Control and Cybernetics

vol. 32 (2003) No. 4

The eigenvalue derivatives of linear damped systems

by

Yeong-Jeu Sun

Department of Electrical Engineering
I-Shou University
Kaohsiung, Taiwan 840, R.O.C
e-mail: yjsun@isu.edu.tw

Abstract: In this note, the derivatives of eigenvalues with respect to the model parameters for linear damped systems is proposed by means of kronecker algebra and matrix calculus. A numerical example is also provided to illustrate the use of the main result.

Keywords: derivatives of eigenvalues, linear damped systems.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the stability and performance of linear damped systems has been widely investigated. In the past, there have been a number of interesting developments in responses, amplitude bounds, or eigenvalue bounds for linear damped systems. For instance, the amplitude bounds of linear damped systems have been proposed in Hu and Schiehlen (1996) and Schiehlen and Hu (1995). Furthermore, the response bounds of linear damped systems have been investigated in Hu and Eberhard (1999), Nicholson (1987a), and Yae and Inman (1987). Besides, the eigenvalue bounds of nonclassically damped systems have been derived in Nicholson (1987b).

There exist various applications demonstrating that an appropriate choice of the eigenvalues of a closed-loop system does not necessarily give a reliable indication as to the sufficiency of the stability margin since the derivatives of eigenvalues with respect to the model parameters may be very large. Consequently, the derivatives of eigenvalues with respect to the model parameters for linear damped systems are of importance. Furthermore, when exploring the dynamic behavior and its derivatives of eigenvalues with respect to the model parameters, kronecker algebra and matrix calculus frequently furnish shorter and more concise calculations. It is the purpose of this note to estimate the derivatives of eigenvalues with respect to the model parameters using the kronecker algebra approach and the matrix calculus approach.

Applying Lemma 1 to above equation, we obtain

$$[I_r \otimes (2\lambda_i J a_i + B a_i)]\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial M} = -\lambda_i^2 \frac{\partial J}{\partial M}(I_s \otimes a_i) - \lambda_i \frac{\partial B}{\partial M}(I_s \otimes a_i)$$
$$-\frac{\partial K}{\partial M} \otimes (I_s \otimes a_i) - [I_r \otimes (\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)]\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial M}. \quad (5)$$

Furthermore one has $\det(2\lambda_i J + B) \neq 0$, which implies $(2\lambda_i J + B)a_i = (2\lambda_i J a_i + B a_i) \neq 0, \forall a_i \neq 0$. Hence we conclude that the matrix $[I_r \otimes (2\lambda_i J a_i + B a_i)] \in C^{rn\times r}$ has full column rank, which implies that the left inverse of matrix $[I_r \otimes (2\lambda_i J a_i + B a_i)]$ exists. Postmultiplying (5) by $[I_r \otimes (2\lambda_i J a_i + B a_i)]^{\#L}$ gives

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial M} = -[I_r \otimes (2\lambda_i J a_i + B a_i)]^{\#L}$$
$$\cdot\left\{\lambda_i^2 \frac{\partial K}{\partial M}(I_s \otimes a_i) + \lambda_i^2 \frac{\partial B}{\partial M}(I_s \otimes a_i) + \frac{\partial K}{\partial M} \otimes (I_s \otimes a_i)\right.$$
$$\left. + [I_r \otimes (\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)]\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial M}\right\},$$

so that the existence of $\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial M}$ is guaranteed. By Lemma 2 with (2), one has

$$\frac{\partial \det(\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)}{\partial m_{jk}} = tr\left[\frac{\partial(\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)}{\partial m_{jk}} \cdot adj(\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)\right] = 0. \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, it can be shown that

$$\frac{\partial(\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)}{\partial m_{jk}} = 2\lambda_i \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial m_{jk}} J + \lambda_i^2 \frac{\partial J}{\partial m_{jk}} + \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial m_{jk}} B + \lambda_i \frac{\partial B}{\partial m_{jk}} + \frac{\partial K}{\partial m_{jk}}$$
$$= \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial m_{jk}}(2\lambda_i J + B) + \lambda_i^2 \frac{\partial J}{\partial m_{jk}} + \lambda_i \frac{\partial B}{\partial m_{jk}} + \lambda_i \frac{\partial K}{\partial m_{jk}}. \quad (7)$$

Substituting (7) into (6), yields

$$tr\left[\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial m_{jk}}(2\lambda_i J + B) \cdot adj(\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)\right]$$
$$= tr\left[-\left(\lambda_i^2 \frac{\partial J}{\partial m_{jk}} + \lambda_i \frac{\partial B}{\partial m_{jk}} + \frac{\partial K}{\partial m_{jk}}\right) \cdot adj(\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)\right],$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial m_{jk}} = -\left\{tr\left[\left(\lambda_i^2 \frac{\partial J}{\partial m_{jk}} + \lambda_i \frac{\partial B}{\partial m_{jk}} + \frac{\partial K}{\partial m_{jk}}\right) \cdot adj(\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)\right]\right\}$$
$$\cdot \left\{tr\left[(2\lambda_i J + B) \cdot adj(\lambda_i^2 J + \lambda_i B + K)\right]\right\}^{-1}.$$

REMARK 2.1 *The characteristic equation of* $det[\lambda B + K] = 0$ *with the assumptions that*

(A1) B *is nonsingular;*

(A2) Both matrices B *and* K *can be simultaneously diagonalized has been considered in Prells and Friswell (2000). This is the special case of (3.) with* $J = 0$. *It is noted that the methodology used in our main result is different from that used in Prells and Friswell (2000). Furthermore, even if both (A1) and (A2) are not satisfied, our main result may be applied to the system (1).*

3. Illustrative example

Consider the linear damped system

$$J(M)\ddot{y}(t) + B(M)\dot{y}(t) + K(M)y(t) = \tau(t), \tag{8}$$

where $y(t) \in \Re^2$ is the displacement vector, $\tau(t) \in \Re^2$ is the external excitation,

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}, \; B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \; K = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & \beta \end{bmatrix},$$

and $M := [\alpha \quad \beta]$ is parameter's matrix with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ and $0.5 \leq \beta \geq 1.5$. We assume that the nominal values are $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1$. In this case, from (3.), the eigenvalues of the system (8) are

$$\lambda_{1,normal} = -0.2459 + 1.1484j, \; \lambda_{2,normal} = -0.2459 - 1.1484j,$$
$$\lambda_{3,normal} = 0.2459 + 0.5496j, \; \lambda_{4,normal} = 0.2459 - 0.5496j.$$

By Theorem 1, the derivatives of eigenvalues of system (8) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial M} &= \left[\, 0.0762 - 0.1128j \;\; 0.1524 - 0.2256j \,\right], \\
\frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial M} &= \left[\, 0.0762 + 0.1128j \;\; 0.1524 + 0.2256j \,\right], \\
\frac{\partial \lambda_3}{\partial M} &= \left[\, -0.0762 - 0.0599j \;\; -0.1524 - 0.1198j \,\right], \\
\frac{\partial \lambda_4}{\partial M} &= \left[\, -0.0762 + 0.0599j \;\; -0.1524 + 0.1198j \,\right].
\end{aligned}$$

eigenvalues can be approximately calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= -0.2482 + 1.144j = \lambda_{1,normal} + \Delta\lambda_1 \\
&\cong -0.2459 + 1.1484j + \frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial M}\begin{bmatrix}\Delta\alpha \\ \Delta\beta\end{bmatrix} = -0.24971 + 1.15404j, \\
\lambda_2 &= -0.2482 - 1.144j = \lambda_{2,normal} + \Delta\lambda_2 \\
&\cong -0.2459 - 1.1484j + \frac{\partial \lambda_2}{\partial M}\begin{bmatrix}\Delta\alpha \\ \Delta\beta\end{bmatrix} = -0.24971 - 1.15404j, \\
\lambda_3 &= 0.2482 + 0.525j = \lambda_{3,normal} + \Delta\lambda_3 \\
&\cong 0.2459 + 0.5496j + \frac{\partial \lambda_3}{\partial M}\begin{bmatrix}\Delta\alpha \\ \Delta\beta\end{bmatrix} = 0.24971 + 0.5526j, \\
\lambda_4 &= 0.2482 - 0.525j = \lambda_{4,normal} + \Delta\lambda_4 \\
&\cong 0.2459 - 0.5496j + \frac{\partial \lambda_4}{\partial M}\begin{bmatrix}\Delta\alpha \\ \Delta\beta\end{bmatrix} = 0.24971 - 0.5526j,
\end{aligned}$$

4. Conclusions

In this note, the calculation derivatives of eigenvalues with respect to the model parameters for linear damped systems has been proposed by means of kronecker algebra and matrix calculus. A numerical example has also been provided to illustrate the use of the main result.

Acknowledgment The author thanks the National Science Council of ROC, for supporting this work under Grant 91-2213-E-214-008.

References

GRAHAM, K. (1981) *Kronecker Products and Matrix Calculus with Applications.* Wiley, New York.

HU, B. and EBERHARD, P. (1999) Response bounds for linear damped systems. *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics* **66**, 997-1003.

HU, B. and SCHIEHLEN, B. (1996) Amplitude bounds of linear forced vibrations. *Archive of Applied Mechanics* **66**, 357-368.

NICHOLSON, D.W. (1987A) Eigenvalue bounds for linear mechanical systems with nonmodal damping. *Mech. Res. Commun.* **14**, 115-122.

NICHOLSON, D.W. (1987B) Response bounds for nonclassically damped mechanical systems under transient loads. *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics* **54**, 430-433.

PRELLS, U. and FRISWELL, M.I. (2000) Calculating derivatives of repeated and nonrepeated eigenvalues without explicit use of eigenvectors. *AIAA*

SCHIEHLEN, W. and HU, B. (1995) Amplitude bounds of linear free vibrations. *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics* **62**, 231-233.

WEINMANN, A. (1991) *Uncertain Models and Robust Control.* Springer-Verlag, New York.

YAE, K.H. and INMAN, D.J. (1987) Response bounds for linear underdamped systems. *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics* **54**, 419-423.