

Shape sensitivity analysis of time-dependent flows
of incompressible non-Newtonian fluids*

by

Jan Sokołowski^{1,2} and Jan Stebel³

¹Institut Élie Cartan, UMR 7502 Nancy-Université-CNRS-INRIA
Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université Henri Poincaré Nancy 1, B.P. 239,
54506 Vandoeuvre Lès Nancy Cedex, France

²Systems Research Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences,
ul. Newelska 6, 01-447 Warszawa, Poland

³Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences
of the Czech Republic,
Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic

e-mail: Jan.Sokolowski@iecn.u-nancy.fr, stebel@math.cas.cz

Abstract: We study the shape differentiability of a cost function for the flow of an incompressible viscous fluid of power-law type. The fluid is confined to a bounded planar domain surrounding an obstacle. For smooth perturbations of the shape of the obstacle we express the shape gradient of the cost function which can be subsequently used to improve the initial design.

Keywords: shape optimization, shape gradient, incompressible viscous fluid, Navier-Stokes equations.

1. Introduction

1.1. Problem outline

Shape sensitivity analysis is a fundamental step towards the numerical solution of the shape optimization problems governed by partial differential equations. Usually, in numerical solution of applied problems this step is made formally, see e.g., Schmidt and Schulz (2009, 2010). Mathematical justification of the formal calculations is difficult, however feasible: In the context of Navier-Stokes equations, the sensitivity analysis of shape functionals is performed in Moubachir and Zolésio (2006); Consiglieri et al. (2010) for the incompressible case, and in Plotnikov and Sokolowski (2010, 2012) for the compressible case. The present paper is focused on the rigorous analysis, and new results for a nonlinear nonstationary model in two spatial dimensions are proved.

*Submitted: September 2011; Accepted: October 2011.

We consider the time-dependent flow of an incompressible fluid in a bounded domain $\Omega := B \setminus S$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , where B is a container and S is an obstacle. Motion of the fluid is described by the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) + \nabla p + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{f} && \text{in } Q, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} &= 0 && \text{in } Q, \\ \mathbf{v} &= 0 && \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \mathbf{v}(0, \cdot) &= \mathbf{v}_0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (P(\Omega))$$

Here $Q := (0, T) \times \Omega$, $\Sigma := (0, T) \times \partial\Omega$, where $(0, T)$ is a time interval of arbitrary length, \mathbf{v} , p , \mathbb{C} , \mathbf{f} stand for velocity, pressure, constant skew-symmetric Coriolis tensor and body force, respectively. The traceless part \mathbb{S} of the Cauchy stress can depend on the symmetric part $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}$ of the velocity gradient in the following way:

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) = \nu(|\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}|^2)\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}, \quad (1)$$

where ν , $|\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}|^2$ are the viscosity and the shear rate, respectively. In particular, we assume that ν has a polynomial growth (see Section 2.1 below), which includes e.g. the Carreau and the power-law model.

In the model, the term of Coriolis type is present. This term appears, e.g., when the change of variables is performed in order to take into account the flight scenario of the obstacle in the fluid.

The aim of this paper is to investigate differentiability of a shape functional depending on the solution to $(P(\Omega))$ with respect to the variations of the shape of the obstacle. We consider a model problem with the drag functional

$$J(\Omega) := \int_0^T \int_{\partial S} (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) - p\mathbb{I})\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{d}, \quad (2)$$

with a given constant unit vector \mathbf{d} . Instead of J one could take another type of functional, since our method does not rely on its specific form.

Fluids, whose viscosity depends on the shear rate through (1), form an important class of non-Newtonian fluids (see, e.g., Schowalter, 1978; Rajagopal, 1993; Truesdell et al., 2004, for general references). Particular models find applications in many areas of chemistry, biology, medicine or engineering. The first mathematical results were established already 40 years ago in Ladyzhenskaya (1967, 1969); Lions (1969); for recent references see, e.g., Frehse et al. (2000, 2003); Málek and Rajagopal (2005); Diening et al. (2010). In context of optimal control the fluids with shear dependent viscosity were studied in Slawig (2005); Wachsmuth and Roubíček (2010). Numerical results for a shape optimization problem can be found in Abraham et al. (2005), see also Bulíček et al. (2009); Haslinger and Stebel (2011).

Our main interest is in the rigorous analysis of the shape differentiability for $(P(\Omega))$ and (2). We follow the general framework developed in Sokółowski and Zolésio (1992) using the speed method and the notion of the material derivative. Let us point out that due to (1) the state problem is nonlinear in its nature. In

such cases the shape sensitivity analysis is usually restricted to ‘small data’, i.e. for sufficiently small body forces, initial conditions or short times. For the presented results no such restriction is necessary, because of uniqueness and regularity of the state variables. We refer the reader to Tröltzsch (2010) for an introduction to optimization problems for nonlinear partial differential equations.

1.2. Shape derivatives

We start by the description of the framework for the shape sensitivity analysis. For this reason, we introduce a vector field $\mathbf{T} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ vanishing in the vicinity of ∂B and define the mapping

$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + \varepsilon \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}).$$

For small $\varepsilon > 0$ the mapping $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})$ takes diffeomorphically the region Ω onto $\Omega_\varepsilon = B \setminus S_\varepsilon$ where $S_\varepsilon = \mathbf{y}(S)$. Denoting $Q_\varepsilon := (0, T) \times \Omega_\varepsilon$, $\Sigma_\varepsilon := (0, T) \times \partial\Omega_\varepsilon$, we consider the counterpart of problem $(P(\Omega))$ in Q_ε , with the data $\mathbf{f}|_{Q_\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbf{v}_0|_{\Omega_\varepsilon}$. The new problem will be denoted by $(P(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ and its solution by $(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon, \bar{p}_\varepsilon)$.

For the nonlinear system $(P(\Omega))$ we introduce the shape derivatives of solutions. To this end we need the linearized system of the form:

Find the couple (\mathbf{u}, π) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{div} [\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u} - \mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})\mathbb{D}\mathbf{u}] + \nabla \pi + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{F} && \text{in } Q, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} &= 0 && \text{in } Q, \\ \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{h} && \text{on } \Sigma, \quad (P_{\text{lin}}(\Omega)) \\ \mathbf{u}(0, \cdot) &= \mathbf{u}_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{u}_0 are given elements.

The shape derivative \mathbf{v}' and the material derivative $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ of solutions are formally introduced by

$$\mathbf{v}' := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{v}}{\varepsilon}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{v}} := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon \circ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{v}}{\varepsilon},$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon \circ \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) := \bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}))$, and are related to each other as follows:

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v}' + (\nabla \mathbf{v})\mathbf{T}.$$

The standard calculus for differentiating with respect to shape yields that \mathbf{v}' is the solution of $(P_{\text{lin}}(\Omega))$ with the data $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{h} = -\partial \mathbf{v} / \partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{n})$. Using (13) as the definition of J we obtain the expression for the shape gradient:

$$\begin{aligned} dJ(\Omega; \mathbf{T}) &:= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{J(\Omega_\varepsilon) - J(\Omega)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \int_\Omega \mathbf{v}'(T) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_Q [(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}') \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + (\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v}' \otimes \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}') : \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}] \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \int_{\partial S} (\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{d})\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{n}. \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

In the above formula, the part containing \mathbf{v}' depends implicitly on the direction \mathbf{T} . This is not convenient for practical use, hence we introduce the adjoint problem for further simplification of (3):

Find the couple (\mathbf{w}, s) such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_t \mathbf{w} - 2(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{div} [\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})^\top \mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}] + \nabla s - \mathbb{C}\mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{0} && \text{in } Q, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} &= 0 && \text{in } Q, \\ \mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{d} && \text{on } \Sigma, \quad (P_{\text{adj}}(\Omega)) \\ \mathbf{w}(T, \cdot) &= \mathbf{0} && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the expression for dJ reduces to

$$dJ(\Omega; \mathbf{T}) = - \int_0^T \int_{\partial S} \left[(\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})^\top \mathbb{D}\mathbf{w} - s\mathbb{I}) : \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{d} \right] \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{n}. \tag{4}$$

In order to prove the result given by (3) and (4) we need the material derivatives. In particular, it is sufficient to show that the linear mapping

$$\mathbf{T} \mapsto dJ(\Omega; \mathbf{T})$$

is continuous in an appropriate topology, see the Structure Theorem in the book by Sokołowski and Zolésio (1992) for details.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we impose the structural assumptions and collect the basic facts about the existence of weak solutions to $(P(\Omega))$. The main results of the paper are stated in Section 3. Well-posedness of the linearized systems is studied in Section 4. The rest is devoted to the proof of the main results. In Section 5 the problem is formulated in the fixed domain, Section 6 deals with the shape stability, Section 7 with the Lipschitz estimates and the existence of the material derivative, and Section 8 with the existence of the shape gradient of J .

2. Preliminaries

We impose the structural assumptions on the data, state the known results on well-posedness of $(P(\Omega))$ and introduce the elementary notation for shape sensitivity analysis.

2.1. Structural assumptions

We require that \mathbb{S} have a potential $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, i.e. $\mathbb{S}_{ij}(\mathbb{D}) = \partial\Phi(|\mathbb{D}|^2)/\partial\mathbb{D}_{ij}$. Further we assume that Φ is a \mathcal{C}^3 function with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and that there exist constants $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$ and $r \geq 2$ such that

$$C_1(1 + |\mathbb{A}|^{r-2})|\mathbb{B}|^2 \leq \mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{A}) :: (\mathbb{B} \otimes \mathbb{B}) \leq C_2(1 + |\mathbb{A}|^{r-2})|\mathbb{B}|^2, \tag{5a}$$

$$|\mathbb{S}''(\mathbb{A})| \leq C_3(1 + |\mathbb{A}|^{r-3}) \tag{5b}$$

for any $0 \neq \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \in \mathbb{R}_{sym}^{2 \times 2}$. Here the symbol $::$ stands for the usual scalar product in \mathbb{R}^{2^4} . The above inequalities imply the following properties of \mathbb{S} :

LEMMA 1 *Let \mathbb{S} satisfy (5).*

(i) *There is a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that for every $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{sym}^{2 \times 2}$:*

$$|\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A})| \leq C_4(1 + |\mathbb{A}|^{r-1}). \tag{6}$$

(ii) *There is a constant $C_5 > 0$ such that*

$$(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{A}) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{B})) : (\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{B}) \geq C_5 |\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{B}|^r \tag{7}$$

for all $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \in \mathbb{R}_{sym}^{2 \times 2}$.

The proof can be found, e.g., in Málek and Rajagopal (2005).

2.2. Weak formulation

For the definition of weak solution we will use the space

$$\mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,r}(\Omega) := \{\phi \in \mathbf{W}_0^{1,r}(\Omega); \operatorname{div} \phi = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(0, T; (\mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)$. Then a function $\mathbf{v} \in L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,r}(\Omega))$ with $\partial_t \mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; (\mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,2}(\Omega))^*)$ is said to be a weak solution to the problem $(P(\Omega))$ if $\mathbf{v}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \partial_t \mathbf{v}, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,2}(\Omega)} + \int_Q [\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) : \mathbb{D}\phi - \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} : \nabla \phi + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} \cdot \phi] \\ = \int_Q \mathbf{f} \cdot \phi \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for every $\phi \in L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,r}(\Omega))$. Note that the pressure is eliminated since test functions are divergence free.

The existence and uniqueness of a weak solution to $(P(\Omega))$ with $\mathbb{C} \equiv 0$ in two space dimensions is due to Ladyzhenskaya (1967, 1969) and Lions (1969). Regularity of weak solutions was studied, e.g., by Málek et al. (2001); Kaplický (2005); Beirão da Veiga et al. (2011). We recall the following result:

THEOREM 1 (KAPLICKÝ, 2005) *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with $\mathcal{C}^{2+\mu}$ boundary and $T > 0$. Let (5) hold for some $r \in [2, 4)$, $\mathbb{C} \equiv 0$ and*

$$\mathbf{f} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)), \quad \mathbf{f}(0) \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega), \quad \partial_t \mathbf{f} \in L^2(0, T; (\mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,2}(\Omega))^*), \tag{9}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbf{W}^{\rho,2}(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad \rho > 2 \ (\rho = 2 \text{ if } r = 2). \tag{10}$$

Then the unique weak solution \mathbf{v}, p of $(P(\Omega))$ satisfies for $s \in (1, 2)$ ($s = 2$ if $r = 2$):

$$\mathbf{v} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{2,s}(\Omega)), \quad \partial_t \mathbf{v} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,div}^{1,2}(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, if there is a $\tilde{q} > 2$ such that

$$\mathbf{f} \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega)), \quad \partial_t \mathbf{f} \in L^{\tilde{q}}(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{-1,\tilde{q}}(\Omega)), \tag{11}$$

then there exist $q > 2$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon \in (0, T)$ there holds:

$$\nabla \mathbf{v}, p \in L^\infty(\epsilon, T; W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}([\epsilon, T] \times \overline{\Omega}).$$

We will also need the L^q theory for the generalized Stokes system ($P_{\text{lin}}(\Omega)$). Here and in what follows we will assume that the non-homogeneous boundary condition is time-independent, i.e. $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})$. Theorem 4.1 in Bothe and Prüss (2007) implies (see also Solonnikov, 2001):

THEOREM 2 (BOTHE and PRÜSS (2007)) *Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^{2,1}$, $T > 0$, $q \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{\frac{3}{2}, 3\}$, $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q})$, $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{L}^q(Q)$, $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{W}^{2-1/q,q}(\partial\Omega)$, and $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{W}^{2-2/q,q}(\Omega)$. Let \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{u}_0 satisfy the compatibility conditions: $\text{div } \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$, $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}$ on $\partial\Omega$ and for $q > \frac{3}{2}$ $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{u}_0$ on $\partial\Omega$.*

Then $(P_{\text{lin}}(\Omega))$ has a unique solution (\mathbf{u}, π) in the class

$$\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,q}(0, T; \mathbf{L}^q(\Omega)) \cap L^q(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{2,q}(\Omega)), \quad \pi \in L^q(0, T; W^{1,q}(\Omega)).$$

The additional Coriolis term $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}$ presents a minor technical obstacle in the existence analysis: since $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{R}_{skew}^{2 \times 2}$, the a priori estimate remains unchanged, namely every weak solution \mathbf{v} satisfies the energy equality

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) : \mathbb{D}\mathbf{v} = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{2,\Omega}^2, \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in (0, T). \quad (12)$$

Considering a sequence $\{\mathbf{v}^N\}$ of approximate solutions that satisfy (12), the limit passage

$$\mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}^N \rightharpoonup \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} \text{ in } L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{1,r}(\Omega))$$

is a straightforward consequence of strong monotonicity of \mathbb{S} and the weak compactness of $\{\mathbf{v}^N\}$ in $L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{1,r}(\Omega))$. In other aspects the proof of the existence and the uniqueness of a weak solution follows line by line the original one. Concerning regularity, if we put $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}$ to the right hand side, the assumptions of Theorem 1 are still satisfied, hence the same result holds.

In order to identify the material derivative of \mathbf{v} and to apply Theorem 2 we will need $\nabla\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q})$. Theorem 1, however, guarantees this only for $r = 2$ or locally in time. To overcome this technical obstacle, we use the idea of Wachsmuth and Roubíček (2010) and impose the following restriction on the initial condition and the body force.

ASSUMPTION 1 *In what follows we suppose that Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 with $\mathcal{C}^{2,1}$ boundary, $r \in [2, 4)$, the function \mathbf{f} is extended by $\mathbf{0}$ in the complement of Q , $\text{supp } \mathbf{v}_0 \cap \partial S = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $|\mathbf{d}| = 1$. Further we assume:*

For $r = 2$:

The initial value \mathbf{v}_0 and the body force \mathbf{f} satisfy (9), (10) and (11).

For $r > 2$:

There exist $\tau > 0$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} \in L^\infty(-\tau, T; \mathbf{L}^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega))$ with $\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \in L^{\tilde{q}}(-\tau, T; \mathbf{W}^{-1,\tilde{q}}(\Omega))$ such that $\mathbf{f} = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}|_Q$; the initial value \mathbf{v}_0 is equal to $\mathbf{v}(0)$ with \mathbf{v} being the solution

of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) + \nabla p + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} &= \tilde{\mathbf{f}}, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} &= 0 && \text{in } (-\tau, 0) \times \Omega, \\ \mathbf{v} &= 0 && \text{on } (-\tau, 0) \times \partial\Omega, \\ \mathbf{v}(-\tau, \cdot) &= \mathbf{v}_{-\tau} && \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{-\tau}$ satisfies (10) with $\mathbf{v}_{-\tau}$ in place of \mathbf{v}_0 .

COROLLARY 1 *Let Assumption 1 hold true. Then there is a unique weak solution \mathbf{v} to $(P(\Omega))$ and the associated pressure p which satisfy:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{2,q}(\Omega)), \\ \nabla \mathbf{v} &\in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{Q}), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{v} &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\operatorname{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)) \\ p &\in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

for some $q > 2$ and $\alpha > 0$.

REMARK *The above result applies only to the unperturbed domain, i.e. $\varepsilon = 0$. For the other cases we assume that the solution $(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon, \bar{p}_\varepsilon)$ belongs just to the class of weak solutions.*

Let us point out that equation (2), which defines J , is not suitable for weak solutions in general, since the energy inequality does not provide enough information about the trace of p and $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}$. We therefore introduce an alternative definition that requires less regularity. Let us fix an arbitrary divergence free function $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{d}$ in a vicinity of S . Then, integrating (2) by parts and using $(P(\Omega))$ yields:

$$J(\Omega) = \int_\Omega (\mathbf{v}(T) - \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_Q [(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}], \quad (13)$$

see Appendix A for precise derivation. Note that this identity is finite for any $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{1,2}(\Omega))$ and $\mathbf{v}(T) \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$.

2.3. Deformation of the shape

Arguing similarly as in Section 2.2 we find that $(P(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ has a unique weak solution $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon \in L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\operatorname{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ with $\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon \in \left(L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\operatorname{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega_\varepsilon)) \right)^*$ which satisfies the energy inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{2,\Omega_\varepsilon}^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{2,\Omega_\varepsilon}^2 \quad (14)$$

for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$ and for $t = T$.

Let us introduce the following notation: we will denote by $D\mathbf{T}$ the Jacobian matrix whose components are $(D\mathbf{T})_{ij} = (\nabla\mathbf{T})_{ji} = \partial_i T_j$. Further,

$$\mathbb{N}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{M}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{I} + \varepsilon D\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) := \det \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{x}).$$

One can easily check that the matrix \mathbb{N} and the determinant \mathbf{g} admit the expansions:

$$\mathbf{g} = 1 + \varepsilon \operatorname{div} \mathbf{T} + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{I} + \varepsilon \mathbb{N}' + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \mathbb{N}' = (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{T})\mathbb{I} - D\mathbf{T}, \quad (15)$$

where the symbol $O(\varepsilon^2)$ denotes a function whose norm in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded by $C\varepsilon^2$, see Sokolowski and Zolésio (1992).

The value of the shape functional for Ω_ε is given by

$$J(\Omega_\varepsilon) := \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon(T) - \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_\varepsilon + \int_{Q_\varepsilon} [(\mathbb{C}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_\varepsilon + (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon) - \bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}_\varepsilon],$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_\varepsilon := (\mathbb{N}^{-\top} \boldsymbol{\xi}) \circ \mathbf{y}^{-1}$. Using the properties of the Piola transform (see, e.g., Theorem 1.7-1 in Ciarlet, 1994) one can check that $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\xi}_\varepsilon = 0$. If $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon$ and \bar{p}_ε were sufficiently smooth, it would hold that

$$J(\Omega_\varepsilon) = \int_0^T \int_{\partial S_\varepsilon} (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon) - \bar{p}_\varepsilon \mathbb{I}) \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{d}. \quad (16)$$

Nevertheless, as opposed to $(P(\Omega))$, we do not require any additional regularity of the solution to the perturbed problem $(P(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ and hence the expression in (16) need not be well defined.

We introduce the auxiliary function $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{N}^\top \bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon \circ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{v}}{\varepsilon},$$

which is related to the material derivative $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ by the identity

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbb{N}'^\top \mathbf{v} + \dot{\mathbf{v}}.$$

For the justification of the results of the paper we will use $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ since, unlike the material derivative, it preserves the divergence free condition.

3. Main results

The first step is the existence of the function $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ and hence also of the material derivative.

THEOREM 3 *Let Assumption 1 be satisfied. Then the function $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ exists and is the unique weak solution of $(P_{\text{lin}}(\Omega))$ with the data*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A}'_0 := & (\mathbb{N}' + \mathbb{N}'^\top - \mathbb{I} \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{N}') \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbb{N}'^\top \mathbf{v}) + \mathbb{N}' \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \\ & + \operatorname{div} [\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) ((\mathbb{N}' - \mathbb{I} \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{N}') \nabla \mathbf{v})_{\text{sym}} - \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{N}'^\top \mathbf{v})] + \mathbb{N}'^\top \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) \\ & - \mathbb{N}' \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) + ((\mathbb{N}' - \mathbb{I} \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{N}') \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C} \mathbb{N}'^\top) \mathbf{v} + (\mathbb{I} \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{N}' - \mathbb{N}') \mathbf{f} + (\nabla \mathbf{f}) \mathbf{T}, \end{aligned} \quad (17a)$$

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{17b}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_0 := \mathbb{N}'^\top \mathbf{v}_0 + (\nabla \mathbf{v}_0) \mathbf{T}. \tag{17c}$$

The following estimate holds:

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega))} \leq C \|\mathbf{A}'_0\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}_{0,\text{div}}(\Omega))^*} \leq C \|\mathbf{T}\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})}. \tag{18}$$

Due to the term $(\nabla \mathbf{f}) \mathbf{T}$ the right hand side \mathbf{A}'_0 is not integrable, thus one cannot apply Theorem 2. Instead, the well-posedness will be investigated in Section 4. The next result concerns the existence of the shape gradient.

THEOREM 4 *Let Assumption 1 be satisfied and $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{1,2}(\Omega))$. Then the shape gradient of J reads*

$$dJ(\Omega, \mathbf{T}) = J_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) + J_e(\mathbf{T}),$$

where the dynamical part $J_{\mathbf{v}}$ and the geometrical part J_e is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) &= \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(T) - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_Q [(\mathbb{C}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + (\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}))\mathbb{D}\tilde{\mathbf{v}} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{v}}] : \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}, \\ J_e(\mathbf{T}) &= \int_{\Omega} (\mathbb{I} \text{tr} \mathbb{N}' - \mathbb{N}' - \mathbb{N}'^\top) (\mathbf{v}(T) - \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \\ &+ \int_Q \left\{ [(\mathbb{I} \text{tr} \mathbb{N}' - \mathbb{N}') \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} - \mathbb{C}\mathbb{N}'^\top \mathbf{v} - (\mathbb{I} \text{tr} \mathbb{N}' - \mathbb{N}') \mathbf{f} - (\nabla \mathbf{f}) \mathbf{T}] \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \right. \\ &+ [\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbb{N}'^\top \mathbf{v} + \mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) ((\mathbb{N}' \nabla \mathbf{v} - \nabla(\mathbb{N}'^\top \mathbf{v}))_{\text{sym}} - (\text{tr} \mathbb{N}') \mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) + \mathbb{N}'^\top \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})] : \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi} \\ &\left. + [\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})] : \nabla(\mathbb{N}'^\top \boldsymbol{\xi}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. In particular, as $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ depends continuously on \mathbf{T} , the mapping

$$\mathbf{T} \mapsto dJ(\Omega, \mathbf{T})$$

is a bounded linear functional on $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$.

Based on the previous result we can deduce that the shape gradient has the form of a distribution supported on the boundary of the obstacle. Since this representation is unique, the formal results derived in Section 1.2 are justified provided that the shape derivatives and adjoints exist and are sufficiently regular. This issue will be addressed in the following section. At this point we state the final result.

COROLLARY 2 *Let Assumption 1 be satisfied. Then*

- (i) *the shape derivative \mathbf{v}' exists and is the unique weak solution to $(P_{\text{in}}(\Omega))$ with $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{h} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{n})$, $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{0}$;*
- (ii) *the adjoint problem $(P_{\text{adj}}(\Omega))$ has a unique weak solution that satisfies for arbitrary $\delta \in (0, T)$:*

$$\mathbf{w} \in L^2(0, T - \delta; \mathbf{W}^{2,2}(\Omega)), \quad s \in L^2(0, T - \delta; W^{1,2}(\Omega)).$$

If in addition $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{1,2}(\Omega))$, then

(iii) the shape gradient of J satisfies (3);

(iv) the representation (4) is satisfied in the following sense:

$$dJ(\Omega; \mathbf{T}) = - \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \int_0^{T-\delta} \int_{\partial S} \left[(\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})^\top \mathbb{D}\mathbf{w} - s\mathbb{I}) : \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{d} \right] \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{n}. \quad (19)$$

Let us note that the formula (4) is here replaced by (19). This is due to the fact that the terminal and boundary conditions for \mathbf{w} do not satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2. In fact, (4) holds if we replace the values $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}(T, \cdot)$ and $s(T, \cdot)$ by their $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ -limits for $t \rightarrow T$, see Lemma 3.

4. Well-posedness of $(P_{\text{lin}}(\Omega))$ and of $(P_{\text{adj}}(\Omega))$

In this section we show that the linearized system $(P_{\text{lin}}(\Omega))$ has a unique solution under assumptions that are weaker than those of Theorem 2. Indeed, we have the following result.

LEMMA 2 *Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{W}^{1,\infty}(Q)$, $\mathbf{F} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)^*)$, $\mathbf{h} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{1,2}(\Omega))$, $\partial_t \mathbf{h} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)^*)$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{h}(0, \cdot) \in \mathbf{L}_{0,\text{div}}^2(\Omega)$. Then $(P_{\text{lin}}(\Omega))$ admits a unique weak solution which satisfies the estimate:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega))} &\leq C(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{2,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)^*)} \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{h}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{W}^{1,2}(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{h}\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)^*)}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the standard Galerkin method, one checks that the approximate solutions \mathbf{u}^N satisfy

$$\|\mathbf{u}^N\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;\mathbf{W}^{1,2}(\Omega))} \leq C,$$

where the constant on the r.h.s. depends on $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1,\infty,Q}$ and the respective norms of \mathbf{u}_0 , \mathbf{h} , \mathbf{F} and $|\mathbb{C}|$. Hence, there is a weak limit \mathbf{u} of $\{\mathbf{u}^N\}$ which is a weak solution to $(P_{\text{lin}}(\Omega))$. The uniqueness can be proved testing by the difference $\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2$ of two solutions, from which one gets for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$:

$$\|\mathbf{u}_1(t) - \mathbf{u}_2(t)\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{2,Q}^2 \leq C\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\infty,Q}\|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\|_{2,Q}\|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{2,Q}.$$

Gronwall's identity then directly implies $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{u}_2$. ■

A direct consequence of the lemma is the existence and uniqueness of the shape derivative \mathbf{v}' .

Applying the same technique as in the previous lemma and additionally Theorem 2, one obtains the result for the adjoint problem:

LEMMA 3 *Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$, $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{B})$. Then there is a unique weak solution (\mathbf{w}, s) to $(P_{\text{adj}}(\Omega))$. If, in addition, $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^{2,1}$, then for any $\delta \in (0, T)$:*

$$\mathbf{w} \in L^2(0, T-\delta; \mathbf{W}^{2,2}(\Omega)), \quad \partial_t \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T-\delta; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)), \quad s \in L^2(0, T-\delta; W^{1,2}(\Omega)).$$

In particular, $\lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}(T - \delta, \cdot)$ and $\lim_{\delta \searrow 0} s(T - \delta, \cdot)$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ exist and are finite.

Proof. Using the change of variables $t \mapsto T - t$ we transform $(P_{\text{adj}}(\Omega))$ to $(P_{\text{in}}(\Omega))$ and obtain uniqueness of the adjoint state \mathbf{w} . It is, however, not possible to apply Theorem 2 since the terminal condition for \mathbf{w} is nonzero on ∂S . Nevertheless, considering the adjoint problem on the time interval $(0, T - \delta)$, we find that the terminal condition $\mathbf{w}(T - \delta, \cdot)$ is compatible and hence

$$\|\mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}\|_{2,(0,T-\delta)\times\partial\Omega} + \|s\|_{2,(0,T-\delta)\times\partial\Omega} \leq C|\mathbf{d}|.$$

Existence of the limits of $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{w}$ and s follows from the continuous dependence on the data. ■

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorems 3 and 4.

5. Formulation in the fixed domain

In this section we transform the problem $(P(\Omega_\varepsilon))$ to the fixed domain Ω . Let us introduce the following notation:

$$\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{N}^\top(\mathbf{x})\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon(t, \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})), \quad \mathbf{v}_{0\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{N}^\top(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x})), \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in Q.$$

Note that the definition of \mathbf{v}_ε implies that $\text{div } \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon = 0$. The new function $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \in L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega))$ satisfies $\mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{N}^{-\top}\partial_t\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \in (L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega)))^*$, $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{v}_{0\varepsilon}$ and the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{N}^{-\top}\partial_t\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega)} + \int_Q \left[\mathbf{g}\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon\phi - \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon : \nabla\phi + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \phi \right] \\ & = \int_Q \mathbf{f} \cdot \phi + \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^1, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega)), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where the term \mathbf{A}_ε^1 on the right hand side is defined for $\phi \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^1, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)} & = \int_Q \left[\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbb{N}^{-\top}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon : \nabla(\mathbb{N}^{-\top}\phi) - \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon : \nabla\phi \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\mathbb{C} - \mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{N}^{-\top})\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \phi + (\mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \phi \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Here

$$\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon := \mathbf{g}^{-1}(\mathbb{N}\nabla(\mathbb{N}^{-\top}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon))_{\text{sym}}.$$

The left hand side of (5) contains the perturbed term $\mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{N}^{-\top}\partial_t\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon$ due to the lack of a uniform estimate for $\partial_t\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon$. Similarly, the perturbed elliptic term

$\mathfrak{g}\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \phi$ is present because of insufficient Lipschitz estimates, see Section 7 for more details.

Applying the change of coordinates we get:

$$J(\Omega_\varepsilon) = \int_\Omega \mathfrak{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{N}^{-\top} (\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(T) - \mathbf{v}_{0\varepsilon}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_Q \left[\mathfrak{g} (\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{N}^{-\top} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + (\mathbb{N}^\top \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \otimes (\mathbb{N}^{-\top} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon)) : \nabla(\mathbb{N}^{-\top} \boldsymbol{\xi}) \right]. \quad (22)$$

Now after all quantities and equations have been transformed to the fixed domain Ω , we can analyze the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

6. Shape stability of weak solutions

In this section we prove that \mathbf{v}_ε converges to \mathbf{v} in a certain sense. The result will be applied in the forthcoming sections.

6.1. Uniform estimates

Since the strong monotonicity of \mathbb{S} and the Korn inequality hold uniformly for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the energy inequality (14) implies that $\|\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}$, $\|\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon\|_{L^r(0,T;\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega_\varepsilon))}$ and $\|\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon(T)\|_{2,\Omega_\varepsilon}$ is bounded uniformly with respect to ε . Using the expansions (15) we realize that

$$\|\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\varepsilon(T)\|_{2,\Omega_\varepsilon} = \|\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(T)\|_{2,\Omega} + o(1),$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The same holds for the other norms, hence we derive the uniform estimates of \mathbf{v}_ε :

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \text{ and in } L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega)), \quad (23) \\ \{\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(T)\}_{\varepsilon>0} \text{ is bounded in } \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

The Lebesgue-Sobolev interpolation inequality

$$\|\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\|_{2r}^2 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\|_r \|\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\|_2 \quad (24)$$

together with (23) yields that

$$\{\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \text{ is bounded in } \mathbf{L}^{2r}(Q). \quad (25)$$

Using this information and (6) we can estimate all but the first terms in (5) and thus

$$\{\mathfrak{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{N}^{-\top} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \text{ is bounded in } \left(L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega)) \right)^*.$$

6.2. Convergence

The uniform bounds, the interpolation inequality and the Aubin-Lions argument give rise to the following convergence:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup \bar{\mathbf{v}} && \text{weakly-* in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)), \\
 & && \text{weakly in } L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega)), \\
 & && \text{weakly in } \mathbf{L}^{2r}(Q), \tag{26} \\
 \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon &\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{v}} && \text{strongly in } \mathbf{L}^z(Q), \quad 1 \leq z < 2r, \tag{27} \\
 \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(T) &\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{v}}(T) && \text{weakly in } \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega), \\
 \mathfrak{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{N}^{-\top}\partial_t\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup \partial_t\bar{\mathbf{v}} && \text{weakly in } \left(L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega))\right)^*, \\
 \mathbb{N}^\top\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) &\rightharpoonup \overline{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})} && \text{weakly in } L^r(Q, \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}), \\
 \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^1 &\rightharpoonup \mathbf{0} && \text{weakly in } \left(L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega))\right)^*,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \overline{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})}$ satisfy the integral identity

$$\int_0^T \langle \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{v}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega)} + \int_Q \left[\overline{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})} : \mathbb{D}\boldsymbol{\phi} - \bar{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}} : \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} + \mathbb{C}\bar{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} \right] = \int_Q \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}$$

with the test functions $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega))$. Clearly, $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ satisfies the initial condition $\bar{\mathbf{v}}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{v}_0$. To identify the weak limit $\overline{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})}$ we show that

$$\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{D}\mathbf{v} \text{ strongly in } L^r(Q). \tag{28}$$

From (7) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_5 \|\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}})\|_{r,Q}^r &\leq \int_Q (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \\
 &= \int_Q (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon)) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \\
 &\quad + \int_Q \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) : (\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) - (\mathbb{N}\nabla(\mathbb{N}^{-\top}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}})))_{sym}) \\
 &\quad + \int_Q \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) : (\mathbb{N}\nabla(\mathbb{N}^{-\top}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}})))_{sym} \\
 &\quad - \int_Q \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\bar{\mathbf{v}}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \\
 &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

We immediately see that $I_4 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Further, $\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon + \varepsilon\mathbf{A}'_\varepsilon$, where $\|\mathbf{A}'_\varepsilon\|_{r,Q} \leq C$ uniformly w.r.t. $\varepsilon \geq 0$, as follows from the uniform estimates for \mathbf{v}_ε .

Consequently, there holds:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_Q \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon + s(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon)) ds : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \\ &= \int_Q \int_0^1 \mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon + s(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon)) ds (\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}}) \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 \mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon + s(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon)) ds \right\|_{\frac{r}{r-2}, Q} \|\varepsilon \mathbb{A}'_\varepsilon\|_{r, Q} \|\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}})\|_{r, Q} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used (5a). In the same spirit we obtain:

$$I_2 \leq C\varepsilon.$$

The last term I_3 can be expressed using (5) as

$$I_3 = - \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{g} \mathbb{N}^{-1} \mathbb{N}^{-\top} \partial_t \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \bar{\mathbf{v}} \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0, \text{div}}^{1, r}(\Omega)} + o(1),$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, as follows from the available convergence and energy estimates. Integrating by parts with respect to time we get:

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \|\sqrt{\mathbf{g}} \mathbb{N}^{-\top} (\mathbf{v}_{0\varepsilon} - \mathbf{v}_0)\|_2^2 - \|\sqrt{\mathbf{g}} \mathbb{N}^{-\top} (\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(T) - \mathbf{v}(T))\|_2^2 \\ &\quad - \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{g} \mathbb{N}^{-1} \mathbb{N}^{-\top} \partial_t \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0, \text{div}}^{1, r}(\Omega)} + o(1) = I_{31} + I_{32} + I_{33} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $I_{31} \rightarrow 0$, $I_{32} \leq 0$ and I_{33} vanishes by the weak convergence of \mathbf{v}_ε in $L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_0^{1, r}(\Omega))$. We have proved (28).

By means of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we conclude that $\overline{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v})} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D} \bar{\mathbf{v}})$ and consequently $\bar{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v}$ is the weak solution to $(P(\Omega))$. As this solution is unique, the whole sequence $\{\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\}$ converges to \mathbf{v} .

7. Existence of material derivative

Our next task is to identify $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ as the limit of the sequence $\{\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\}$, where

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon := \frac{\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{v}}{\varepsilon}.$$

First we write down the system for the differences \mathbf{u}_ε . Subtracting (5) and (8) we find that $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0, \text{div}}^{1, r}(\Omega))$ satisfies $\mathbf{g} \mathbb{N}^{-1} \mathbb{N}^{-\top} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in \left(L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0, \text{div}}^{1, r}(\Omega)) \right)^*$, $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0, \cdot) = \frac{\mathbf{v}_{0\varepsilon} - \mathbf{v}_0}{\varepsilon}$ and the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T \langle \mathbf{g} \mathbb{N}^{-1} \mathbb{N}^{-\top} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0, \text{div}}^{1, r}(\Omega)} + \int_Q \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{g} (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v})) : \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \phi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbf{C} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \phi - (\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{v}) : \nabla \phi \right] = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0, \text{div}}^{1, 2}(\Omega)} \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in L^r(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega))$. The term $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \in \left(L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega))\right)^*$ on the right hand side is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon &:= \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^1 + \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^2 + \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^3, \\ \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^1 &\text{ is given by (21),} \\ \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^2, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)} &:= \int_Q (\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{g}\mathbf{N}^{-1}\mathbf{N}^{-\top}) \partial_t \mathbf{v} \cdot \phi, \\ \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^3, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)} &:= \int_Q \left[\mathbf{N}^\top \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}) : \nabla(\mathbf{N}^{-\top} \phi) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}) : \mathbb{D} \phi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Next we want to derive uniform bounds for $\{\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\}$. Therefore, we refine the estimates of the previous section. In contrast to Section 6, these estimates do not follow the structure of the problem, namely the elliptic term $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_Q \mathbf{g}(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v})) : \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is bounded in $(L^{\frac{2r}{4-r}}(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,\frac{2r}{4-r}}(\Omega)))^*$ and the right hand side $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon$ in $(L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)))^*$ only.

7.1. Lipschitz estimates for \mathbf{A}_ε

In this subsection we will investigate the Lipschitz continuity of the map $\varepsilon \mapsto \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon$. In particular, we are going to show that

$$\frac{\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{A}'_0 \text{ weakly in } \left(L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega))\right)^*, \tag{30}$$

where \mathbf{A}'_0 is defined in (17).

Indeed, due to (15) and Corollary 1 there holds:

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow (\mathbf{N}' + \mathbf{N}'^\top - \mathbb{I} \text{tr } \mathbf{N}') \partial_t \mathbf{v} \text{ strongly in } \mathbf{L}^2(Q).$$

In order to pass to the limit in the terms $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^1$ and $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^3$ we rewrite them in a convenient form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \langle \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^1, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)} &= \int_Q \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon : \nabla \left(\frac{\mathbf{N}^{-\top} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \phi \right) \\ &+ \int_Q \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \frac{\mathbf{N}^{-\top} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon : \nabla(\mathbf{N}^{-\top} \phi) + \int_Q \frac{\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{g}\mathbf{N}^{-1}}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \phi \\ &+ \int_Q \mathbf{g}\mathbf{N}^{-1} \mathbb{C} \frac{\mathbb{I} - \mathbf{N}^{-\top}}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \cdot \phi + \int_Q \frac{\mathbf{g}\mathbf{N}^{-1} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} (\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{y}) \cdot \phi + \int_Q \frac{\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}}{\varepsilon} \cdot \phi = \sum_{j=1}^6 I_j, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_Q \langle \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon^3, \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)} &= \int_Q \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}) : \nabla \left(\frac{\mathbf{N}^{-\top} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \phi \right) \\ &+ \int_Q \frac{\mathbf{N}^\top - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}) : \nabla(\mathbf{N}^{-\top} \phi) + \int_Q \mathbf{N}^\top \frac{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v})}{\varepsilon} : \nabla(\mathbf{N}^{-\top} \phi) = \sum_{j=7}^9 I_j. \end{aligned}$$

Employing (26) we obtain for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\rightarrow \int_Q \mathbf{N}' \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}, \\ I_2 &\rightarrow \int_Q \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{N}'^\top \mathbf{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from (27) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\rightarrow \int_Q (\mathbf{N}' - \mathbb{I} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{N}') \mathbf{C} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}, \\ I_4 &\rightarrow \int_Q \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N}'^\top \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}, \\ I_5 &\rightarrow \int_Q (\mathbb{I} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{N}' - \mathbf{N}') \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} I_7 &\rightarrow - \int_Q \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}) : \nabla (\mathbf{N}'^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}), \\ I_8 &\rightarrow \int_Q \mathbf{N}'^\top \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}) : \mathbb{D} \boldsymbol{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting I_6 carefully yields:

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_Q [(\mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}) \circ \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{y} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\phi} - \boldsymbol{\phi} \circ \mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}] \\ &= \int_{Q \cap Q_\varepsilon} \frac{\mathbf{g}^{-1} - 1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{Q \setminus Q_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} + \int_Q \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{y} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi} - \boldsymbol{\phi} \circ \mathbf{y}}{\varepsilon} \\ &\rightarrow - \int_Q [(\mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{T} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} : \mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{T}] =: \int_0^T \langle (\nabla \mathbf{f}) \mathbf{T}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Hardy inequality and the following argument:

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \int_{Q \setminus Q_\varepsilon} \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} \right| \leq C \int_{Q \setminus Q_\varepsilon} \frac{|\mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}|}{\operatorname{dist}_{\partial \Omega}} \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{2, Q \setminus Q_\varepsilon} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\phi}\|_{2, Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, taking into account the continuity of \mathbb{S}' and $\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}$ (as follows from Corollary 1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} I_9 &= \mathbf{N}^{-1} \operatorname{div} \left(\mathbf{N}^\top \int_0^1 \mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v} + s(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v} - \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v})) ds \frac{\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v} - \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}}{\varepsilon} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \operatorname{div} \left(\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D} \mathbf{v}) (\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{N}' \mathbb{D} \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{N}' \nabla \mathbf{v})_{\operatorname{sym}} + \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{N}'^\top \mathbf{v})) \right) \end{aligned}$$

strongly in $(L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0, \operatorname{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)))^*$. Summing all terms up we arrive at (30).

7.2. Estimates of \mathbf{u}_ε

Testing (29) by $1_{[0,t]}\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ and integrating by parts the time derivative and the convective terms yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{N}^{-\top}\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_\Omega \mathbf{g}(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v})) : \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{N}^{-\top}\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{A}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \rangle_{\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we apply (7), (15), (24), Hölder’s and Young’s inequality to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|\mathbb{D}\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \leq C & \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_2^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon\|_{(L^2(0,T;\mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)))^*}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$ and for $t = T$. Gronwall’s and Korn’s inequality and (30) then directly imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} & \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) \text{ and in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)), \\ \{\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(T)\}_{\varepsilon>0} & \text{ is bounded in } \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon$ is bounded only in $L^r(Q)$, we have for $\phi \in L^{\frac{2r}{4-r}}(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{1,\frac{2r}{4-r}}(\Omega))$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_Q \mathbf{g}(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v})) : \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \phi &= \int_Q \mathbf{g} \int_0^1 \mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v} + s\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{v})) ds \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \phi \\ &\leq C(\|\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon\|_r + \|\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}\|_r)^{r-2} \|\mathbb{D}\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_2 \|\mathbb{D}\phi\|_{\frac{2r}{4-r}} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\left\{ \frac{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v})}{\varepsilon} \right\}_{\varepsilon>0} \text{ is bounded in } L^{\frac{2r}{3r-4}}(Q). \tag{31}$$

Consequently, due to (29),

$$\{\mathbf{g}\mathbf{N}^{-1}\mathbf{N}^{-\top}\partial_t\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \text{ is bounded in } \left(L^{\frac{2r}{4-r}}(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,\frac{2r}{4-r}}(\Omega)) \right)^*. \tag{32}$$

7.3. Convergence of \mathbf{u}_ε

We are ready to prove the existence of material derivatives. The estimates derived in the previous section imply that

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \bar{\mathbf{u}} \quad \text{weakly-* in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)), \tag{33a}$$

$$\text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0,\text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)), \tag{33b}$$

$$\text{weakly in } \mathbf{L}^4(Q), \tag{33c}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(T) \rightharpoonup \bar{\mathbf{u}}(T) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega), \tag{33d}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{u}} \quad \text{strongly in } \mathbf{L}^z(Q), \ 1 \leq z < 4. \tag{33e}$$

Since $\mathbb{S} \in \mathcal{C}^2$, the Nemytskiĭ mapping associated to \mathbb{S}' is continuous from L^r to $L^{\frac{r}{r-2}}$, hence from (28) we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T (\mathbf{g}(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v})), \mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \phi) \rightarrow \int_0^T (\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})\mathbb{D}\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbb{D}\phi) \quad (33f)$$

for all $\phi \in L^{\frac{2r}{4-r}}(0, T; \mathbf{W}^{1, \frac{2r}{4-r}}(\Omega))$. Consequently, (32) yields:

$$\mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{N}^{-\top} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{u}} \quad (33g)$$

weakly in $\left(L^{\frac{2r}{4-r}}(0, T; \mathbf{W}_{0, \text{div}}^{1, \frac{2r}{4-r}}(\Omega)) \right)^*$. The initial conditions satisfy:

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0) \rightharpoonup \mathbb{N}^\top \mathbf{v}_0 + (\nabla \mathbf{v}_0) \mathbf{T} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}(0) \text{ weakly in } \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega),$$

hence $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ is the unique weak solution to (17). Theorem 3 has been proved.

8. Shape gradient of J

Let us decompose the fraction

$$\frac{J(\Omega_\varepsilon) - J(\Omega)}{\varepsilon}$$

into the sum $J_1^\varepsilon + J_2^\varepsilon$ where, in view of (13) and (22),

$$J_1^\varepsilon := \int_\Omega \mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{N}^{-\top} (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(T) - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0)) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_Q \left[\mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{N}^{-\top} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \left(\mathbb{N}^\top \frac{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon) - \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})}{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \right) : \nabla(\mathbb{N}^{-\top} \boldsymbol{\xi}) \right]$$

and

$$J_2^\varepsilon := \int_\Omega \frac{\mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{N}^{-\top} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} (\mathbf{v}(T) - \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_Q \left[\left(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1}\mathbb{C} \frac{\mathbb{N}^{-\top} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v} + \frac{\mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} - \frac{\mathbf{g}\mathbb{N}^{-1} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \bar{\mathbf{f}}_\varepsilon \circ \mathbf{y} - \frac{\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}}{\varepsilon} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \left(\frac{\mathbb{N}^\top - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \otimes \frac{\mathbb{N}^{-\top} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon \right) : \nabla(\mathbb{N}^{-\top} \boldsymbol{\xi}) + (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) : \nabla \left(\frac{\mathbb{N}^{-\top} - \mathbb{I}}{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \right].$$

From (31) and (33) it follows that

$$J_1^\varepsilon \rightarrow J_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}).$$

Using similar arguments as in Section 7.1 we can show that

$$J_2^\varepsilon \rightarrow J_e(\mathbf{T}).$$

The continuity of the map $\mathbf{T} \mapsto dJ(\Omega; \mathbf{T})$ follows from the estimate (18). Theorem 4 is proved.

9. Conclusion

The paper was devoted to the proof of shape differentiability for the problem of time-dependent planar flow of an incompressible fluid with shear rate dependent viscosity. We have considered the so-called subcritical case when the weak solution is known to be unique and have bounded gradient. These strong properties were crucial in the proof of the existence of the material derivative, in particular for the well-posedness of the linearized system satisfied by $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. For this reason it seems impossible to extend the results to three spatial dimensions and to $r < 2$, because no available theory can guarantee bounded gradient of the solution in such cases.

In the numerical computations one usually needs other types of boundary conditions in addition to $(P(\Omega))_3$, such as the ones of non-homogeneous Dirichlet or Neumann type (see, e.g., Haslinger and Stebel, 2011, where the shape sensitivity analysis has been done on the discrete level). We believe that a generalization in this direction as well as for other shape functionals is possible in the present context, however, to keep ideas clear the slightly artificial setting was chosen. Extension to the problems with non-trivial boundary conditions as well as application to numerical methods will be considered in further research.

Acknowledgement

The work of J. Stebel was supported by the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) grant No. 201/09/0917 and by the ESF grant Optimization with PDE Constraints. The research of J. Sokolowski is part of the project ANR-09-BLAN-0037 *Geometric analysis of optimal shapes (GAOS)*, financed by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR).

A. Derivation of (13)

We first use the properties of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and apply the Green theorem in (2):

$$\begin{aligned} J(\Omega) &= \int_{\Sigma} (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) - p\mathbb{I})\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \int_Q \operatorname{div} ((\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) - p\mathbb{I})\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ &= \int_Q \operatorname{div} (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) - p\mathbb{I}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_Q \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) : \nabla\boldsymbol{\xi}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Note that the pressure disappears from the last term in (34) since $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0$. The first term on the right hand side of (34) can be rewritten by means of the equation $(P(\Omega))_1$:

$$\int_Q \operatorname{div} (\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}\mathbf{v}) - p\mathbb{I}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = \int_Q (\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}. \tag{35}$$

Integrating by parts the time derivative and applying the Green theorem to the convective term we obtain:

$$\int_Q (\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{v}(T) - \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} - \int_Q \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} : \nabla\boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_Q (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi},$$

which, together with (34)–(35), yields (13).

References

- ABRAHAM, F., BEHR, M. and HEINKENSCHLOSS, M. (2005) Shape optimization in steady blood flow: A numerical study of non-newtonian effects. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*, **8**(2), 127-137.
- BEIRÃO DA VEIGA, H., KAPLICKÝ, P. and RŮŽIČKA, M. (2011) Boundary regularity of shear thickening flows. *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, **13**(3), 387-404.
- BOTHE, D. and PRÜSS, J. (2007) L_p -theory for a class of non-Newtonian fluids. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **39**(2), 379-421.
- CIARLET, P. (1994) *Mathematical Elasticity: Three-dimensional Elasticity*. Studies in mathematics and its applications. North-Holland.
- BULÍČEK, M., HASLINGER, J., MÁLEK, J. and STEBEL, J. (2009) Shape optimization for Navier-Stokes equations with algebraic turbulence model: existence analysis. *Applied Mathematics and Optimization*, **60**(2), 185-212.
- CONSIGLIERI, L., NEČASOVÁ, Š. and SOKOŁOWSKI, J. (2010) New approach to the incompressible Maxwell-Boussinesq approximation: existence, uniqueness and shape sensitivity. *J. Differential Equations*, **249**(12), 3052-3080.
- DIENING, L., RŮŽIČKA, M. and WOLF, J. (2010) Existence of weak solutions for unsteady motions of generalized Newtonian fluids. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di scienze*, **9**(1), 1-46.
- FRESHE, J., MÁLEK, J. and STEINHAUER, M. (2000) On existence results for fluids with shear dependent viscosity-unsteady flows. *Partial Differential Equations, Theory and Numerical Solution*, **406**, 121-129.
- FRESHE, J., MÁLEK, J. and STEINHAUER, M. (2003) On analysis of steady flows of fluids with shear-dependent viscosity based on the Lipschitz truncation method. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 34-1063.
- HASLINGER, J. and STEBEL, J. (2011) Shape optimization for Navier–Stokes equations with algebraic turbulence model: Numerical analysis and computation. *Applied Mathematics and Optimization*, **63**(2), 277-308.
- KAPLICKÝ, P. (2005) Regularity of flows of a non-Newtonian fluid subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions. *Journal for Analysis and its Applications*, **24**(3), 467-486.
- LADYZHENSKAYA, O.A. (1967) New equations for the description of the motions of viscous incompressible fluids, and global solvability for their boundary value problems. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, **102**, 85-104.
- LADYZHENSKAYA, O.A. (1969) *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*. Second English edition, revised and enlarged. *Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 2. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York.
- LIONS, J.L. (1969) *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod.
- MÁLEK, J. and RAJAGOPAL, K.R. (2005) Mathematical issues concerning the Navier-Stokes equations and some of its generalizations. In: C. Dafer-

- mos and E. Feireisl, eds., *Evolutionary Equations. Handbook of Differential Equations.*, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 371-459.
- MÁLEK, J., NEČAS, J. and RŮŽIČKA, M. (2001) On weak solutions to a class of non-Newtonian incompressible fluids in bounded three-dimensional domains: the case $p \geq 2$. *Advances in Differential Equations*, **6**(3), 257-302.
- MOUBACHIR, M. and ZOLÉSIO, J.P. (2006) *Moving Shape Analysis and Control. Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **277**. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- PLOTNIKOV, P.I. and SOKOLOWSKI, J. (2010) Shape derivative of drag functional. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **48**(7), 4680-4706.
- PLOTNIKOV, P.I. and SOKOLOWSKI, J. (2012) *Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and Shape Optimization. Mathematical Monographs*, **73**. Birkhäuser, Basel.
- RAJAGOPAL, K. (1993) Mechanics of non-newtonian fluids. In: G.R. Galdi, J. Necas, eds., *Recent Developments in Theoretical Fluid Mechanics. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series*, **291**. Longman, Essex, 129-162.
- SCHMIDT, S. and SCHULZ, V. (2009) Impulse response approximations of discrete shape Hessians with application in CFD. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **48**(4), 2562-2580.
- SCHMIDT, S. and SCHULZ, V. (2010) Shape derivatives for general objective functions and the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Control Cybernet.*, **39**(3), 677-713.
- SCHOWALTER, W. (1978) *Mechanics of Non-Newtonian Fluids*. Pergamon Press.
- SLAWIG, T. (2005) Distributed control for a class of non-newtonian fluids. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **219**(1), 116-143.
- SOKOLOWSKI, J. and ZOLÉSIO, J.P. (1992) *Introduction to Shape optimization. Shape Sensitivity Analysis, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*, **16**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- SOLONNIKOV, V.A. (2001) L_p -estimates for solutions to the initial boundary-value problem for the generalized Stokes system in a bounded domain. *J. Math. Sci.*, **105**(5), 2448-2484.
- TRÖLTZSCH, F. (2010) *Optimal Control of Partial Differential Equations, Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, **112**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- TRUESDELL, C., NOLL, W. and ANTMAN, S. (2004) *The Non-linear field Theories of Mechanics*. Springer Verlag.
- WACHSMUTH, D. and ROUBÍČEK, T. (2010) Optimal control of planar flow of incompressible non-Newtonian fluids. *Z. Anal. Anwend.*, **29**(3), 351-376.