not strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex.

Proof. At the beginning we shall prove the Proposition 3 for the case of $X = \mathbb{R}$. By (9) there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha(t)}{t^2} > C \quad (11)$$

for $0 < t \leq 1$.

We put

$$f(x) = \max_{-\infty < n < +\infty} \left(1 - (x - (2n + 1))^2 \right). \quad (12)$$

The function f is non-negative and not greater than 1, $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$. Moreover, $f(2n) = 0$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. It is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with the constant $\frac{1}{C}$. Indeed, if $|x - y| \geq 1$, then by (11) and the fact that $\alpha(\cdot)$ is nondecreasing $\alpha(|x - y|) > C$. Thus

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq 1 \leq \frac{1}{C}\alpha(|x - y|) \leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y) + \frac{1}{C}\alpha(|x - y|). \quad (13)$$

If $|x - y| \leq 1$, then on the interval $[\min(x, y), \max(x, y)]$ the function $f(\cdot)$ is a difference of a convex function and the quadratic function x^2 . Thus it is t^2 -paraconvex with constant 1. Therefore by (11) it is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with the constant $\frac{1}{C}$.

By (10) there is a sequence $\{t_n\}$ tending to infinity such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(t_n)}{t_n} = 0. \quad (14)$$

Now we consider our function on the interval $[0, t_n]$. Let t be an arbitrary positive number. Let $\lambda_n = \frac{t}{t_n}$. Suppose that the function $f(\cdot)$ considered above is strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with a constant C . It means that

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= f(\lambda_n t_n + (1 - \lambda_n)0) \leq \lambda_n f(t_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)f(0) + \lambda_n C \alpha(t_n) \\ &\leq \lambda_n + \lambda_n C \alpha(t_n) = \frac{t}{t_n} + C \frac{\alpha(t_n)}{t_n} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (14). Since $f(t)$ is non-negative, we get that $f(t) = 0$, a contradiction.

This finishes the proof for the case of $X = \mathbb{R}$. In the general case we simply extend the function $f(\cdot)$ from the one-dimensional subspace on the whole space using the fact that any normed space can be decomposed into a direct sum of a one-dimensional subspace and a subspace of codimension 1. ■

Proposition 3 can be reversed under an additional assumption in the following way.

PROPOSITION 4 *Suppose that*

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt < +\infty. \quad (15)$$

If

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t} > 0, \quad (16)$$

then each $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex function $f(\cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex.

The proof is based on the following lemma:

LEMMA 1

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt < +\infty,$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} 2^n \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) < +\infty. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Indeed, since $\alpha(\cdot)$ is non-decreasing we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} 2^n \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} 2^{n-1} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) \leq \int_1^{+\infty} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt \leq \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} 2^n \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right). \quad (18)$$

■

Proof of Proposition 4. By (16) there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha(t)}{t} > K$$

for $t > 1$.

Let $0 < \lambda < 1$. Suppose that $\lambda\|x - y\| > 1$. This implies that $\|x - y\| > 1$. Since $f(\cdot)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex there is a $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &\leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) + C\alpha(\|x - y\|) \leq \\ &\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) + C\alpha(1) \\ &\leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) + C\alpha(1) \frac{1}{K} \frac{\alpha(\|x - y\|)}{\|x - y\|} \leq \\ &\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) + \alpha(1) \frac{C}{K} \lambda \alpha(\|x - y\|). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Suppose that $\lambda\|x - y\| \leq 1$. Let $x \neq y$ and let $F_{x,y}(t) = f(x + t \frac{y-x}{\|x-y\|})$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Of course $F_{x,y}(0) = f(x)$ and $F_{x,y}(\|x - y\|) = f(y)$. Observe that the function $f(\cdot)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex (strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex) if and only if $F_{x,y}(t)$ are $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex (strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex) for all x, y .

Since $F_{x,y}(\cdot)$ is a function of a real variable it is enough to restrict ourselves to the case when $X = \mathbb{R}$.

We shall start our proof with the case of $x = 0$ and $y = 1$. Let $g(t)$ be an arbitrary $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex function defined on \mathbb{R} . Let $\hat{g}(t) = g(t) - g(0) - t[g(1) - g(0)]$. It is easy to see that $\hat{g}(0) = \hat{g}(1) = 0$ and that $g(t)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex (strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex) with a constant \hat{C} if and only if $\hat{g}(t)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex (resp. strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex) with the constant \hat{C} .

Recall that we have assumed that (15) (hence (17)) holds. Now we shall show by induction that

$$\hat{g}\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) \leq \hat{C} \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{i-n} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^{i-1}}\right). \quad (20)$$

For $n = 1$ it trivially follows from the fact that $\hat{g}(t)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex. Suppose that (20) is true for certain n . Then by the fact that $\hat{g}(t)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex we have

$$\hat{g}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{g}(0) + \hat{g}\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) \right) + \hat{C} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) \leq \hat{C} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) + \hat{C} \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{i-n-1} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^{i-1}}\right)$$

$$= \hat{C} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} 2^{i-(n+1)} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^{i-1}}\right). \quad (21)$$

Now let $\lambda = \frac{1}{2^n}$. By (20)

$$\frac{\hat{g}(\lambda)}{\lambda} \leq \hat{C} \sum_{i=1}^n 2^i \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right) \leq \hat{C} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right) < \infty. \quad (22)$$

Let $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $\frac{1}{2^n} \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$. Since $\hat{g}(t)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex we have

$$\hat{g}(\lambda) \leq \max\left[\hat{g}\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right), \hat{g}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)\right] + \hat{C} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) \leq \frac{\hat{C}}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right) + \hat{C} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right). \quad (23)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hat{g}(\lambda)}{\lambda} &\leq 2^n \left(\frac{\hat{C}}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right) + \hat{C} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) \right) \\ &\leq 3\hat{C} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right) < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In a similar way we can show that for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$\frac{\hat{g}(\lambda)}{1-\lambda} \leq 3\hat{C} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right) < +\infty. \quad (25)$$

It means that

$$\hat{g}(\lambda) \leq L\hat{C} \min[\lambda, (1-\lambda)], \quad (26)$$

where

$$L = 3 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\right).$$

Thus we have proved sufficiency in the case of $x = 0$ and $y = 1$. Now let x, y be arbitrary. Let $h(t) = \hat{g}(x + t(y-x))$. Observe that $\hat{g}(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) = h(\lambda)$, in particular $h(0) = \hat{g}(x)$ and $h(1) = \hat{g}(y)$.

Suppose that the function $\hat{g}(x)$ is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with a constant $\hat{C} > 0$

$$\hat{g}(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda \hat{g}(x) + (1-\lambda) \hat{g}(y) + \hat{C} \alpha(|x-y|).$$

Thus

$$h(\lambda) \leq \lambda h(0) + (1-\lambda) h(1) + \hat{C} \alpha(|x-y|).$$

Applying (26) to $h(\lambda)$ we get

$$h(\lambda) \leq \min[\lambda, (1 - \lambda)]L\hat{C}\alpha(|x - y|).$$

Therefore

$$\hat{g}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \min[\lambda, (1 - \lambda)]L\hat{C}\alpha(|x - y|). \quad (27)$$

Now we shall apply (27) to $\hat{g}(t) = F_{x,y}(t)$ and recalling the definition of $F_{x,y}(t)$ in the case of $\lambda\|x - y\| \leq 1$ we get

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) + \min[\lambda, (1 - \lambda)]L\hat{C}\alpha(\|x - y\|) \quad (28)$$

and finally combining (28) and (19) we obtain

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \min[\lambda, (1 - \lambda)] \max(\alpha(1) \frac{C}{K}, L\hat{C}\alpha(\|x - y\|)).$$

■

COROLLARY 1 (*Jourani (1996)*). *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$. Then every γ -paraconvex (i.e. t^γ -paraconvex) function is simultaneously strongly γ -paraconvex if and only if $1 < \gamma$.*

Since $\alpha(\cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function, condition (15) implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t} = 0. \quad (29)$$

There are also non-decreasing functions $\alpha(\cdot)$ different from t^γ , $1 < \gamma$, such that (15) holds. Indeed let $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ and let

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{\|gt\|^\gamma} & \text{for } 0 < t \leq \frac{1}{e}, \\ \frac{1}{e} & \text{for } \frac{1}{e} < t. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\alpha(\cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function and that (11) holds for $1 < \gamma \leq 2$ and does not hold for $\gamma = 1$. Thus, we have

COROLLARY 2. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$ and let*

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{\|gt\|^\gamma} & \text{for } 0 < t \leq \frac{1}{e}, \\ \frac{1}{e} & \text{for } \frac{1}{e} < t, \end{cases}$$

Then every $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex function is simultaneously strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex if and only if $1 < \gamma$.

Repeating the consideration of Jourani (1996) we shall prove

PROPOSITION 5 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let a real-valued function f defined on a convex set $\Omega \subset X$ be strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with constant C . Suppose that it is locally bounded. Then it is locally Lipschitzian.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. Since f is locally bounded, there are $r, a > 0$ such that for any $z \in \Omega$ such that $\|z - x_0\| < r$ we have

$$|f(z)| < a.$$

Let x, u be two arbitrary elements of Ω such that $\|x - x_0\| < \frac{r}{2}$, $\|u - x_0\| < \frac{r}{2}$. Let ε be an arbitrary positive number, let $\beta = \varepsilon + \|x - u\|$ and let

$$z = u + \frac{r}{2\beta}(u - x). \quad (30)$$

Observe that

$$\|z - x_0\| < \|u - x_0\| + \frac{r}{2\beta}\|u - x\| < \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} \frac{\|x - u\|}{\varepsilon + \|x - u\|} < r$$

and so

$$|f(z)| < a.$$

Let $\lambda = \frac{2\beta}{r+2\beta}$. Observe that $u = \lambda z + (1 - \lambda)x$.

Since the function $f(\cdot)$ is strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with constant C ,

$$f(u) = f(\lambda z + (1 - \lambda)x) \leq \lambda f(z) + (1 - \lambda)f(x) + C\lambda\alpha(\|x - z\|). \quad (31)$$

Thus,

$$f(u) - f(x) \leq \lambda(f(z) - f(x)) + C\lambda\alpha(\|x - z\|). \quad (32)$$

Since $\lambda\|z - x\| = \|u - x\|$ we get

$$f(u) - f(x) \leq \lambda(f(z) - f(x)) + C\lambda\alpha\left(\frac{\|u - x\|}{\lambda}\right). \quad (33)$$

Recall that $0 < \lambda < 1$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) - f(x) &\leq \lambda(f(z) - f(x)) + C\lambda\alpha(\|x - z\|) \leq \lambda(2a + C\alpha(2r)) \\ &\leq \frac{2\beta}{r}(2a + C\alpha(2r)) \leq L(\varepsilon + \|u - x\|), \end{aligned}$$

where $L = \frac{2}{r}(2a + C\alpha(2r))$.

Exchanging the role of x and u we get

$$|f(u) - f(x)| \leq L(\varepsilon + \|u - x\|).$$

The arbitrariness of ε implies

$$|f(u) - f(x)| \leq L\|u - x\|. \quad (34)$$

■

As every continuous function is locally bounded we get the following consequence:

COROLLARY 3 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let a continuous real-valued function $f(\cdot)$ defined on a convex set $\Omega \subset X$ be strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with constant C . Then it is locally Lipschitzian.*

PROPOSITION 6 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Let a real-valued function $f(\cdot)$ defined on an open convex set $\Omega \subset X$ be strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex with a constant C . Then it is locally Lipschitzian.*

Proof. Let Ω_0 be a closed convex set with non-empty interior. Let $\Omega_m = \{x \in \Omega_0 : |f(x)| \leq m\}$. Using the category method we can show that one among those sets contains an open ball, $B(x_0, r) \subset \Omega_{m_0}$. Take an arbitrary point $x \in \Omega$. Since Ω is open there is $y \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$ such that $x = (1-t)y + tx_0$. Let $z \in \Omega$ be such that $\|x - z\| < rt$. Then we can represent z in the form $z = tu + (1-t)y$, where $u \in B(x_0, r) \subset \Omega_{m_0}$. Thus

$$f(z) \leq tf(y) + (1-t)m_0 \leq \max(f(y), m_0),$$

i.e. the function $f(z)$ is majorized on $B(x, rt)$. Thus by Proposition 5 it is locally Lipschitzian. ■

As a consequence of Propositions 1 and 6 we obtain

PROPOSITION 7 *Let $f(\cdot)$ be a strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex function defined on a convex set Ω of a normed space X . If*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0+0} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t^2} = 0, \quad (35)$$

then $f(\cdot)$ is convex.

Proof. Take an arbitrary straight line L and let $\Omega_L = \Omega \cap L$. Now let $f_L(\cdot)$ denote the restriction of the function $f(\cdot)$ to the set Ω_L , $f_L(\cdot) = f|_{\Omega_L}(\cdot)$. By $\text{Int}_a \Omega_L$ we shall denote the relative interior (with respect to the line L) of the set Ω_L . By Proposition 10 the function the function $f(\cdot)$ is locally Lipschitz on $\text{Int}_a \Omega_L$. Then, it is absolutely continuous on every closed interval $[a, b]$ contained in Ω_L . By Proposition 1 it is convex on $[a, b]$. The arbitrariness of $[a, b]$ implies that f_L is convex on $\text{Int}_a \Omega_L$. It finishes the proof in the case

of $\Omega_L = \text{Int}_a \Omega_L$. Suppose that $c \in \Omega_L$ and $c \notin \text{Int}_a \Omega_L$. Since f_L is $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex, we trivially obtain that

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow c, \\ x \in \Omega_L}} f(x) \leq f(c).$$

Therefore the function f_L is convex on Ω_L . The arbitrariness of L implies that $f(\cdot)$ is convex. ■

References

- JOURANI, A. (1996) Subdifferentiability and subdifferential monotonicity of γ -paraconvex functions. *Control and Cybernetics*, **25**, 721 - 737.
- PALLASCHKE, D., ROLEWICZ, S. (1997) *Foundation of mathematical optimization*. Mathematics and its Applications 388, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht / Boston / London.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (1979A) On paraconvex multifunctions. *Oper. Res. Verf. (Methods of Oper. Res.)* **31**, 540-546.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (1979B) On γ -paraconvex multifunctions. *Math. Japonica* **24**, 293-300.

