

On uniformly approximate convex vector-valued function*

by

Stefan Rolewicz

Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences
 Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, P.O.Box 21, Poland
 e-mail: rolewicz@impan.gov.pl

Abstract: Let X, Y be real Banach spaces. Let Z be a Banach space partially ordered by a pointed closed convex cone K . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a locally uniformly approximate convex function mapping an open subset $\Omega_Y \subset Y$ into Z . Let $\Omega_X \subset X$ be an open subset. Let $\sigma(\cdot)$ be a differentiable mapping of Ω_X into Ω_Y such that the differentials of $\sigma|_x$ are locally uniformly continuous function of x . Then $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ mapping X into Z is also a locally uniformly approximate convex function. Therefore, in the case of $Z = R^n$ the composed function $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is Fréchet differentiable on a dense G_δ -set, provided X is an Asplund space, and Gateaux differentiable on a dense G_δ -set, provided X is separable. As a consequence, we obtain that in the case of $Z = R^n$ a locally uniformly approximate convex function defined on a $C_E^{1,u}$ -manifold is Fréchet differentiable on a dense G_δ -set, provided E is an Asplund space, and Gateaux differentiable on a dense G_δ -set, provided E is separable.

Keywords: vector valued functions, strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvexity, differentiable manifolds, Gateaux and Fréchet differentiability.

1. Introduction

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. Let Z be a Banach space partially ordered by a pointed closed convex cone K . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a continuous function defined on an open convex subset $\Omega \subset X$. We say that the function $f(\cdot)$ is K -convex if, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq_K tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$$

(in other words

$$tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) \in f(tx + (1-t)y) + K)$$

*Submitted: April 2011; Accepted: June 2012

for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and for t , $0 \leq t \leq 1$ (see, for example, Jahn, 1986, 2004, Pallaschke and Rolewicz, 1997).

In the case of $Z = R$ and $K = \{z \in R : z \geq 0\}$ we obtain the classical definition of a convex real-valued function.

We recall that a set $B \subset \Omega$ of second Baire category is called *residual* if its complement $\Omega \setminus B$ is of the first Baire category (i.e. it is a countable union of nowhere dense sets). Mazur (1933) proved that for each continuous convex real-valued function $f(\cdot)$ there is a residual subset A_G such that on the set A_G the function f is Gateaux differentiable. Asplund (1968) showed that if in the dual space X^* there exists an equivalent locally uniformly rotund norm, then for each continuous convex real-valued function $f(\cdot)$ there is a residual subset A_F such that on the set A_F the function f is Fréchet differentiable. The spaces X such that for the dual space X^* there exists an equivalent locally uniformly rotund norm are now called *Asplund spaces*. It can be shown that each reflexive space and spaces having separable duals are Asplund spaces. Even more, a space X is an Asplund space if and only if each its separable subspace $X_0 \subset X$ has a separable dual (Phelps, 1989).

Basing on a uniformization of the notion of approximate subgradient introduced and developed by Ioffe and Mordukhovich (see Ioffe, 1984, 1986, 1989, 1990, 2000; Mordukhovich, 1976, 1980, 1988, 2005a, 2005b) and adapting the method of Preiss and Zajíček (1984) the author extended the Mazur and Asplund results on larger (than convex) classes of function called strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions (Rolewicz, 1999, 2001a, 2001b, 2002, 2005a, 2005b, 2006). We say that a function $f(\cdot)$ is uniformly approximate convex if there is a function $\alpha(\cdot)$ (satisfying certain conditions) such that $f(\cdot)$ is a strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex function.

In the papers by Rolewicz (2007, 2009) it was shown that if σ is a mapping of a convex open set into a convex open set, such that the differentials * of σ , $\partial\sigma|_x$, are locally uniformly continuous in the norm topology, then the composition of a locally uniformly approximate real-valued convex function $f(\cdot)$ with $\sigma(\cdot)$, $f(\sigma(\cdot))$, is also a locally uniformly approximate real-valued convex function. As a consequence we get that $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is Fréchet differentiable on a residual set, provided X is an Asplund space, and it is Gateaux differentiable on a residual set, provided X is a separable space. As a consequence we obtain that a locally uniformly approximate convex real-valued functions defined on $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifolds over a real Banach space \mathbf{E} are Fréchet differentiable on a dense G_δ -set, provided \mathbf{E} is an Asplund space, and are Gateaux differentiable on a dense G_δ -set, provided \mathbf{E} is separable.

In this paper those results are extended on vector-valued functions having values in R^n .

*We shall say briefly *differentials*, since under assumptions of continuity each Gateaux differential is also a Fréchet differential.

2. Uniformly approximate convex vector-valued functions

Let k belong to the relative interior of K , $k \in \text{Int}_r K$.

Let $\alpha(\cdot)$ be a nondecreasing function mapping the interval $[0, +\infty)$ into the interval $[0, +\infty]$ such that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t} = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Let a continuous function $f(\cdot)$ be defined on an open convex subset $\Omega \subset X$ and having values in Y . We say that the function $f(\cdot)$ is *strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - k -paraconvex* if there is $C \geq 0$ and such that for all $x, y \in \Omega$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$ we have

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq_K tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + C \min[t, (1-t)]\alpha(\|x - y\|_X)k. \quad (2.2)$$

We say that a continuous function $f(\cdot)$ defined on an open convex subset $\Omega \subset X$ and having values in Z is *strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex* if it is *strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - k -paraconvex* for all $k \in \text{Int}_r K$.

The set of all strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex functions is denoted $\alpha PC_K(\Omega)$.

PROPOSITION 2.1 (Rolewicz, 2010). *Let X, Z be Banach spaces. Let $K \subset Z$ be a convex pointed cone. Let $k_0 \in \text{Int}_r K$. Then each strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - k_0 -paraconvex function $f(\cdot)$ mapping a convex set $Q \subset X$ into Z is strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex.*

The following Proposition is obvious

PROPOSITION 2.2 (Rolewicz, 2010). *Let X be a real Banach space. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex pointed cone. Let a function $f(\cdot)$ mapping a convex set $Q \subset X$ into \mathbb{R}^n be strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex. Then there are n linearly independent functionals $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n\}$ defined on \mathbb{R}^n such that the functions $\{\ell_1(f(\cdot)), \ell_2(f(\cdot)), \dots, \ell_n(f(\cdot))\}$ are strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex.*

Using Proposition 2.2 and results about differentiability of uniformly approximate convex real-valued functions (Rolewicz, 1999, 2002, 2005a, 2005b, 2006) we can obtain

THEOREM 2.1 (Rolewicz, 2010). *Let Ω_X be an open convex set in a real Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$. Let K be a convex closed pointed cone in \mathbb{R}^n with any norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex function defined on Ω_X with values in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the function $f(\cdot)$ is:*

- (a) *Fréchet differentiable on a dense G_δ -set provided X is an Asplund space,*
- (b) *Gateaux differentiable on dense G_δ -set provided X is separable.*

A vector-valued function $f(\cdot)$ defined on a convex set $\Omega \subset X$ with values in the space Z is called *uniformly approximate K -paraconvex* if for arbitrary

$k \in \text{Int}_r K$ and arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, k)$ such that if $x, y \in \Omega$ and $\|x - y\| < \delta$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq_K tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \varepsilon \min[t, (1-t)]\|x - y\|k. \quad (2.6)$$

The class of all uniformly approximate K -paraconvex functions defined on Ω with values in the space Z shall be denoted $UAC_K(\Omega)$.

PROPOSITION 2.3 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open convex subset. Then $UAC_K(\Omega)$ is a convex cone.*

Proof. Take any $f \in UAC_K(\Omega)$ and any $\lambda > 0$. Since $f \in UAC_K(\Omega)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \text{Int}_r K$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq_K tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \min[t, (1-t)]\|x - y\|k, \quad (2.6)_{\lambda,k}$$

provided $\|x - y\| < \delta$.

Multiplying $(2.6)_{\lambda,k}$ by λ we get

$$\lambda f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq_K t\lambda f(x) + (1-t)\lambda f(y) + \varepsilon \min[t, (1-t)]\|x - y\|k, \quad (2.7)_{\lambda,k}$$

i.e. $\lambda f \in UAC_K(\Omega)$.

Now, take arbitrary $f, g \in UAC_K(\Omega)$. Since $f \in UAC_K(\Omega)$, (respectively $g \in UAC_K(\Omega)$) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \text{Int}_r K$ there is $\delta_f > 0$ (resp. $\delta_g > 0$) such that

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq_K tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \min[t, (1-t)]\|x - y\|k, \quad (2.6)_f$$

(respectively

$$g(tx + (1-t)y) \leq_K tg(x) + (1-t)g(y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \min[t, (1-t)]\|x - y\|k, \quad (2.6)_g$$

provided $\|x - y\| < \delta_f$ (resp. $\|x - y\| < \delta_g$).

Let $\delta = \min[\delta_f, \delta_g]$. Take $x, y \in \Omega$ such that $\|x - y\| < \delta$. Then, by adding $(2.6)_f$ and $(2.6)_g$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f+g)(tx + (1-t)y) &= f(tx + (1-t)y) + g(tx + (1-t)y) \\ &\leq_K tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + tg(x) + (1-t)g(y) + \varepsilon \min[t, (1-t)]\|x - y\|k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)_{f+g}$$

Thus $f + g \in UAC_K(\Omega)$. ■

Recall that the set of all strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex functions defined on Ω with values in the space Z is denoted $\alpha PC_K(\Omega)$. In a similar way as in Proposition 2.3 we can demonstrate

PROPOSITION 2.4 *Let $\alpha(\cdot)$ be a nondecreasing function mapping the interval $[0, +\infty)$ into the interval $[0, +\infty]$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t} = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. Let Ω be an open convex subset of X . Then $\alpha PC_K(\Omega)$ is a convex cone.

It is trivial that $\alpha PC_K(\Omega) \subset UAC_K(\Omega)$. The following can be shown:

PROPOSITION 2.5 *(compare Rolewicz, 2001b), Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open convex subset. Then*

$$\bigcup_{\alpha} \alpha PC_K(\Omega) = UAC_K(\Omega), \quad (2.7)$$

where the union is taken over all nondecreasing functions $\alpha(\cdot)$ mapping the interval $[0, +\infty)$ into the interval $[0, +\infty)$ satisfying (2.1). In other words, a function $f(\cdot)$ is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex if and only if there is $\alpha(\cdot)$ satisfying (2.1) such that the function $f(\cdot)$ is strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex.

PROPOSITION 2.6 *Let Ω be an open convex set in a real Banach space X . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function defined on Ω with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Suppose that $f(\cdot)$ is differentiable on Ω and that the differentials of $f|_x$ are uniformly continuous functions of x in the norm topology. Then the function $f(\cdot)$ is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex.*

Proof. Since the differentials of $\partial f|_x$ are uniformly continuous function of x in the norm topology, there is a function β_0 mapping the interval $[0, +\infty)$ into the interval $[0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \beta_0(t) = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\|\partial f|_x - \partial f|_y\| \leq \beta_0(\|x - y\|). \quad (2.9)$$

We define

$$F(t) = f(tx + (1-t)y) - tf(x) + (1-t)f(y).$$

It is easy to observe that $F(0) = F(1) = 0$.

Let ϕ be an arbitrary linear continuous functional of norm 1. Let $F_\phi = \phi(F)$. Now we shall calculate its derivative

$$\left. \frac{dF_\phi}{dt} \right|_t = \partial \phi(f) \Big|_{(tx+(1-t)y)} (x - y) - \phi(f(x)) + \phi(f(y)). \quad (2.10)$$

Since F_ϕ is real-valued and $F_\phi(0) = F_\phi(1) = 0$, by the Rolle theorem there is $t_0, 0 \leq t_0 \leq 1$, such that $\frac{dF_\phi}{dt}\Big|_{t_0} = 0$. Thus for arbitrary $t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{dF_\phi}{dt} \Big|_t \right| &= \left| \frac{dF_\phi}{dt} \Big|_t - \frac{dF_\phi}{dt} \Big|_{t_0} \right| \leq \left\| \partial f \Big|_{(tx+(1-t)y)} - \partial f \Big|_{(t_0x+(1-t_0)y)} \right\| (x-y) \\ &\leq \beta_0 \left(\|(tx+(1-t)y) - (t_0x+(1-t_0)y)\| \right) \|x-y\| \leq \beta_0 \left(\|x-y\| \right) \|x-y\| \\ &= \beta \left(\|x-y\| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where the function $\beta(t) = t\beta_0(t)$ satisfies (2.1).

Since $F_\phi(0) = F_\phi(1) = 0$, for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ by (1.8) we have

$$F_\phi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{dF_\phi}{ds} \Big|_s ds \leq t\beta \left(\|x-y\| \right).$$

Similarly, for $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$ by (2.11) we have

$$F_\phi(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{dF_\phi}{ds} \Big|_s ds \leq (1-t)\beta \left(\|x-y\| \right).$$

Finally,

$$F_\phi(t) \leq \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x-y\|). \quad (2.12)$$

Since ϕ is arbitrary linear functional of norm one this implies that

$$\|F(t)\| \leq \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x-y\|). \quad (2.13)$$

Thus, by definition of $F(t)$

$$\|f(tx + (1-t)y) - tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)\| \leq \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x-y\|). \quad (2.14)$$

Since the cone K has non-empty interior for each $k \in K$, there is $C > 0$ such that the ball of radius r , $B(r, 0) = \{z : \|z\| = r\}$ is contained in $K - Crk$, $B(r, 0) \subset K - Crk$. Thus, from (2.14) it follows that

$$tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) - f(tx + (1-t)y) \geq_K -C \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x-y\|)k, \quad (2.15)$$

i.e.

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq_K tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) + C \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x-y\|)k, \quad (2.16)$$

i.e. the function $f(\cdot)$ is strongly $\beta(\cdot)$ -paraconvex. Therefore it is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex. ■

As a consequence of Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 we get

EXAMPLE 2.1 Let Ω be an open convex set in a real Banach space X . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a convex function defined on Ω with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Suppose that $f(\cdot)$ is differentiable on Ω and that the differentials of $f|_x$ are uniformly continuous function of x in the norm topology. Let $g(\cdot)$ be a differentiable function defined on Ω with values in the space Z . Suppose that the differentials of $g|_x$ are uniformly continuous function of x in the norm topology. Then the sum of the functions $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$, $f(\cdot) + g(\cdot)$, is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex.

There is a natural question whether every uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function is a sum of a convex and uniformly differentiable functions. It is not so. We shall present another class of uniformly approximate K -paraconvex functions, based on the following Theorem.

THEOREM 2.2 Let Ω be an open convex set in a real Banach space Y . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a Lipschitz uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function defined on Ω_Y with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Let Ω_X be an open convex set in a real Banach space X . Let σ be a mapping of a Ω_X into Ω_Y such that the differentials of $\sigma|_x$ are uniformly continuous function of x in the norm topology. Then the composed function $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex.

The proof is based on the following

LEMMA 2.1 (Rolewicz, 2007, 2009) Let Ω_X (Ω_Y) be an open convex set in a real Banach space X (respectively Y). Let σ be a mapping of a Ω_X into Ω_Y such that the differentials of $\partial\sigma|_x$ are uniformly continuous functions of x in the norm topology. Then there is a function $\beta(\cdot)$ mapping the interval $[0, +\infty)$ into the interval $[0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\beta(t)}{t} = 0, \quad (2.1)_\beta$$

and such that for all $x, y \in \Omega_X$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$

$$\|\sigma(tx + (1-t)y) - [t\sigma(x) + (1-t)\sigma(y)]\| \leq \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x - y\|). \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. of Theorem 2.2. Let k be an arbitrary element of the interior of K , $k \in \text{Int } K$. Since $f(\cdot)$ is a uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function, there are a nondecreasing function $\alpha(\cdot)$ mapping the interval $[0, +\infty)$ into the interval $[0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t} = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

and $C_k > 0$ such that for all $y_1, y_2 \in \Omega_Y$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$

$$f(ty_1 + (1-t)y_2) \leq_K tf(y_1) + (1-t)f(y_2) + C_k \min[t, (1-t)]\alpha(\|y_1 - y_2\|)k. \quad (2.18)$$

Let $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega_X$. We put $y_1 = \sigma(x_1)$ and $y_2 = \sigma(x_2)$. Then by (2.18)

$$\begin{aligned} f(t\sigma(x_1) + (1-t)\sigma(x_2)) &\leq_K tf(\sigma(x_1)) + (1-t)f(\sigma(x_2)) + C_k \\ &\quad \min[t, (1-t)]\alpha(\|\sigma(x_1) - \sigma(x_2)\|)k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18')$$

Recall that $f(\cdot)$ is a Lipschitz function. We shall denote the Lipschitz constant by M . Thus by Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(\sigma(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2)) - f(t\sigma(x_1) + (1-t)\sigma(x_2))\| \\ &\leq M\|(\sigma(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2)) - t\sigma(x_1) + (1-t)\sigma(x_2)\| \leq M \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x_1 - x_2\|). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Since the cone K has non-empty interior and $k \in \text{Int } K$, there is $C'_k > 0$ such that for each element z of norm r , $\|z\| = r$, the element z belongs to $K - C'_k rk$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2)) &\leq_K f(t\sigma(x_1) + (1-t)\sigma(x_2)) \\ &\quad + C'_k M \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x_1 - x_2\|)k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Since $\sigma(\cdot)$ is also a Lipschitz function, denoting its Lipschitz constant by L , by (2.18') we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2)) &\leq_K tf(\sigma(x_1)) + (1-t)f(\sigma(x_2)) + C_k \min[t, (1-t)]\alpha(\|\sigma(x_1) - \sigma(x_2)\|)k \\ &\quad + C'_k M \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x_1 - x_2\|)k \leq_K tf(\sigma(x_1)) + (1-t)f(\sigma(x_2)) \\ &\quad + (C_k \min[t, (1-t)]L\alpha(\|x_1 - x_2\|) + C'_k M \min[t, (1-t)]\beta(\|x_1 - x_2\|))k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

It is easy to see that the function $\alpha_1(u) = C_k L\alpha(u) + C'_k M\beta(u)$ satisfies (2.1) and that by (2.20) the function $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is strongly $\alpha_1(\cdot)$ -paraconvex. Thus, it is a uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function. ■

As a consequence of Proposition 2.6, Example 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 we get

EXAMPLE 2.2 Let Y be a real Banach space. Let Ω_Y be an open convex set in a Y . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a Lipschitz convex function defined on Ω_Y with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Let Ω_X be an open convex set in a real Banach space X . Let $g(\cdot)$ be a differentiable function defined on Ω_X with values in Z . Suppose that the differentials of $g|_x$ are uniformly continuous function of x in the norm topology.

Let $h(\cdot)$ be a real-valued Lipschitz convex function defined on Ω_Y with values in Z . Let σ be a mapping of a Ω_X into Ω_Y such that the differentials of $\sigma|_x$ are uniformly continuous function of x in the norm topology.

Then the sum

$$u(\cdot) = f(\cdot) + g(\cdot) + h(\sigma(\cdot)) \quad (2.22)$$

is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function defined on a subset $\Omega_X \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K . We say that the function is *vector bounded* (*vector upper bounded*, *vector bounded from below*) if there is $k \in K$ (respectively $k_u \in K$, $k_b \in K$) such that

$$-k \leq_K f(x) \leq_K k \quad (2.23)$$

(respectively

$$f(x) \leq_K k_u \quad (2.23_u)$$

$$-k_b \leq_K f(x).) \quad (2.23_b)$$

PROPOSITION 2.7 *Let X be a real Banach space X . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a bounded function defined on $\Omega_X \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Then $f(\cdot)$ is a vector bounded function.*

Proof. Since the function $f(\cdot)$ is bounded, there is $M > 0$ such that for all $x \in \Omega_X$

$$\|f(x)\| \leq M. \quad (2.24)$$

The cone K has non-empty interior and $k \in \text{Int } K$. Thus, there is $C'_k > 0$ such that for each element z of norm less than M , $\|z\| \leq_K M$, the element z belongs to $(K - C'_k M k) \cap -K + C'_k M k$. Hence

$$-C'_k M k \leq_K f(x) \leq_K C'_k M k \quad (2.25)$$

i.e. $f(\cdot)$ is a vector bounded function. ■

PROPOSITION 2.8 *Let X be a real Banach space X . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a vector bounded function defined on $\Omega_X \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with bounded basis. Then $f(\cdot)$ is a bounded function.*

Proof. Since the function $f(\cdot)$ is vector bounded, there is $k \in K$ such that for all $x \in \Omega_X$

$$-k \leq_K f(x) \leq_K k. \quad (2.23)$$

The cone K has bounded basis. Thus, there is $M > 0$ such that

$$[(K - k) \cap (-K + k)] \subset \{z \in Z : \|z\| \leq M\}.$$

So for all $x \in \Omega_X$

$$\|f(x)\| \leq M, \quad (2.24)$$

i.e. $f(\cdot)$ is a bounded function. \blacksquare

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function defined on a subset $\Omega_X \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K . We say that the function is *vector Lipschitz* if there is $k \in K$ (respectively $k_u \in K, k_b \in K$) such that

$$-\|x - x'\|k \leq_K f(x) - f(x') \leq_K \|x - x'\|k \quad (2.26)$$

for arbitrary $x, x' \in \Omega$.

PROPOSITION 2.9 *Let X be a Banach space X . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a Lipschitz function defined on $\Omega_X \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Then $f(\cdot)$ is a vector Lipschitz function.*

Proof. Since the function $f(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz, there is $M > 0$ such that for all $x, x' \in \Omega_X$.

$$\|f(x) - f(x')\| \leq M\|x - x'\| \quad (2.27)$$

The cone K has non-empty interior and $k \in \text{Int } K$. Thus there is $C'_k > 0$ such that for each element z of norm less than M , $\|z\| \leq_K M$, the element z belongs to $(K - C'_k M k) \cap -K + C'_k M k$. Hence

$$-C'_k M \|x - x'\|k \leq_K f(x) - f(x') \leq_K C'_k M \|x - x'\|k \quad (2.28)$$

i.e. $f(\cdot)$ is a vector Lipschitz function. \blacksquare

PROPOSITION 2.10 *Let Ω be a set in a real Banach space X . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a vector Lipschitz function defined on $\Omega_X \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with bounded basis. Then $f(\cdot)$ is a Lipschitz function.*

Proof. Since the function $f(\cdot)$ is vector Lipschitz, there is $k \in K$ such that for all $x, x' \in \Omega_X$

$$-k \leq_K f(x) - f(x') \leq_K k. \quad (2.29)$$

The cone K has bounded basis. Thus

$$[(K - Mk) \cap -K + Mk] \subset \{z \in Z : \|z\| \leq M\}.$$

So, for all $x \in \Omega_X$

$$\|f(x)\| \leq M, \quad (2.24)$$

i.e. $f(\cdot)$ is a bounded function. \blacksquare

3. Localization

In this section we shall investigate localization of the notions of uniformly approximate K -paraconvex functions and strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex functions.

Let X be a real Banach space. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a mapping defined on an open subset $\Omega \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K . We say that $f(\cdot)$ is *locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex* if for all $x_0 \in \Omega$ there is a convex open neighbourhood U_{x_0} of x_0 such that the function $f(\cdot)$ restricted to U_{x_0} , $f|_{U_{x_0}}(\cdot)$, is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex. In other words, a function $f(\cdot)$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex if for all $x_0 \in \Omega$ there is a convex open neighbourhood U_{x_0} of x_0 such that for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, U_{x_0})$ such that for $x, z \in U_{x_0}$ such that $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$ and $\|z - x_0\| < \delta$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and every k belonging to the relative interior of K , $k \in K$ there is C_k such that

$$f(tx + (1-t)z) \leq_K tf(x) + (1-t)f(z) + C_k \varepsilon \min[t, (1-t)] \|x - z\| k. \quad (2.6)$$

The class of all locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex functions defined on Ω shall be denoted $UAC_K^{Loc}(\Omega)$.

Basing on the definition of locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function and Proposition 2.3 we can easily demonstrate

PROPOSITION 3.1 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. Let Ω be an open subset of X , $\Omega \subset X$. Then, $UAC_K^{Loc}(\Omega)$ is a convex cone.*

Proof. Take any $f \in UAC_K^{Loc}(\Omega)$ and any $\lambda > 0$. Take arbitrary $x_0 \in \Omega$. By definition there is a convex open neighbourhood U_{x_0} of x_0 such that the function $f(\cdot)$ restricted to U_{x_0} , $f|_{U_{x_0}}(\cdot)$, is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex. Thus, by Proposition 2.4. $\lambda f|_{U_{x_0}}(\cdot)$ is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex, too. Therefore $\lambda f \in UAC_K^{Loc}(\Omega)$.

Take any $f, g \in UAC_K^{Loc}(\Omega)$. Take arbitrary $x_0 \in \Omega$. By definition there are convex open neighbourhoods $U_{x_0}^f$ of x_0 and $U_{x_0}^g$ of x_0 such that the function $f(\cdot)$ restricted to $U_{x_0}^f$, $f|_{U_{x_0}^f}(\cdot)$ and the function $g(\cdot)$ restricted to $U_{x_0}^g$, $g|_{U_{x_0}^g}(\cdot)$ are uniformly approximate K -paraconvex. Let $U_{x_0} = U_{x_0}^f \cap U_{x_0}^g$. Thus by Proposition 2.4. $(f + g)|_{U_{x_0}}(\cdot)$ is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex. Therefore, $(f + g) \in UAC_K^{Loc}(\Omega)$. ■

Let X be a real Banach space. Let $\alpha(\cdot)$ be a nondecreasing function mapping the interval $[0, +\infty)$ into the interval $[0, +\infty)$, satisfying (2.1). Let $f(\cdot)$ be a mapping defined on an open subset $\Omega \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z

ordered by a convex pointed cone K . We say that $f(\cdot)$ is *locally strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex* if for all $x_0 \in \Omega$ there is a convex open neighbourhood U_{x_0} of x_0 such that the function $f(\cdot)$ restricted to U_{x_0} , $f|_{U_{x_0}}(\cdot)$, is strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K paraconvex.

The set of all locally strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions defined on Ω shall be denoted $\alpha PC^{Loc}(\Omega)$. In a similar way as in Proposition 3.1 we can demonstrate

PROPOSITION 3.2 *Let $\alpha(\cdot)$ be a nondecreasing function mapping the interval $[0, +\infty)$ into the interval $[0, +\infty)$ satisfying (1.2). Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. Let Ω be an open convex subset of X . Then $\alpha PC^{Loc}(\Omega)$ is a convex cone.*

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function defined on an open subset $\Omega \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K .

We say that a function $f(\cdot)$ is *locally bounded* if for any $x_0 \in \Omega$, there is a convex neighbourhood U_{x_0} of the point x_0 such that the restriction of the function $f(\cdot)$ to the set U_{x_0} , $f|_{U_{x_0}}(\cdot)$ is bounded.

We say that the function $f(\cdot)$ is *locally vector Lipschitz* if for any $x_0 \in \Omega$, there is a convex neighbourhood U_{x_0} of the point x_0 such that the restriction of the function $f(\cdot)$ to the set U_{x_0} , $f|_{U_{x_0}}(\cdot)$ is vector Lipschitz.

Repeating the considerations of Jourani (1996) we shall prove

PROPOSITION 3.3 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let a function $f(\cdot)$ defined on an open subset $\Omega \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K be locally strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex and locally vector bounded. Then it is locally vector Lipschitz.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. Since f is locally bounded, there are $k \in \text{Int}_r K$ and $r > 0$ such that for any $y \in \Omega$ such that $\|y - x_0\| < r$ we have

$$-k \leq_K f(y) \leq_K k. \quad (3.1)$$

Let x, u be two arbitrary elements of Ω such that $\|x - x_0\| < \frac{r}{2}$, $\|u - x_0\| < \frac{r}{2}$. Let ε be an arbitrary positive number, let $\beta = \varepsilon + \|x - u\|$ and let

$$v = u + \frac{r}{2\beta}(u - x). \quad (3.2)$$

Observe that

$$\|v - x_0\| < \|u - x_0\| + \frac{r}{2\beta}\|u - x\| < \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2\varepsilon + \|x - u\|}\|x - u\| < r$$

and so

$$-k \leq_K f(v) \leq_K k. \quad (3.1_v)$$

Let $\lambda = \frac{2\beta}{r+2\beta}$. Observe that $u = \lambda v + (1 - \lambda)x$.

Since the function $f(\cdot)$ is strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex, there is a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$f(u) = f(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)x) \leq_K \lambda f(v) + (1 - \lambda)f(x) + C\lambda\alpha(\|x - v\|)k. \quad (3.2)$$

Thus,

$$f(u) - f(x) \leq_K \lambda(f(v) - f(x)) + C\lambda\alpha(\|x - v\|)k. \quad (3.3)$$

Since $\lambda\|v - x\| = \|u - x\|$, we get

$$f(u) - f(x) \leq_K \lambda(f(v) - f(x)) + C\lambda\alpha\left(\frac{\|u - x\|}{\lambda}\right)k. \quad (3.3)$$

Recall that $0 < \lambda < 1$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) - f(x) &\leq_K \lambda(f(v) - f(x)) + C\lambda\alpha(\|x - v\|)k \leq_K \lambda(2 + C\alpha(2r))k \\ &\leq_K \frac{2\beta}{r}(2a + C\alpha(2r))k \leq L(\varepsilon + \|u - x\|)k, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $L = \frac{2}{r}(2a + C\alpha(2r))$.

By exchanging the roles of x and u we get

$$f(x) - f(u) \leq_K L(\varepsilon + \|u - x\|)k. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus

$$-L(\varepsilon + \|u - x\|)k \leq_K f(u) - f(x). \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.4) and (3.7) and the arbitrariness of ε we obtain

$$-L\|u - x\|k \leq_K f(u) - f(x) \leq_K L\|u - x\|k. \quad (3.8)$$

■

PROPOSITION 3.4 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let a function $f(\cdot)$ defined on an open subset $\Omega \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior be continuous. Then it is locally vector bounded.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$. Since the function $f(\cdot)$ is continuous for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighbourhood U_{x_0} of the point x_0 such that for any $x \in U_{x_0}$

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < \varepsilon. \quad (3.9)$$

The cone K has non-empty interior for any $k \in \text{Int } K$. Therefore there is $C'_k > 0$ such that

$$-C'_k \varepsilon k \leq_K f(x) - f(x_0) \leq_K C'_k \varepsilon k \quad (3.10)$$

and simultaneously

$$-C'_k \|f(x_0)\| k \leq_K f(x) - f(x_0) \leq_K C'_k \|f(x_0)\| k. \quad (3.11)$$

Finally,

$$-C'_k (\|f(x_0)\| + \varepsilon) k \leq_K f(x) \leq_K C'_k (\|f(x_0)\| + \varepsilon) k. \quad (3.12)$$

■

Without the assumption that K is open, Proposition 3.5 does not hold as shown by the following simple example.

EXAMPLE 3.1 Let $X = [0, 1]$. Let $Z = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $K = \{(0, t), t \geq 0\}$. Then the function $f(t) = (t, 0)$ is continuous but it is not locally vector bounded.

By Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 we get

COROLLARY 3.1 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Let a function $f(\cdot)$ defined on an open subset $\Omega \subset X$ with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior be continuous and locally strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ - K -paraconvex. Then it is locally vector Lipschitz.

Basing on Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 3.3 we can prove

PROPOSITION 3.5 Let Ω_X (Ω_Y) be an open set in a real Banach space X (respectively Y). Let $f(\cdot)$ be a locally Lipschitz uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function defined on Ω_Y with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Let Ω_X be an open convex set in a real Banach space X . Let σ be a mapping of a Ω_X into Ω_Y such that the differentials of $\sigma|_x$ are uniformly continuous function of x in the norm topology. Then the composed function $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex.

Proof. Take arbitrary $x \in \Omega_X$. Since $f(\cdot)$ is a locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function, there is a convex neighbourhood $\hat{U}_{\sigma(x)}$ of $\sigma(x)$ such that the restriction of $f(\cdot)$ to the set $\hat{U}_{\sigma(x)}$, $f|_{\hat{U}_{\sigma(x)}}(\cdot)$, is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex. Without loss of generality we may assume that that the restriction of $f(\cdot)$ to the set $\hat{U}_{\sigma(x)}$, $f|_{\hat{U}_{\sigma(x)}}(\cdot)$ is a Lipschitz function, since each uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function is locally Lipschitz.

By our assumptions the differentials of $\sigma|_x$ are locally uniformly continuous function of x . Thus, there is a convex neighbourhood U_x of x such that the differentials of $\sigma|_{U_x}$ are uniformly continuous function of its argument on U_x . Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\sigma(U_x) \subset \hat{U}_{\sigma(x)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.2 $f|_{U_x}(\sigma(\cdot))$ is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function. Therefore, by definition, $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is a locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function defined on Ω_X . ■

Using Theorem 2.1 we can obtain

THEOREM 3.1 *Let Ω_Y be an open convex set in a real Banach space Y . Let Z be n -dimensional Banach space ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function defined on Ω_Y with values in Z . Let Ω_X be an open convex set in a real Banach space X . Let σ be a mapping of a Ω_X into Ω_Y such that the differentials of $\sigma|_x$ are uniformly continuous function of x in the norm topology. Then the composed function $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is:*

- (a). *Fréchet differentiable on a dense G_δ -set provided X is an Asplund space,*
- (b). *Gateaux differentiable on dense G_δ -set provided X is separable.*

Proof. We denote by D the set of points of Ω_X for which the composed function $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is Fréchet differentiable in case (a) and Gateaux differentiable in case (b).

By Proposition 3.5 the composed function $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex. Recall that by Proposition 3.3 each locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex functions is also locally Lipschitz.

Therefore, there is an open covering $\mathfrak{U}=\{U_\gamma\}, \gamma \in \Gamma$ of Ω_X such that for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the restricted function $f(\sigma|_{U_\gamma}(\cdot))$ is uniformly approximate K -paraconvex and vector Lipschitz.

Therefore, as a simple consequence of Theorem 2.1, we get that the set $D \cap U_\gamma$ for which the composed function $f(\sigma(\cdot))$ is Fréchet differentiable in case (a) (see Rolewicz, 1999, 2002, 2005) and Gateaux differentiable in case (b) (see Rolewicz, 2006) is a G_δ -set.

This means that D is a local G_δ -set.

Hence, by the Michael theorem (Michael, 1954) D is a G_δ -set. ■

4. Differentiability of locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex functions with values in finite dimensional spaces on $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifolds

As an application of Theorem 3.1 we get a result concerning differentiability of locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex functions on manifolds with values in finite dimensional spaces.

Let \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F} , be real Banach spaces. We say that a function $\psi : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is of the class $C_{\mathbf{E},\mathbf{F}}^{1,u}$ if it is continuously differentiable and, moreover, that differential $\partial\psi|_x$ is locally uniformly continuous as a function of x in the norm topology. Of course, if $\psi \in C_{\mathbf{E},\mathbf{F}}^{1,u}$, then ψ belongs to the class of continuously differentiable functions, $\psi \in C_{\mathbf{E},\mathbf{F}}^1$.

If $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{F}$ we denote briefly $C_{\mathbf{E},\mathbf{E}}^{1,u} = C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$.

Now we shall determine $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifold in the classical way (compare Lang, 1962).

Let X be a set. An $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlas is a collections of pairs (U_i, ϕ_i) (i ranging in some indexing set) satisfying the following conditions:

AT 1. Each U_i is a subset of X and $\{U_i\}$ covers X ,

AT 2. Each ϕ_i is a bijection of U_i onto an open subset $\phi_i(U_i)$ of the space \mathbf{E} , and for all i, j , $\phi_i(U_i \cap U_j)$ is an open subset of the space \mathbf{E} ,

AT 3. The map $\phi_j \phi_i^{-1}$ mapping $\phi_i(U_i \cap U_j)$ onto $\phi_j(U_i \cap U_j)$ is of the class $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ for all i, j .

Each pair (U_i, ϕ_i) is called a *chart*. If $x \in U_i$, then the pair (U_i, ϕ_i) is called a *chart at x* .

Observe that AT 3 implies that $(\phi_j \phi_i^{-1})^{-1} = \phi_i \phi_j^{-1} \in C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$.

Suppose now that X is a topological space and let U be an open set in X . Suppose that there is a topological isomorphism ϕ mapping U onto an open set $U' \in \mathbf{E}$. We say that (U, ϕ) is *compatible* with the $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlas (U_i, ϕ_i) if for all i the maps $\phi_i \phi^{-1}$ and $\phi \phi_i^{-1}$ belong to $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$. We say that two $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlases are *compatible* if each chart of one is compatible with the other $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlas.

A topological space X equipped with $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlas (U_i, ϕ_i) shall be called $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifold.

DEFINITION 4.1 *We say that a function $f(\cdot)$ defined on a $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifold X with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex on X if there is a $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlas (U_i, ϕ_i) such that for all i the function $f(\phi_i^{-1}(\cdot))$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex on the set $\phi_i(U_i) \subset \mathbf{E}$.*

As an immediate consequence of AT 3 and Proposition 2.2 we obtain

PROPOSITION 4.1 *Let X be topological space. Let $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlas (U_i, ϕ_i) on X . If a function $f(\phi_i^{-1}(\cdot))$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex on $U_i \cap U_j$ then the function $f(\phi_j^{-1}(\cdot))$ is also locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex on $U_i \cap U_j$.*

As a consequence of definition of compatibility of $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlases and Proposition 3.5 we obtain

PROPOSITION 4.2 *Let X be topological space. Let (U_i, ϕ_i) and (V_j, μ_j) be two compatible $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlases on X . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function defined on X with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. If a function $f(\cdot)$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex with respect to the $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlas (U_i, ϕ_i) , then it is also locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex with respect to the $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlas (V_j, μ_j) .*

Let X be a $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifold. Let (U_i, ϕ_i) be a $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -atlas on X . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function defined X with values in the Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. We say that the function $f(\cdot)$ is Fréchet (Gateaux) differentiable at $x_0 \in U_i$ if the function $f(\phi_i^{-1}(\cdot))$ is Fréchet (respectively Gateaux) differentiable at $\phi_i(x_0)$.

Basing on this definition and Theorem 3.1 we get

THEOREM 4.1 *Let X be a $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifold. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function defined X with values in the finite dimensional Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Suppose that the function $f(\cdot)$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function defined on X . Then it is: (a). Fréchet differentiable on a dense G_δ -set provided \mathbf{E} is an Asplund space, (b). Gateaux differentiable on a dense G_δ -set provided \mathbf{E} is separable.*

Now we shall determine $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -submanifold in the classical way (compare Lang, 1962).

Let X be a $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifold. Let Y be a subset of X . We assume that for each point $y \in Y$ there exists a chart (V, ψ) in X such that $V_1 = \psi(V \cap Y)$ is an open set in some Banach subspace $\mathbf{E}_1 \subset \mathbf{E}$. The map ψ induces a bijection

$$\psi_1 : Y \cap V \rightarrow V_1 \quad (4.1)$$

and, moreover, $\psi_1 \in C_{\mathbf{E}_1}^{1,u}$

The collection of pairs $(Y \cap V, \psi_1)$ obtained in the above manner constitute the atlas for Y . We shall call Y $C_{\mathbf{E}_1}^{1,u}$ -submanifold of X .

THEOREM 4.2 *Let X be a $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifold. Let Y be an its $C_{\mathbf{E}_1}^{1,u}$ -submanifold. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function defined on X with values in the finite dimensional Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Suppose that the function $f(\cdot)$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function defined on X . Then the restriction $f|_Y$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function defined on Y .*

Proof. By our assumption the function $f(\psi^{-1})$ is a locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function on $\psi(V)$. Thus, its restriction $f(\psi^{-1})|_{\psi(V)}(\cdot) = f(\psi_1^{-1}(\cdot))$ to V_1 is also a locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function and by definition $f|_Y$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function defined on Y . ■

As an obvious consequence of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 we obtain

THEOREM 4.3 *Let X be a $C_{\mathbf{E}}^{1,u}$ -manifold. Let Y be an its $C_{\mathbf{E}_1}^{1,u}$ -submanifold. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function defined on X with values in the finite dimensional Banach space Z ordered by a convex pointed cone K with non-empty interior. Suppose that the function $f(\cdot)$ is locally uniformly approximate K -paraconvex function.*

Then the restriction $f|_Y$ is:

- (a). *Fréchet differentiable on a dense G_δ -set provided \mathbf{E}_1 is an Asplund space,*
- (b). *Gateaux differentiable on a dense G_δ -set provided \mathbf{E}_1 is separable.*

References

- ASPLUND, E. (1966) Farthest points in reflexive locally uniformly rotund Banach spaces. *Israel Jour. Math.*, **4**, 213 - 216.
- ASPLUND, E. (1968) Fréchet differentiability of convex functions. *Acta Math.*, **121**, 31 - 47.
- IOFFE, A.D. (1984) Approximate subdifferentials and applications I. *Trans. AMS*, **281**, 389 - 416.
- IOFFE, A.D. (1986) Approximate subdifferentials and applications II. *Matematika* **33**, 111 - 128.
- IOFFE, A.D. (1989) Approximate subdifferentials and applications III. *Matematika* **36**, 1 - 38.
- IOFFE, A.D. (1990) Proximal analysis and approximate subdifferentials. *J. London Math. Soc.* **41**, 175 - 192.
- IOFFE, A.D. (2000) Metric regularity and subdifferential calculus (in Russian). *Usp. Matem. Nauk* **55**(3), 104 - 162.
- JAHN, J. (1986) *Mathematical Vector Optimization in Partially Ordered Linear Spaces*. Peter Lang, Frankfurt.
- JAHN, J. (2004) *Vector Optimization*. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.
- JOURANI, A. (1996) Subdifferentiability and subdifferential monotonicity of γ -paraconvex functions. *Control and Cybernetics* **25**, 721 - 737.
- LANG, S. (1962) *Introduction to Differentiable Manifolds*. Interscience Publishers (division of John Wiley & Sons) New York, London.
- LUC, D.T., NGAI, H.V., THÉRA, M. (2000) On ε -convexity and ε -monotonicity. In: A.Ioffe, S.Reich and I. Shafrir, eds. *Calculus of Variation and Differential Equations. Research Notes in Mathematics Series* **410**, Chapman & Hall, 82 -100.
- LUC, D.T., NGAI, H.V., THÉRA, M. (2000b) Approximate convex functions. *Jour. Nonlinear and Convex Anal.* **1**, 155 - 176.
- MAZUR, S. (1933) Über konvexe Mengen in linearen normierten Räumen. *Stud. Math.*, **4**, 70 - 84.
- MICHAEL, E. (1954) Local properties of topological spaces. *Duke Math. Jour.* **21**, 163 - 174.

- MORDUKHOVICH, B.S. (1976) Maximum principle in the optimal control problems with non-smooth constraints (in Russian). *Prikl. Mat. Meh.* **40**, 1014 - 1024.
- MORDUKHOVICH, B.S. (1980) Metric approximations and necessary optimality conditions for general classes of nonsmooth extremal problems (in Russian). *Soviet Math. Doklady.* **254**, 1072 - 1076. In English version **22**, 526 - 530.
- MORDUKHOVICH, B.S. (1988) *Approximation Methods in Problems of Optimization and Control* (in Russian). Nauka, Moscow.
- MORDUKHOVICH, B.S. (2005a) *Variational Analysis and Generalized Differentiation. Vol.1. Basic Theory.* Springer Verlag, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften* **330**.
- MORDUKHOVICH, B.S. (2005b) *Variational Analysis and Generalized Differentiation. Vol.2. Applications.* Springer Verlag, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften* **331**.
- PALLASCHKE, D., ROLEWICZ, S. (1997) *Foundation of Mathematical Optimization. Mathematics and its Applications* **388**. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London.
- PHELPS, R.R. (1989) *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability. Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1364**, Springer-Verlag.
- PREISS, D., ZAJÍČEK, L. (1984) Stronger estimates of smallness of sets of Fréchet nondifferentiability of convex functions. *Proc. 11-th Winter School, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. di Palermo, ser II*, **3**, 219 - 223.
- ROCKAFELLAR, R.T. (1980) Generalized directional derivatives and subgradient of nonconvex functions. *Can. Jour. Math.* **32**, 257 - 280.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (1999) On $\alpha(\cdot)$ -monotone multifunction and differentiability of γ -paraconvex functions. *Stud. Math.* **133**, 29 - 37.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (2000) On $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex and strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions. *Control and Cybernetics* **29**, 367 - 377.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (2001) On the coincidence of some subdifferentials in the class of $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions. *Optimization* **50**, 353 - 360.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (2001b) On uniformly approximate convex and strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions. *Control and Cybernetics* **30**, 323 - 330.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (2002) $\alpha(\cdot)$ -monotone multifunctions and differentiability of strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions. *Control and Cybernetics* **31**, 601 - 619.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (2005a) On differentiability of strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$ -paraconvex functions in non-separable Asplund spaces. *Studia Math.* **167**, 235 - 244.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (2005b) Paraconvex analysis. *Control and Cybernetics*, **34**, 951 - 965.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (2006) An extension of Mazur Theorem about Gateaux differentiability. *Studia Math.* **172**, 243 - 248.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (2007) Paraconvex Analysis on $C_E^{1,u}$ -manifolds. *Optimization* **56**, 49 - 60.

- ROLEWICZ, S. (2009) How to define "convex functions" on differentiable manifolds. *Discussiones Mathematicae, Differential Inclusions, Control and Optimization* **29**, 7 - 17.
- ROLEWICZ, S. (2010) Differentiability of strongly paraconvex vector-valued functions. *Functiones et Approximatio* **44**, 273-277.