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#### Abstract

At the macro level, the time-series of the amounts of loans granted (a flow) and repaid (a flow) to the banking system in each period are not available. The information on these flows is important in many analyses, such as the impact of the bank lending on investment outlays. However, one can get the information concerning the structure of loans (a stock variable) regarding their duration. In each period, the loans are granted for different periods: from overnight ones to those lasting several years. The effective preferences of the credit takers are reflected in the term distribution of the outstanding loans. In order to estimate these flows, a model aimed at linking the above-mentioned preferences, the levels of loans and flows has been developed. In the approach proposed, the amount of loans outstanding is a resultant of the rate of the new loans and their duration, which in turn is the result of the term preferences. The evaluation of the flow of loans granted in the Polish banking sector is presented.
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## 1. Introduction

The investment of enterprises in a significant part is financed by bank loans. At the macroeconomic level, it is often of great interest to analyze the relationship between these two categories: the flow of the investment outlays and the flow of loans granted by banks. The banking sector, however, and in consequence the central banking, is not keen to use these flows in the analysis. The methodology used is based on the balance sheet items. In effect, in order to make the above mentioned analysis possible, a trick is employed, consisting in substituting flows

[^0]by net flows, which are equal to the changes in the level of debt (loans outstanding). This approach is workable and simple, although cases where such an approach could turn out inadequate may be easily indicated.

The traditional approach works best, when the term structure of the loans granted is relatively constant. In the periods of significant changes occurring in that structure, for example during recession, the share of the short-term loans increases while the share of the long term loans decreases. This is related to the shrunk investment demand and the increased demand for short-term money because of the increased liquidity problems. Moreover, the constant level of outstanding loans can conceal the fact that the rate of flow of loans granted has changed significantly. A decrease in the mean term of the granted loans indicates the growth of the rate of flow of loans granted.

The above constitutes an argument for the flow-based analysis. However, this is hard to do, as the data are neither available nor direct. The data collected by most central banks from the commercial banks are the time-series concerning the aggregated outstanding loans with terms belonging to definite time-ranges. In an attempt to perform the flow-based analysis, two options can be considered. One would require the central bank to collect data concerning the flows of the loans granted (and also the inflows and outflows of deposits), but in order to do that the central bank should be first persuaded that such an analysis serves a purpose. The other option consists in finding some way of analyzing the existing and available data. Being a substitute for the direct data, this solution could be used simply as a cheap alternative.

This paper proposes a method that makes it possible to evaluate the flows of loans in the banking system. In Section 2 of the paper, basic available data are presented and some preliminary analysis is performed. It is shown that in the period analyzed, a significant change in the term structure of loans granted occurred. Section 3 contains a general outline of the proposed method of analysis. It embraces modeling of the flow loans repaid (and/or written off) as the distributed lag function of the loans granted. Such an approach enables treating the amount of the outstanding loans also as a distributed lag function of the flow of loans granted. Moreover, the impact of the rate of growth of the granted loans flow on the structure of outstanding loans is analyzed. Before any evaluation of the coefficients of distributed lag is attempted, the preferences of the loan-takers have first to be determined. Section 4, in order to facilitate the evaluation, introduces certain simplifications. In Section 5, monthly flows of loans granted are evaluated.

This study is a continuation of research made at the Systems Research Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Gadomski (2002). The time-series used in the analysis span the period of December 1996 - November 2002. It should be noted that since 2003, the aggregation of data has been changed in order to adjust the Polish banking statistics to the classifications used in the European Union. This is the main reason why the data used have not been updated.

The study was also motivated by another factor - sheer curiosity. Since most
heads of the central banks cannot directly answer the question what amounts of loans are granted and repaid in each period, finding an answer to that question is compelling.

## 2. Data

The data used in the study consist of the time-series concerning the total amount of the loans outstanding in the Polish banking system, Fig. 1, as well as the amounts of outstanding loans belonging to the aggregated term ranges (the research is limited to the analysis of Polish Zloty denominated loans only). Each granted loan is included in one of the following ranges: (1) up to 1 month, (2) 1 month to 3 months, (3) 3 months to 6 months, (4) 6 months to 12 months, (5) 12 months to 36 months, (6) 36 months to 60 months, (7) more than 60 months.


Figure 1. Total loans outstanding denominated in zloty (PLN).

The time period considered was December 1996 - November 2002. Total loans outstanding in that period are presented in Fig. 1.

The analysis of Fig. 1 leads to the conclusion that two sub-periods can be distinguished. The first one lasted from December 1996 to December 2000, while the second one - from January 2001 to November 2002. The first sub-period was characterized by a relatively steady growth of $20 \%$ per year; in the second sub-period outstanding loans tended to decrease at the rate of $-3.2 \%$ per year.

At a first glance, the shares of outstanding loans belonging to particular term ranges in the total amount of outstanding loans, Fig. 2, seem to be stable. A closer inspection, however, reveals a more complex picture. The available data were used to analyze a variable $T_{Z t}$ interpreted as the mean term of loans in the total amount of the outstanding loans at period $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{Z t}=\sum_{l=1}^{7} i_{l} u_{t}^{(l)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$i_{l}$ - average length of the terms belonging to the $l$-th terms range (mid-range term),
$u_{t}^{(l)}$ - share of loans outstanding $z_{t}^{(l)}$ from the $l$-th range in the total of outstanding loans $z_{t}$ at period $t$ :

$$
u_{t}^{(l)}=\frac{z_{t}^{l}}{z_{t}}
$$

The values of $T_{Z t}$ (Fig. 3) signal a significant change in the mean term of loans outstanding during the transition between the two sub-periods. In the first sub-period, the average value of $T_{Z t}$ was about 48 months; in the second sub-period, this value decreased by 6 months. This change coincided with a drop in the investment outlays in the Polish economy in that period. Whatever the reason of the decreased investment, the demand for loans changed, and that change was not just of the quantitative but also of the qualitative nature. This very fact constitutes a sound premise for this research.


Figure 2. Structure of the outstanding loans in the Polish banking sector

## 3. Model of flows

In order to facilitate presentation of the model, we begin with its introductory version. At first it is assumed that the loans are granted for the periods of lengths expressed by natural numbers. So, in a month $t$, loans are being granted for the immediate repayment, for one month, two months etc. (Later on a more realistic assumption will be adopted that the loans are being granted, for example, for periods expressed by real numbers.) The amount $x_{t}$ of all loans granted in a month $t$ is thus distributed between loans with different term lengths ranging from zero to a certain $n$, denoting the longest possible period of the loans:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{t}=\alpha_{0} x_{t}+\alpha_{1} x_{t}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} x_{t} \tag{2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{t}=x_{0 t}+x_{1 t}+\ldots+x_{n t} \tag{2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$; are coefficients which fulfill the conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i} \geq 0, i=0,1, \ldots, n ; \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and reflect the effective preferences of loanees (and to a certain extent of banks) as to the length of the repayment period. The values of these coefficients are the outcome of a negotiation process between the banks and their customers. The properties of $\alpha_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$; allow for saying that they form the preference distribution $A$. In this model, the process of forming the values of $\alpha_{i}$ is not analyzed, however, it is taken into account that the changes in the economic environment result in the changes in the preference distribution.


Figure 3. Values of $T_{U t}$ in the period December 1996 - November 2002.

We assume that the banking system is tight, i.e. the loans granted are always fully repaid. This assumption seems to be very strong; however the sum of the flows of the repaid as well as bad loans (written off) provides the fulfillment of the balance condition: what enters the system will at some time leave it.

For each length of the repayment period $i, i=0,1, \ldots, n$; the flow $y_{i t}$ of repaid loans in the month $t$ is the following function of the flow of loans granted in the recent and preceding months (the theoretical framework is based on Dhrymes, 1977; Maddala, 1981, and Gadomski, 2003):

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i t}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j} x_{i t-j} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4) shows that in the month $t$ the flow of repayment of the loans drawn for $i$ months is composed of the repayments of such loans drawn in the earlier months but not before the date $t-i$. One can also notice that loans $x_{i t}$ granted in month $t$ for the period of $i$ months are repaid in the following
installments: $w_{i 0} x_{i t}$ in month $t, w_{i 1} x_{i t}$ in month $t+1, w_{i 2} x_{i t}$ in month $t+2$, etc. At this stage, however, no assumptions concerning installments are necessary.

On the basis of (2a) and (2b) we have:

$$
x_{i t}=\alpha_{i} x_{t}, i=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

and so equation (4) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i t}=\alpha_{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j} x_{t-j} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $i, i=0,1, \ldots, n$; the coefficients $w_{i j}, j=0,1,2, \ldots, i$; in equation (5) constitute the lag distribution $W_{i}$, so that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i j} \geq 0 \text { and } \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j}=1 \text { for all } i=0,1, . ., n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate of flow $y_{t}$, which diminishes the amount of the loans outstanding, is the sum of loans granted for all period lengths:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\alpha_{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j}\right) x_{t-j} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_{i} x_{t-i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
w_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j} w_{j i}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, n
$$

On the basis of (3), (6) and (7) it is easy to prove that coefficients $w_{i}$, $i=0,1, \ldots, n$; also form a lag distribution $W$, because:

$$
\text { for all } i=0,1, \ldots, n ; w_{i} \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_{i}=1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (9) it suffices to recall (3) and assume that for all $j$ in (5) $x_{t-j}=1$.

Reassuming the above considerations one can state that the flow, diminishing the amount of loans outstanding in the banking system is a distributed
lag function of the past rates of the flow granted, provided a given preference distribution.

The main parameters characterizing distribution $W_{i}$ are the expected value $T_{W i}$ and variance $\sigma_{W i}^{2}$, defined by the following formulae:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{W i}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} j w_{i j} \tag{10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{W i}^{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{i}\left(j-T_{w_{i}}\right)^{2} w_{i j} \tag{10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Parameter $T_{W i}$ is interpreted as the mean time it takes an average monetary unit from the flow of the loans granted for $i$ months to be repaid. However, one should note that such an interpretation is adequate only for the static steady state (as defined in Solow, 2000). Generally, such mean time varies with the changes of $x$, and so a more adequate measure is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{W i}(t)=\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i} j w_{i j} x_{t-j}}{y_{t}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of the static steady state, when $y_{t}=x_{t}=x_{t-1}=\ldots=x_{t-n}$, the equality $T_{W i}=T_{W i}(t)$ always holds true. The introduction of $T_{W i}(t)$ is aimed at the analysis of the impact the changes of $x_{t}$ have on the changes in $y_{t}$.

Let us assume that $x$ grew at a certain steady monthly rate $r$, so that $x_{t-i}=x_{t}(1+r)^{-j}$. Under such an assumption, equation (11) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{W i}(t) & =\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i} j w_{i j} x_{t}(1+r)^{-j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j} x_{t}(1+r)^{-j}}=\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{i} j w_{i j}(1+r)^{-j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j}(1+r)^{-j}} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{i} j \frac{w_{i j}(1+r)^{-j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j}(1+r)^{-j}}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} j \omega_{i j}(t), \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w_{i j}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$; are the coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i j}=w_{i j} \frac{(1+r)^{-j}}{\sum_{k=0}^{i} w_{i k}(1+r)^{-k}}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also form the lag distribution $\Omega_{i}$, because for all $j, j=0,1,2, \ldots, i ; \omega_{i j} \geq$ 0 and $\sum_{j=0}^{i} \omega_{i j}=1$.

A closer inspection of equations (12) and (13) reveals the fact that the growth of $x$ affects the value of $T_{W i}(t)$. One can notice that $\omega_{i 0} \geq w_{i 0}$ because the denominator in (13) is always smaller or equal to one. As, given $i$, with increasing $j$ the ratio $\omega_{i j} / w_{i j}$ converges to zero, this shows that a faster growth of $x$ increases the weight of the recent values of $x$, while the earlier ones are of a smaller weight. So, for $r>0$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{W i}(t) \leq T_{W i} \tag{14a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $r<0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{W i}(t) \geq T_{w i} \tag{14b}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage of the analysis it is reasonable to adopt a weak assumption that the mean times $T_{w_{i}}=1, \ldots, n$; in which a monetary unit leaves the stock of outstanding loans granted for the period of $i$ months, are in the following relation:

$$
T_{w_{1}}<T_{w_{2}}<\ldots<T_{w_{n}}
$$

The above assumption is justified by the fact that the repayment schemes usually assume evenly spread installments, and the longer the loan term, the larger the value of $T_{W i}$.

When distributed lags are employed in flow modeling, the concept of lagged stock is useful. The lagged stock consists of all units that entered the lag but did not flow out of it. In the case of loans, these lagged stocks are simply the outstanding loans granted for the period of $i$ months, $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. By denoting by $z_{t}$ the level of the outstanding loans granted for $i$ months at the end of month $t$ we can write the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i t}=z_{i t-1}+x_{i t}-y_{i t} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which states that the level of the outstanding loans at the end of month $t$ is the sum of the level at the beginning of month $t$, diminished by the outflow (consisting of the installments as well as the write-offs - deteriorated loans).

By substituting formula (4) for the value of $y_{i t}$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i t}=z_{i t-1}+x_{i t}-\sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j} x_{i t-j}=z_{i t-1}+\left(1-w_{i 0}\right) x_{i t}-\sum_{j=1}^{i} w_{i j} x_{i t-j} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (15) to express the values of $z_{i t-1}, z_{i t-2}, \ldots, z_{i t-i}$ one finally obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i t}=\sum_{j=0}^{i}\left(1-\sum_{k=0}^{j} w_{i k}\right) x_{i t-j} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (16) shows that at the end of month $t$ the amount of the loans outstanding granted in months $t, t-1, \ldots, t-i$, i.e., $x_{i t}, x_{i t-1}, x_{i t-2}, \ldots, x_{i t-i}$, equals the sum of what was left from the loans granted after the respective installments were repaid (or written off).

Denote by $s_{i j}$ the part of the loans granted for $i$ month, $x_{i t}$, residing in the stock of loans outstanding, $z_{i t}$, at the end of month $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i j}=\left(1-\sum_{k=0}^{j} w_{i k}\right), j=0,1, . ., i \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

All $s_{i j}$ are non-negative, since $\sum_{k=0}^{i} w_{i k}=1$. Now, (16) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i t}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} s_{i j} x_{i t-j}=\alpha_{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} s_{i j} x_{t-j} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $x_{i t-j}=\alpha_{i} x_{t-j}$.
Coefficients $s_{i j}, j=0,1, \ldots, i$; in expression (19) do not form the distributed lag, as they do not sum to one. Moreover, their sum can be much larger. If, for example $w_{i 0}=0$, then $s_{i 0}=1$. In this example, the very first coefficient equals 1 , so the sum must be much larger.

The latter sum is determined and is equal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{i} s_{i j}=T_{W i} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of (20) is based on the equality:

$$
\left(1-\sum_{k=0}^{j} w_{i k}\right)=\sum_{k=j+1}^{i} w_{i k}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{j=0}^{i} s_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{i} w_{i k}+\sum_{k=2}^{i} w_{i k}+\ldots .+\sum_{k=i}^{i} w_{i k}= \\
=w_{i 1}+w_{i 2}+w_{i 3}+w_{i 4}+. .+w_{i i}+ \\
+w_{i 2}+w_{i 3}+w_{i 4}+. .+w_{i i}+ \\
+w_{i 3}+w_{i 4}+. .+w_{i i}+ \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
+w_{i i}= \\
=w_{i 1}+2 w_{i 2}+3 w_{i 3}+w_{i 4}+\ldots+i w_{i i}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} j w_{i j}
\end{array}
$$

Finally, on the basis of the definition of $T_{W i}$, expression (16a), we have:

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{i} s_{i j}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} j w_{i j}=T_{W i}
$$

Now it is possible to express the relationship (18) in terms of the distributed lag. It can be achieved by the normalization of the coefficients $s_{i j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i t}=T_{W i} \sum_{j=0}^{i}\left(\frac{s_{i j}}{T_{W i}}\right) x_{i t-j}=T_{W i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} v_{i j} x_{i t-j} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{i j}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ and $j=0,1,2, \ldots, i$; are coefficients forming lag distribution $V_{i}$, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i j}=\frac{1-\sum_{k=0}^{j} w_{i k}}{T_{W_{i}}}=\frac{\sum_{k=j+1}^{i} w_{i k}}{T_{W_{i}}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above considerations lead to an important conclusion of existence of a strict correspondence between the relationships (4) and (22); namely, if we know the coefficients of the distribution $W_{i}$, then we can determine the coefficients of the distribution $V_{i}$. The opposite holds true as well: coefficients of $W_{i}$ can be determined on the basis of coefficients from $V_{i}$.

Another important property of the relation between (4) and (22) is that whatever the shape of the distribution $W_{i}$ (which is left unspecified), the coefficients of $V_{i}$, as illustrated by expression (22), are the non-increasing function of the index number $j$.

The parameters characterizing $V_{i}$ are the expected value $T_{V i}$ and variance $\sigma^{2} V i$, which are defined, respectively, by the following formulae:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{V i}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} j v_{i j} \tag{23a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{V i}^{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{i}\left(j-T_{v_{i}}\right)^{2} v_{i j} . \tag{23b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Parameter $T_{V i}$ of (23a) can be interpreted as the mean time a monetary unit spends in the stock of outstanding loans, formed by the loans granted for $i$ months. Note that similarly to the case of the lag function (4), growth/decrease of the exogenous variable at a constant rate $r$ results in decrease/increase of the effective mean time.

It will be shown now that the growth of the exogenous variable at a constant rate $r$ causes the growth of the endogenous variable also at that constant rate $r$.

On the basis of the above assumption we have:

$$
x_{i t-j}=x_{i t}(1+r)^{-j} \text { and } x_{i t+1}=x_{i t}(1+r)
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i t+1}-y_{i t}=\sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j} x_{i t-j+1}-\sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j} x_{i t-j} \\
& =x_{t}(1+r) \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j}(1+r)^{-j}-x_{t} \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{i j}(1+r)^{-j}=r y_{i t}
\end{aligned}
$$

and finally:

$$
y_{i t+1}=(1+r) y_{i t},
$$

and this was to be proved.
Because outstanding loans are a distributed lag function of the loans granted, it can be easily proved that the growth of the exogenous variable at a certain constant rate $r$ causes the growth of outstanding loans at the same growth rate $r$. This property will be used in further analysis.

First, we will see that the mean time $T_{W}$, needed by the monetary to flow out from the stock of the loans outstanding, equals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{W}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} T_{W_{i}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

meaning that $T_{W}$ is a weighted average of parameters $T_{W i}$ where the earlier defined preference coefficients $\alpha_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$; play the role of weights.

On the basis of equation (8) we have:

$$
w_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j} w_{j i}
$$

By determining $T_{W}$ from the definition we have:

$$
T_{W}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i w_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i \sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j} w_{j i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \sum_{i=0}^{n} i w_{j i}
$$

by changing the summing order. As the last sum equals $T_{W i}$ see (10a), relationship (24) has been proved.

The following analysis will focus on some properties of the relationships between the level of the total outstanding loans and the total of loans granted.

The total level of the loans outstanding at the end of the month $t$ is the sum of the loans outstanding granted for periods ranging from 1 to $n$ (in the introductory model the loans granted for 0 months do not participate in the outstanding loans):

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} z_{i t}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} T_{w_{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{i} v_{i j} x_{t-j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{i} \alpha_{i} T_{w_{i}} v_{i j}\right) x_{t-j} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we will turn to the analysis of the shares $u_{k t}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$; of the outstanding loans $z_{i t}$ granted for $i$ months in the total stock of the outstanding loans $z_{t}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k t}=\frac{z_{k t}}{z_{t}}=\frac{\alpha_{k} T_{W_{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k} v_{k j} x_{t-j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} T_{w_{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{i} v_{i j} x_{t-j}}, k=1,2, . ., n \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

As all coefficients $u_{k t}$ are non-negative and their sum equals one, for all $t$ they constitute the probability distribution $U_{t}$ and the appropriate methods of analysis can be employed. In the static steady state the formula (26) is reduced to a simpler form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}=\frac{\alpha_{k} T_{W_{k}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} T_{w_{i}}}=\frac{\alpha_{k} T_{W_{k}}}{T_{w}}, k=1,2, . ., n \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $T_{W}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} T_{W_{i}}$ and for all $i, \sum_{j=0}^{i} v_{i j} x_{t-j}=1$.
As assumed earlier, it can also be noted that values of $T_{w_{i}}$ are increasing functions of the term length. In the case of loans granted for $i$ months, the share of those loans outstanding in the total stock of the loans outstanding is the function of $i$ and the preference coefficient $\alpha_{j}$.

In particular, we are interested in the expected value $T_{Z}(t)$, which can be interpreted as the mean term of the loans outstanding:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{Z}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i u_{i t} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the exogenous variable grows at a steady rate $r$, then equation (26) can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k t}=\frac{z_{k t}}{z_{t}}=\frac{\alpha_{k} T_{W_{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k} v_{k j}(1+r)^{-j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} T_{w_{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{i} v_{i j}(1+r)^{-j}}, k=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expression (29) shows that the share of the $k$-months loans in the total stock of outstanding loans does not depend on $x_{t}$, but on the rate of growth, preferences and particular lag distributions $W_{i}$. One can expect that the greater the $r$, the greater the share of the shorter term loans in the total amount of outstanding loans and the smaller the shares of the longer term loans. This should affect the mean term $T_{Z}(t)$.

Assume now that the exogenous variable grows at a steady rate $r$. If we know the preference distribution $A$ and the lag distributions $W_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$; it is possible to determine the share of the $k$-months loans in the total loans outstanding.

In this analysis, however, we are interested in another, more difficult problem: how to determine unknown preference coefficients on the basis of known shares of loans outstanding of the particular term lengths in the total stock of outstanding loans.

## 4. Some adjustments

In order to proceed further, some assumptions are necessary for twofold reasons.
First, some simplifications are justified on the ground of reality. The family of the lag distribution belongs here. There is no need to assume sophisticated distribution where banking practice is simple. From now on we will assume that the installments are paid monthly and are evenly distributed. Moreover, one ought to consider that flows occur not in the discrete time but happen in an almost continuous time. Hence, in reality, the term lengths, which vary from zero to $n$ months, are not necessarily represented by natural numbers. It is also necessary to account for the fact that some amount of loans granted in a given month for, say, a half-month period is carried over to the next month (if granted in the second half of preceding month).

Second, as we are interested in the flows that are unknown, a way to determine them is to assume some sort of relationship between the stocks of the loans outstanding (which are known) and the flow of the loans granted and/or the flow of the loans repaid.

In specifying lag distribution, two lag distributions are introduced. The first one is used for explaining repayment $y_{0 t}$ of granted loans with terms not longer than 1 month. This repayment consists in one half of the loans granted in the period $t$ for a term shorter than one month, and in the other half of the loans granted in the period $t-1$ for a term shorter than one month:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0 t}=1 / 2 \alpha_{0}\left(x_{t}+x_{t-1}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second lag distribution is used for explaining loans granted for the terms longer than one month. The elements of the following sum represent the installments of the loans granted for the term equal $i$ and repaid in period $t$ :

$$
\alpha_{i} x_{t-1} / i+\alpha_{i} x_{t-2} / i+\ldots+\alpha_{i} x_{t-i} / i
$$

On the other extreme within the same range, there are the installments of loans granted for the duration of $i+1$ months:

$$
\alpha_{i} x_{t-1} /(i+1)+\alpha_{i} x_{t-2} /(i+1)+\ldots+\alpha_{i} x_{t-i} /(i+1)+\alpha_{i} x_{t} /(i+1)
$$

It is assumed that the flow $y_{i t}$ is a simple mean of the above expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i t}= & 1 / 2 \alpha_{i}\left[\left(x_{t-1}+x_{t-2}+\ldots+x_{t-i}\right) i^{-1}+\left(x_{t-1}+x_{t-2}+\ldots+x_{t-i}+x_{t-(i+1)}\right)(i+1)^{-1}\right] \\
& =a_{i}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{i}\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 i+1}{i(i+1)}\right) x_{t-j}+\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{i+1}\right) x_{t-(i+1)}\right], i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the lag coefficients are all equal except for the last one. Note also that these lag coefficients can be explicitly expressed as:

$$
w_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 i+1}{i(i+1)}, \text { if } j \leq i  \tag{31}\\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{i+1}, \text { if } j=i
\end{array} \quad i=0,1, . ., n\right.
$$

Knowing these coefficients for all monthly ranges of the loan terms, it is not difficult to determine the coefficients of the lag distributions $V_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$; equation (22). It is now possible to determine the amount of the loans outstanding $z_{t}^{(l)}$ belonging to the $l$-th range:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}^{(l)}=\sum_{i=i_{l}}^{i_{u}} z_{i t} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{l}$ and $i_{u}$ denote the shortest and the longest terms respectively, of the $l$-th range.

On the basis of (32), the formula for shares $u_{t}^{(l)}$ can be easily developed:

$$
u_{t}^{(l)}=\frac{z_{t}^{l}}{z_{t}}, l=1, . ., 7
$$

We know from the considerations related to expression (29) that during steady growth of the loans granted, the shares $u_{k t}$ do not depend on the absolute value of the loans granted but on their growth rate $r$, the coefficients of the lag distributions and the preference distribution.

Looking at equation (29) one can notice that the unknown variables are the preference coefficients $\alpha_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$. Now an attempt will be made to determine those coefficients by minimizing the mean squared error:

$$
\min _{\alpha_{i}} \sum_{l=1}^{7}\left(u_{t}^{\prime(l)}-u_{t}^{(l)}\right)^{2}
$$

where:
$u_{t}^{(l)}-$ loans outstanding $z_{t}^{(l)}$ belonging to the $l$-th range, $l=1, \ldots, n$; empirical data
$u_{t}^{(l)}$ - loans outstanding $z_{t}^{(l)}$ belonging to the $l$-th range, $l=1, \ldots, n$; value from the model.

Based on trial and error analysis it was assumed that preference coefficients are generated by the linear combination of three preference distributions: short-, mid- and long-term ones:

$$
\alpha_{i}=d_{1} \alpha_{i}^{(1)}+d_{2} \alpha_{i}^{(2)}+d_{3} \alpha_{i}^{(3)}, i=0,1, \ldots, n,
$$

where: $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ - the weight coefficients fulfilling $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3} \geq 0, d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}=1$.
Parameters $\alpha_{i}^{(1)}, \alpha_{i}^{(2)}$ and $\alpha_{i}^{(3)}$ are, respectively, the coefficients of the preference distributions generated with the use of the Pascal distribution:

$$
\alpha_{i}^{(j)}=\binom{r_{j}+i-1}{i}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{i}\left(1-\lambda_{j}\right)^{r_{j}} .
$$

with parameters:
$r_{j}$ - the order of the Pascal distribution
$\lambda_{j}$ - parameter associated with the average lag $T^{(i)}$ by the relation:

$$
\lambda_{j}=r_{j} /\left(r_{j}+T_{j}\right)
$$

## 5. Evaluation of the preference parameters and the flow of granted loans

Examination of Figs. 1 and 2 provided the evidence in support of the hypothesis that in the analyzed period, two sub-periods can be distinguished. These subperiods differ both in the dynamics of the outstanding loans, and the value of the mean term of the outstanding loans. The first period lasted from November 1996 to December 2000, while the second one lasted from January 2001 to November 2002. The differences mentioned are the reason for the separate evaluation of the preference distributions in both sub-periods.

The best results were obtained with the parameters generated by the Pascal distribution. The values of these parameters are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The estimated values of parameters $T^{(i)}, r_{j}$ and $u_{j}$.

|  | sub-period Dec-96 - Dec-00 |  | sub-period Jan- 01 - Nov-02 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $T^{(j)}$ (months) | $r_{j}$ | $u_{j}$ | $T^{(j)}($ months $)$ | $r_{j}$ | $u_{j}$ |
| $j=1$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5 9}$ |
| $j=2$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1}$ |
| $j=3$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 1}$ |



Figure 4. The estimated and actual shares of the loans outstanding belonging to the particular term ranges in the first sub-period.


Figure 5. The estimated and actual shares of the loans outstanding belonging to the particular term ranges in the second sub-period.

The actual and fitted values of shares are shown in Figs. 4 and 5.
Having determined the values of the preference distribution $A$, one can determine the lag distribution $W$, the relation between the flow of the granted loans and the flow of the loans repaid (and written off), equation (7), as well as the lag distribution $V$.

The coefficients of the estimated distributions $A$ and $W$ are presented in Fig. 6. The evaluation of the flow of loans granted uses the property of Koyck's model, making it possible to express the value of outflow $y_{t}$ (repayment and write off) as the following function of the outstanding loans $z_{t}$ :

$$
y_{t}=\lambda x_{t}+(1-\lambda) z_{t} .
$$

Because:

$$
z_{t}=z_{t-1}+x_{t}-y_{t},
$$

it is easy to arrive at the expression:

$$
x_{t}=\frac{\lambda z_{t}-z_{t-1}}{1-\lambda}
$$

which is used for determining the values of $x_{t}$.


Figure 6. Estimated distributions $A, W$ and the approximated lag distribution.

The monthly flows of the loans granted are depicted in Fig. 7. Some details in Fig. 7 require comment. First of all, the sharp increase and then the equally sharp decrease of the flow in July and August 2000 are the effect of short-term (less than one month) privatization loans.


Figure 7. The monthly flow of the granted loans in the Polish banking sector.

The sharp increase in the rate of the considered flow at the beginning of 2001 is, on the one hand, a result of a change in the preferences of the loanees (and, to a significant extent, of banks). On the other hand, so sharp an increase is also the result of the fact that the lag distribution $W$ has been approximated with Koyck's distribution, which weights the most recent value of the exogenous variable, and so the impact of the change is overvalued.

## 6. Conclusions

The considerations here presented show that although the relationship between the flow of the loans granted and the amount of the loans outstanding is complex, it can be expressed by a conceptually simple distributed lag model. When drawing a loan, the borrower makes two main decisions: on the required amount of the loan, and the time it would take to repay the capital. The factors influencing this relationship are as follows: loan term preferences, scheme of the repayment of the principal, and the deteriorated loans. The changes in the economic environment can affect the demand for the investment loans and have a significant impact on the term structure of the loans drawn.

The structure of the loans outstanding is influenced by the term preferences and by the very dynamics of the loans granted. The bigger the rate of growth given constant term preferences, the smaller the share of long-term loans and the smaller the mean time a monetary unit spends in the loans outstanding. The opposite is also valid: a decrease in the flow of the loans granted results in the increase of the share of the long-term loans, thus increasing the mean time a monetary unit spends in the loans outstanding. On the other hand, a change of the term preferences, ceteris paribus, may result in significant changes of the loans outstanding.

This analysis leads to the conclusion that dynamics matters: the amount of the loans outstanding can grow either with the growth or with the decrease of the loans granted. Hence, in the formulation of the monetary policy the dynamics of the loans granted and changes in the term preferences should be taken into account.

It was demonstrated that the flow of the loans granted could be evaluated on the basis of the available data. In the Introduction, two solutions were considered. First, that the central bank becomes convinced to the idea of evaluating the rates of flows of loans and collects the relevant data, and the second, that a method can be found to use the existing and available data for that purpose. This study, in the opinion of the author, presents such a method. Moreover, as these solutions should not be contradictory, it would be interesting to compare actual data with the results obtained using the proposed method.
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