

Some results for the reflection problems in Hilbert spaces*

by

Viorel Barbu^{1†} and Giuseppe Da Prato^{2‡}

¹University Al. I. Cuza and Institute of Mathematics Octav Mayer
700506 Iasi, Romania

²Scuola Normale Superiore
56126 Pisa, Italy

Abstract: This work is concerned with existence and uniqueness of a solution of a stochastic variational inequality on closed convex bounded subsets with nonempty interior and smooth boundary of a Hilbert space H (the reflection problem).

Keywords: stochastic variational inequality, Wiener processes in Hilbert spaces, convex sets, strong solutions.

1. Introduction

Let H be a separable Hilbert space (with scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) and norm denoted by $|\cdot|$). We are given a closed convex subset K of H with non empty interior $\overset{\circ}{K}$. We denote by I_K the indicator function of K ,

$$I_K(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in K. \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases}$$

and by ∂I_K the sub-differential of I_K (see, e.g., Barbu, 1993),

$$\partial I_K(x) = \{z \in H : (z, x - y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K\}, \quad x \in H.$$

We have

$$\partial I_K(x) \begin{cases} = \{0\} & \text{if } x \in \overset{\circ}{K}, \\ = N_K(x) & \text{if } x \in \partial K, \\ = \emptyset & \text{if } x \notin K, \end{cases}$$

*Submitted: May 2008; Accepted: August 2008.

[†]Supported by 2-CEEX=06-D11-97/2006 grant of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research.

[‡]Partially supported by the Italian National Project MURST "Equazioni di Kolmogorov."

where $N_K(x)$ is the normal cone of K at x . We are concerned here with the stochastic variational inequality

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) + (AX(t) + F(X(t)) + \partial I_K(X(t))) dt \ni \sqrt{Q} dW(t) \\ X(0) = x \in K. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Formally, equation (1) can be represented as

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) + (AX(t) + F(X(t))dt = \sqrt{Q} dW(t) \text{ in } \{t \in [0, T] : X(t) \in \overset{\circ}{K}\}, \\ dX(t) + (AX(t) + F(X(t)) + \zeta(t))dt = \sqrt{Q} dW(t) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{in } \{t \in [0, T] : X(t) \in \partial K\}, \\ X(0) = x \in K, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $\zeta(t) \in N_K(X(t))$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. For a precise definition of solution see Definition 1 below.

HYPOTHESIS 1 (i) $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a linear self-adjoint operator on H such that A^{-1} is compact and $(Ax, x) \geq \delta|x|^2$, $\forall x \in D(A)$ for some $\delta > 0$.

(ii) $Q : H \rightarrow H$ is a linear, bounded, positive and self-adjoint operator on H such that $Qe^{tA} = e^{tA}Q$ for all $t \geq 0$.

(iii) $Q(H) \subset D(A)$ and $\text{Tr} [AQ] < \infty$.

(iv) $F : H \rightarrow H$ is a Lipschitzian mapping such that for some $\gamma > 0$ we have

$$(F(x), x) \geq -\gamma, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

(v) W is a cylindrical Wiener process on H of the form

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \beta_k(t) e_k, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\{\beta_k\}$ is a sequence of mutually independent real Brownian motions on a filtered probability spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ (see Da Prato, 2004) and $\{e_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis in H , which will be taken as a system of eigen-functions for A for simplicity, i.e.

$$Ae_k = \alpha_k e_k, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(vi) $0 \in \overset{\circ}{K}$.

In most specific examples $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$, A is an elliptic operator on \mathcal{O} with appropriate boundary conditions, F is a Nemitski operator on $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ (see Section 5 below).

Under Hypothesis 1 the stochastic convolution $W_A(t)$,

$$W_A(t) = \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)} \sqrt{Q} dW(s), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

is a well defined mean square continuous process in $V = D(A^{1/2})$ and (see Da Prato, 2004),

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|W_A(t)\|^2 < +\infty. \quad (3)$$

Assumption (iii) is, of course, quite restrictive but it is essential for our approach since it implies continuity of $W_A : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow D(A^{1/2})$.

The existence and uniqueness of a strong solution X to equation (1) was an open problem except for the finite-dimensional case (Barbu and Da Prato, 2008; Cépa, 1994, 1998) and few special cases, for instance $H = L^2(0, 1)$, $A = -\Delta$, $K = \{x \in H : x \geq -\sigma \text{ a.e. on } (0, 1)\}$ where $\sigma \geq 0$ (see Hausmann and Pardoux, 1989; Nualart and Pardoux, 1992; Zambotti, 2001; as well as Barbu and Răscănu, 1997, Răscănu, 1996, and Zhang, 1997).

In this paper we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution of 1 under Hypothesis 1.

Then we consider the transition semigroup $P_t : C_b(H) \rightarrow C_b(H)$

$$P_t \varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t, x))], \quad x \in K, \quad (4)$$

where $X = X(t, x)$ is the solution of (1) and $C_b(H)$ denotes the space of all mappings from H into \mathbb{R} which are uniformly continuous and bounded. We prove existence and, in some cases, uniqueness of an invariant measure ν of P_t .

Finally we consider the infinitesimal generator N of P_t in $L^2(H, \nu)$, i.e.

$$D(N) = \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(H, \nu) : \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (P_t \varphi - \varphi) \text{ in } L^2(H, \nu) \right\},$$

and

$$N\varphi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (P_t \varphi - \varphi) \text{ in } L^2(H, \nu), \quad \forall \varphi \in D(N).$$

It is an interesting problem to see the relationship between the abstract operator N and the differential operator

$$N_0 \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [Q D^2 \varphi] - (x, AD\varphi) - (F(x), D\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in D(N_0), \quad (5)$$

with the domain

$$D(N_0) = \{ \varphi \in D(N) \cap C_b^2(H) : AD\varphi \in C_b^1(H), (D_\varphi(x), N_K(x)) = 0, \forall x \in \partial K \},$$

where $N_K(x)$ is the normal cone of K at x .

In Section 4 we prove that N_0 is a section of N (Theorem 2). It would be interesting to show that $D(N_0)$ is a core for N . This is indeed the case if H is finite-dimensional (see Barbu and Da Prato, 2008). The problem is open when H is infinite-dimensional as in the present case.

Notations

We shall denote by $C([0, T]; H)$ the space of all continuous functions from $[0, T]$ to H and by $BV([0, T]; H)$ the space of all functions with bounded variation from $[0, T]$ to H .

We set $V = D(A^{1/2})$ with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and denote by V' the dual of V in the pairing induced by the scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) of H . We have $V \subset H \subset V'$ algebraically and topologically.

By $C_W([0, T]; H)$, $L_W^2([0, T]; V)$, $L_W^2([0, T]; V')$ we shall denote standard spaces of adapted processes on $[0, T]$ (see Da Prato, 2004, 2006; Da Prato and Zabczyk, 1996). Π_K is the projection on K .

By $D\varphi$ and $D^2\varphi$ we shall denote the Gâteaux derivatives of a function $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of first and second order.

2. Existence and uniqueness for equation (1)

We shall assume here that Hypothesis 1 holds.

DEFINITION 1 *The adapted process $X \in C_W([0, T]; H) \cap L_W^2(0, T; V)$ is said to be a strong solution to (1) if there are functions $Y \in C_W([0, T]; H) \cap L_W^2(0, T; V)$ and $\eta \in BV([0, T]; H)$ such that,*

(i) *We have*

$$X(t) = Y(t) + W_A(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times [0, T] \times H, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (6)$$

(ii) $X(t) \in K$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

(iii) *We have*

$$Y(t) + \int_0^t (AY(s) + F(X(s)))ds + \eta(t) = x, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.e.} \quad (7)$$

(iv) *For all $t \in [0, T]$ and $Z \in C([0, T]; K)$*

$$\int_0^t (d\eta(s), X(s) - Z(s))ds \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.e.} \quad (8)$$

In (8) $\int_0^t (d\eta(s), X(s) - Z(s))ds$ is the Stieltjes integral with respect to η . Note that by Hypothesis 1 it follows that V is compactly embedded in H .

THEOREM 1 *Under Hypothesis 1 there is a unique strong solution to equation (1).*

Proof. Existence. We start with the approximating equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_\epsilon + (AX_\epsilon + F(X_\epsilon) + \beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon))dt = \sqrt{Q} dW \\ X_\epsilon(0) = x, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where β_ϵ is the Yosida approximation of ∂I_K ,

$$\beta_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (x - \Pi_K(x)), \quad \forall x \in H, \epsilon > 0.$$

Equation (9) has a unique strong solution $X_\epsilon \in C_W([0, T]; H)$ such that $Y_\epsilon := X_\epsilon - W_A$ belongs to $L^2_W(0, T; H)$. We can rewrite (9) as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dY_\epsilon}{dt} + AY_\epsilon + F(X_\epsilon) + \beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon) = 0, \\ Y_\epsilon(0) = x, \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

which is considered for a fixed $\omega \in \Omega$. Since by Hypotheses 1(vi), $0 \in \overset{\circ}{K}$ there is $\rho > 0$ such that

$$(\beta_\epsilon(x), x - \rho\theta) \geq 0, \quad \forall \theta \in H, |\theta| = 1.$$

This yields

$$\rho|\beta_\epsilon(x)| \leq (\beta_\epsilon(x), x), \quad \forall x \in H. \tag{11}$$

Step 1. There exists $C = C(\omega) > 0$ such that

$$|Y_\epsilon(t)|^2 + \int_0^t \|Y_\epsilon(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t |\beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s))| ds \leq C. \tag{12}$$

Indeed, multiplying (10) by $Y_\epsilon(s)$, integrating over $(0, t)$ and taking into account (11), yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} |Y_\epsilon(t)|^2 + \int_0^t \|Y_\epsilon(s)\|^2 ds + \rho \int_0^t |\beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s))| ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} |x|^2 + \gamma \int_0^t |X_\epsilon(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^t (F(X_\epsilon(s)) + \beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s)), W_A(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In order to estimate the last term in (13), we recall (3) and choose a decomposition $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = t$ of $[0, t]$ such that for $t, s \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ we have

$$|W_A(t) - W_A(s)| \leq \frac{\rho}{2}.$$

Then we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (\beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s)), W_A(s)) ds &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s)), W_A(s) - W_A(t_i)) ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \left(W_A(t_i), \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s)) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^t (\beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s)), W_A(s)) ds \leq \frac{\rho}{2} \int_0^t |\beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s))| ds \\ + \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \left(W_A(t_i), \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (AY_\epsilon(s) + F(X_\epsilon(s))) ds + Y_\epsilon(t_i) - Y_\epsilon(t_{i-1}) \right) \right|.$$

Now, using the estimate

$$\left(W_A(t_i), \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} AY_\epsilon(s) ds \right) \leq C \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \|Y_\epsilon(s)\|^2 ds,$$

which follows from (1.3), we get after some computations the estimate (12).

Step 2. We prove existence of the limits of $Y_\epsilon(t)$ and $\eta_\epsilon(t)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We first prove that the sequence $\{Y_\epsilon\}$ is equi-continuous in $C([0, T]; H)$. Let $h > 0$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} (Y_\epsilon(t+h) - Y_\epsilon(t)) + A(Y_\epsilon(t+h) - Y_\epsilon(t)) \\ + F(X_\epsilon(t+h)) - F(X_\epsilon(t)) + \beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(t+h)) - \beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(t)) = 0.$$

Taking into account that W_A is \mathbb{P} -a.s. continuous in H (by (3)), we may assume that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |W_A(t+h) - W_A(t)| \leq \delta(h) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

We deduce by the monotonicity of β_ϵ and because F is Lipschitz that

$$|Y_\epsilon(t+h) - Y_\epsilon(t)| \leq C\delta(h), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad h > 0, \quad \epsilon > 0. \quad (14)$$

So $\{Y_\epsilon\}$ is equi-continuous. To apply the Ascoli–Arzelà Theorem we have to prove that for each $t \in [0, T]$ the set $\{Y_\epsilon(t)\}_{\epsilon > 0}$ is pre-compact in H . To prove this, choose for any $\epsilon > 0$ a sequence $\{f_n^\epsilon\} \subset L^2(0, T; V)$ such that

$$|f_n^\epsilon - \beta_\epsilon(Y_\epsilon + W_A)|_{L^1(0, T; H)} \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On the other hand, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set

$$M_n := \left\{ \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)} f_n^\epsilon ds + e^{-At} x : \epsilon > 0 \right\}$$

is compact in H because $\{f_n^\epsilon\}$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; H)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that for any $\delta > 0$ there are $N(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{u_i^n\}_{i=1, \dots, N(n)} \subset H$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{N(n)} B(u_i^n, \delta) \supset M_n.$$

($B(u_i^n, \delta)$ is the ball with center u_i^n and radius δ .) Therefore

$$\left\{ Y_\epsilon(t) := \int_0^t e^{-A(t-s)} f_n^\epsilon ds + e^{-At} x : \epsilon > 0 \right\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N(n)} B(u_i^n, \delta + n^{-1}).$$

Since n is arbitrary we infer that for each $\delta > 0$, the set $\{Y_\epsilon(t)\}_{\epsilon > 0}$ can be covered by a finite number of balls of radius δ and therefore it is precompact in H as claimed. Then by (14) and the Ascoli–Arzelà Theorem we infer that on a subsequence, $Y_\epsilon \rightarrow Y$ strongly in $C([0, T]; H)$ and weakly in $L^2(0, T; V)$. Moreover, thanks to Helly’s Theorem we have that there is $\eta \in BV([0, T]; H)$ such that for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_0^t \beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s)) ds \rightarrow \eta(t) \text{ weakly in } H, \forall t \in [0, T], \tag{15}$$

which implies that

$$\int_0^t (\beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s)), Z(s)) ds \rightarrow \int_0^t (d\eta(s), Z(s)), \quad \forall Z \in C([0, T]; K). \tag{16}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ into the identity

$$Y_\epsilon(t) + \int_0^t (AY_\epsilon(s) + F(Y_\epsilon(s))) ds + \int_0^t \beta_\epsilon(Y_\epsilon(s) + W_A(s)) ds = x,$$

we see that (Y, η) satisfy (7). Finally, by (16) and the monotonicity of β_ϵ we have (recall that $\beta_\epsilon(Z(s)) = 0$),

$$(\beta_\epsilon(Y_\epsilon(s) + W_A(s)), Y_\epsilon(s) + W_A(s) - Z(s)) \geq 0, \quad \forall Z \in C([0, T]; K),$$

we see that (8) holds for $X = Y + W_A$, i.e.,

$$\int_0^t (d\eta(s), Y(s) + W_A(s) - Z(s)) \geq 0, \quad \forall Z \in C([0, T]; K). \tag{17}$$

On the other hand, by Itô’s formula in (9) we get

$$\mathbb{E}|X_\epsilon(t)|^2 + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_\epsilon(s)\|^2 ds \leq C, \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

which clearly implies that $X \in C_W([0, T]; H) \cap L^2_W(0, T, V)$. This completes the proof of existence.

Uniqueness. Assume that (Y_1, η_1) , (Y_2, η_2) are two strong solutions of (1). Then by condition (iv) in Definition 1 we have

$$\int_0^t (d(\eta_1(s) - \eta_2(s)), Y_1(s) - Y_2(s)) ds \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

This yields

$$\int_0^t d(Y_1(s) - Y_2(s)), \int_0^s (A(Y_1(\tau) - Y_2(\tau)) + F(X_1(\tau) - F(X_2(\tau))) d\tau, Y_1(s) - Y_2(s)) \leq 0$$

and by integration we obtain that (see Lemma 1 below)

$$\frac{1}{2} |Y_1(t) - Y_2(t)|^2 + \int_0^t (A(Y_1 - Y_2) + F(X_1) - F(X_2), Y_1 - Y_2) ds \leq 0,$$

$\forall t \in [0, T]$, which implies via Gronwall's lemma that $Y_1 = Y_2$.

In particular, the latter implies that the sequence $\{\epsilon\}$ founded before is independent of ω and so there is indeed a unique pair satisfying Definition 1. ■

LEMMA 1 *Let $y \in C([0, T]; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V)$ be such that*

$$y(t) + \int_0^t Ay(s) ds \in BV([0, T]; H).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (d(y(s) + \int_0^s A(y(\tau)) d\tau), y(s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |y(t)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |x|^2 + \int_0^t (Ay(s), y(s)) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Proof. Of course (18) is true if $y \in C([0, T]; V)$ which is not, however, the case here. Approximating y by a sequence $\{y_n\} \in C([0, T]; V)$ which is strongly convergent in $C([0, T]; H)$ and such that the functions

$$t \rightarrow y_n(t) + \int_0^t Ay_n(s) ds,$$

have uniform bounded variation from $[0, T]$ to H , we may get (18) by passing to limit in the corresponding equality for y_n . ■

REMARK 1 It is not clear whether Theorem 1 remains valid in absence of Hypothesis 1(iii) or for if $Q = I$. (This happens, however, for the obstacle problem, see Nualart and Pardoux, 1992; Zambotti, 2001).

3. Invariant measures

Let $X = X(t, x)$ be the solution of (1) obtained above. We denote by $P_t : C_b(H) \rightarrow C_b(H)$ the corresponding transition semigroup,

$$P_t \varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t, x))], \quad x \in K. \quad (19)$$

PROPOSITION 1 *Assume that Hypothesis 1 holds. Then there is at least one invariant measure ν for P_t with support included in $K \cap V$. If in addition there exists $\gamma_1 > 0$ such that*

$$(A(u - v) + F(u) - F(v), u - v) \geq \gamma_1 |u - v|^2, \quad \forall u, v \in D(A), \quad (20)$$

the invariant measure ν is unique.

Proof. We come back to the approximating equation (9) and denote by P_t^ϵ the corresponding transition semigroup, i.e.,

$$P_t^\epsilon \varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_\epsilon(t, x))], \quad x \in H, \quad (21)$$

and by N_ϵ the corresponding Kolmogorov operator,

$$(N_\epsilon \varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} [QD^2\varphi] - (Ax + F(x) + \beta_\epsilon(x), D\varphi(x)).$$

It is known that under our conditions there is at least one invariant measure ν_ϵ for P_t^ϵ . We claim that $\{\nu_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ is tight. Indeed, by the invariance of ν_ϵ it follows that $\int_H (N_\epsilon \varphi)(x) \nu_\epsilon(dx) = 0$, so that

$$\int_H (\|x\|^2 + (F_\epsilon(x) + \beta_\epsilon(x), x)) \nu_\epsilon(dx) \leq \operatorname{Tr} Q. \quad (22)$$

Since V is compactly embedded in H , we infer that $\{\nu_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ is tight. Let ν be a weak limit point of $\{\nu_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$; then one can easily check that ν is an invariant measure for P_t and

$$\int_H (\|x\|^2 + (F(x), x)) \nu(dx) \leq \operatorname{Tr} Q, \quad (23)$$

which implies $\operatorname{supp} \nu \subset V$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_\epsilon(x), x) &= (\beta_\epsilon(x), x - \Pi_K(x)) + (\beta_\epsilon(x), \Pi_K(x)) \\ &\geq (\beta_\epsilon(x), x - \Pi_K(x)) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} |x - \Pi_K(x)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and, taking into account (22), this implies

$$\int_{K^c} |x - \Pi_K(x)|^2 \nu(dx) = 0$$

and therefore $\operatorname{supp} \nu \subset K$ as claimed. Finally, if (20) holds the invariant measure is unique by a standard argument (see Da Prato and Zabczyk, 1996). ■

4. The infinitesimal generator

This section is devoted to study the relationship between the infinitesimal generator N of P_t and the differential operator N_0 defined by (5).

To this end we shall also assume that K has a special form precised in Hypothesis 2 below

HYPOTHESIS 2 *There exists $T \in L(H)$ self-adjoint, positive and $r > 0$ such that*

$$K = \{x \in H : (Tx, x) \leq r^2, \quad \forall x \in H\}.$$

Then the boundary of K is given by

$$\partial K = \{x \in H : (Tx, x) = r^2, \quad \forall x \in H\},$$

while $N_K(x) = \{\lambda Tx\}_{\lambda > 0}$ is the normal cone to K .

THEOREM 2 *Assume that Hypotheses 1 and 2 are fulfilled. Let $\varphi \in C_b^2(H) \cap D(N)$ be such that $A\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ and*

$$(D\varphi(x), Tx) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial K.$$

Then $\varphi \in D(N)$ and

$$N\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} [QD^2\varphi] - (x, AD\varphi) - (F(x), D\varphi). \quad (24)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_b^2(H) \cap D(N)$. By (9), applying Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(X_\epsilon(t)) - \varphi(x) + \int_0^t (AX_\epsilon(s) + F(X_\epsilon(s)), D\varphi(X_\epsilon(s))) ds \\ & + \int_0^t (\beta_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(s)), D\varphi(X_\epsilon(s))) ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \operatorname{Tr} [QD^2\varphi(X_\epsilon(s))] ds \\ & + \int_0^t (D\varphi(X_\epsilon(s)), \sqrt{Q} dW(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Invoking (15) and (16) we have for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\varphi(X_\epsilon(t)) \rightarrow \varphi(X(t)), \quad \text{uniformly in } t, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (AX_\epsilon(t) + F(X_\epsilon(t)), D\varphi(X_\epsilon(t))) \\ & \rightarrow (X(t), AD\varphi(X(t))) + (F(X(t)), D\varphi(X(t))), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\operatorname{Tr} [QD^2\varphi(X_\epsilon(t))] \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr} [QD^2\varphi(X(t))], \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (28)$$

$$\int_0^t (\beta_\epsilon(s), D\varphi(X_\epsilon(s))) \rightarrow \int_0^t (d\eta(s), D\varphi(X(s))), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (29)$$

Then, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (25) we obtain by (27)–(29),

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(X(t)) - \varphi(x) + \int_0^t (AX(s) + F(X(s)), D\varphi(X(s)))ds \\ & + \int_0^t (d\eta(s), D\varphi(X(s)))ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \text{Tr} [QD^2\varphi(X(s))]ds \\ & + \int_0^t (D\varphi(X(s)), \sqrt{Q} dW(s))ds, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

We claim that

$$\int_0^t (d\eta(s), D\varphi(X(s)))ds = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (31)$$

Let $I = \{s \in (0, t) : X(s) \in \overset{\circ}{K}\}$ and $I^c = (0, t) \setminus I = \{s \in (0, t) : X(s) \in \partial K\}$. Then by (8) we see that

$$\int_0^t (d\eta(s), X(s) - \Pi_K(X(s) \pm \lambda D\varphi(X(s))))ds \geq 0, \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \quad (32)$$

which implies, for λ sufficiently small,

$$\mp \int_I (d\eta(s), D\varphi(X(s))) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{I^c} (d\eta(s), X(s) - \Pi_K(X(s) \pm \lambda D\varphi(X(s)))) \geq 0. \quad (33)$$

Now we want let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in the second term. For this we note that

$$\Pi_K(x) = \frac{rx}{|T^{1/2}x|}, \quad \forall x \in H \setminus K$$

and

$$D\Pi_K(x) = \frac{r}{|T^{1/2}x|} - r \frac{x \otimes Tx}{|T^{1/2}x|^3}, \quad \forall x \in H \setminus K.$$

So, if $s \in I^c$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} (X(s) - \Pi_K(X(s) \pm \lambda D\varphi(X(s)))) = \mp D\Pi_K(x) \cdot D\varphi(X(s)) \\ & = \mp D\varphi(X(s)) \pm r^{-2}(TX(s), D\varphi(X(s))T^{1/2}X(s)) = \mp D\varphi(X(s)), \end{aligned}$$

because $X(s) \in \partial K$ and $(D\varphi(X(s)), Tx) = 0$ on ∂K .

Now, letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in (33) yields

$$\int_0^t (d\eta(s), D\varphi(X(s)))ds = 0,$$

and (25) follows.

Finally, (30) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(X(t)) - \varphi(x) + \int_0^t (AX(s) + F(X(s)), D\varphi(X(s)))ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \text{Tr} [QD^2\varphi(X(s))]ds + \int_0^t (D\varphi(X(s)), \sqrt{Q} dW(s))ds, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

and since $AD\varphi(X(s)) \in C([0, T] H)$ the latter yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t, x))] - \varphi(x)) \\ &= -(x, AD\varphi(x)) - (F(x), D\varphi(x)) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [QD^2\varphi(x) = N_0\varphi(x), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. ■

5. An example

Consider equation (1) in $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$

$$\begin{cases} dX - \Delta X dt + f(X)dt + \partial I_K(X)dt \ni \sqrt{Q} dW(t), \\ X(0) = x \text{ in } \mathcal{O}, \quad X = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{O}, \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

where \mathcal{O} is an open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$K = \{x \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : |x|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \leq 1\},$$

$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function such that $f(r)r \geq -\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Q = -A^{-l}$, $l > 0$, $A = -\Delta$, $D(A) = H^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$.

Here W is a Wiener process in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$,

$$W(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j(t)e_j,$$

where (e_j) is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions for A , $Ae_j = \lambda_j e_j$ and (β_j) is a system of independent Brownian motions in a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.

In order to satisfy Hypothesis 1(iii) we shall assume also that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{1-l} < \infty.$$

For $d = 1$ the latter holds if $l > 1/2$.

Then Theorem 1 applies and (34) has a unique solution $X(t, x)$ in the sense of Definition 1. So, we can consider the transition semigroup $P_t\varphi(x) =$

$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t, x))]$. By Theorem 2 if $\varphi \in D(N_0)$, that is if it is sufficiently regular and satisfy a Neumann condition on the boundary of K , then the infinitesimal generator N associated with semigroup P_t has the explicit form

$$(N\varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-1} (D^2\varphi(x)e_j, e_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (D\varphi(x), e_j) - (f(x), D\varphi(x)), \quad (35)$$

(Here (\cdot, \cdot) is the scalar product in $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$.)

References

- BARBU, V. (1993) *Analysis and Control of Infinite Dimensional Systems*. Academic Press, San Diego, Boston.
- BARBU, V. and RASCANU, A. (1997) Parabolic variational inequalities with singular inputs. *Differential Integral Equations* **10** (1), 67–83.
- BARBU, V. and DA PRATO, G. (2005) The Neumann problem on unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^d and stochastic variational inequalities. *Comm. Partial Diff. Equations* **11**, 1217 - 1248.
- BARBU, V. and DA PRATO, G. (2008) The generator of the transition semigroup corresponding to a stochastic variational inequality. *Comm. Partial Diff. Equations* **22** (7), 1318-1338.
- BENSOUSSAN, A. and RASCANU, A. (1997) Stochastic variational inequalities in infinite-dimensional spaces. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **18** (1-2), 19–54.
- CÉPA, E. (1994) Multivalued stochastic differential equations. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser 1, Math.* **319**, 1075–1078.
- CÉPA, E. (1998) Problème de Skorohod multivoque. *Ann. Probab.* **26** (2), 500–532.
- DA PRATO, G. (2004) *Kolmogorov Equations for Stochastic PDEs*. Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin.
- DA PRATO, G. (2006) *An introduction to infinite-dimensional analysis*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- DA PRATO, G. and LUNARDI, A. (2004) Elliptic operators with unbounded drift coefficients and Neumann boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations* **198**, 35-52.
- DA PRATO, G. and ZABCZYK, J. (1996) *Ergodicity for infinite dimensional systems*. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes* **229**, Cambridge University Press.
- HAUSSMANN, U.G. and PARDOUX, E. (1989) Stochastic variational inequalities of parabolic type. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **20** (2), 163–192.

- KOLMOGOROV, A.N. and FOMIN, S.V. (1970) *Introductory Real Analysis*.
Dover, New York.
- NUALART, D. and PARDOUX, E. (1992) White noise driven quasilinear SPDEs
with reflection. *Prob. Theory and Rel. Fields* **93**, 77-89.
- RASCANU, A. (1996) Deterministic and stochastic differential equations in Hil-
bert spaces involving multivalued maximal monotone operators. *Panamer.*
Math. J. **6** (3), 83-119.
- ZAMBOTTI, L. (2001) A reflected stochastic heat equation as symmetric dy-
namics with respect to 3-d Bessel bridge. *J. Functional Anal.* **180**, 195-
209.
- ZHANG, X. (2007) Skorohod problem and multivalued evolution equations in
Banach spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **131**, 175-217.